


WORLD COTTON RESEARCH CONFERENCE-3



COTTON PRODUCTION FOR THE NEW MILLENNIUM



9 - 13 MARCH 2003 • CAPE TOWN, RSA



Monsanto is proud to be associated with WCRC-3



Chief editor
A Swanepoel

Scientific editors

Dr Samuel Alabi	Nigeria	Breeding
Dr Sarel Broodryk	South Africa	Entomology
Dr Roy Cantrell	USA	Breeding
Dr Greg Constable	Australia	Breeding
Dr John Gorham	UK	Physiology/Biochemistry
Dr Kater Hake	USA	Biotechnology
Dr Rory Hillocks	UK	Plant pathology
Dr Lawrence Hunter	South Africa	Fiber quality
Dr Geoff McIntyre	Australia	Irrigation/Water stress
Dr Jodi McLean	Australia	Agronomy
Dr Mustafa	Sudan	Breeding
Dr Bruce Pyke	USA	Extension
Dr Derek Russell	UK	Entomology
Dr Shuki Saranga	Israel	Agronomy
Ms Jeannie Van Biljon	South Africa	Nematology

Managing editor
A Swanepoel



Cataloging in Publication Entry

World Cotton Research Conference (3rd: 2003: Cape Town, South Africa)

Proceedings of the World Cotton Research Conference-3:

Cotton production for the new millennium: Submitted papers. Cape Town, South Africa, 9-13 March, 2003.

Chief editor: A. Swanepoel

1. Cotton – Research – Conference
- I. Swanepoel, A. (Annette)

Printed in Pretoria, South Africa, May 2004.

Publisher: Agricultural Research Council - Institute for Industrial Crops

Layout and design: D.Comm

Print: D.Comm

In preparing the proceedings of the World Cotton Research Conference-3, the editors have made a good faith effort to avoid any errors, omissions or other editing mistakes in the process of converting presentations and papers into these proceedings. However, the editors cannot ensure against all such errors.



Cape Town, South Africa, 9-13 March 2003

ORGANISING COMMITTEE

International organizing committee

Dr Terry P Townsend (Chairman)	Executive Director of the International Cotton Advisory Committee
Dr Jean-Philippe Deguine	Deputy Director, CIRAD-CA, France
Peter Griffie	Plant Production and Protection Division, FAO, Italy
Dr Francisco Davila-Ricciardi	President, CONALGODON, Columbia
Dr Andrew Jordan	Technical Director, National Cotton Council of America, USA
Dr Joe CB Kablssa	General Manager, Tanzanian Cotton Lint and Seed Board, Tanzania
Dr Abdusattor Abdukarimov	Director General, Institute of Genetics & Plant Exp. Biology, Uzbekistan
Mr Ralph Schulze (Chairman WCRC-1)	Executive Director, Cotton Research & Development Corporation, Australia
Dr Kiratso Kosmidou-Dimitropoulou (Chairman WCRC-2)	Director, Hellenic Cotton Board, Greece
Dr Deon Joubert (Chairman WCRC-3)	Director, ARC Institute for Industrial Crops, South Africa

National organizing committee

Chairman	Dr Deon Joubert, Director ARC Institute for Industrial Crops
Secretary	Ms Jeannie van Biljon, Snr Researcher, ARC Institute for Industrial Crops
Members	Mr Hennie Bruwer, CEO Cotton SA Mr Hein Schroder, Quality Control Cotton SA Mr Chris Nolte, Clark Cotton



SPONSORS

ABSA
Agricultural Research Council
CIRAD-CA
Clark Cotton
Cotton SA
CTA
D&PL International
Danida
deNim
FAO
Frame Textiles
GTZ
ICAC
Monsanto
Rockefeller Foundation
SA Cotton Trust
SACTMA
SBH Cotton Mills



Scientific Committee

Prof Lawrence Hunter	Divisional Fellow and Leader: Scientific and Technical Excellence, Division of Manufacturing and Materials Technology of the CSIR and Professor Extraordinary and Head of the post-graduate Department of Textile Science , University of Port Elizabeth
Prof Sakkie Pretorius	Professor and chairperson – Department of Plant Sciences, University of the Free State
Ms Annette Swanepoel	Senior researcher – ARC-Institute for Industrial Crops
Dr Martie Botha	Senior researcher – ARC-Institute for Industrial Crops
Dr Frans Weitz	Plant systematist – Department of Biodiversity and Conservation Biology, University of Western Cape
Dr Deon Joubert	Director – ARC-Institute for Industrial Crops
Dr Chris Steenkamp	Consultant
Dr Sarel Broodryk	IPM Advisor
Prof Maryke Labuschagne	Professor, Department of Plant Sciences, University of the Free State
Dr Graham Thompson	Assistant Director, ARC-Vegetable and Ornamental Plants Institute
Mr Jean-Luc Hof	Researcher – Department of Plant Production and Soil Science, University of Pretoria
Prof Charles Reinhardt	Professor and Head of the Department – Plant Production and Soil Science, University of Pretoria



Prototyping crop management systems for specific cotton growing conditions

J. Lançon^{1,2}, J. Wery¹, B. Rapidel^{1,2}, M. Angokaye³, D. Ballo⁴, T. Brévault², V. Cao², J.P. Deguine², P. Dugu², B. Fadegnon⁵, M. Fok², C. Gaborel², E. Gérardeaux², C. Klassou³ and A. Yattara⁴

¹Umr SYSTEM (unité mixte de recherche Cirad-Inra-Ensam sur les systèmes de culture tropicaux et méditerranéens)

²Cirad (Centre de coopération internationale pour la recherche agronomique en développement)

³Irada (Institut camerounais pour la recherche agronomique et le développement)

⁴Ier (Institut d'économie rurale du Mali)

⁵Inraba (Institut national de recherche agricole du Bénin)

Correspondence author jacques.lancon@cirad.fr

ABSTRACT

Cotton cultivation has expanded throughout West African savannahs following relatively standardised growing recommendations. Occurring institutional changes result in more diverse growing practices, less favourable zones under cropping and an overall diversification of cotton growing conditions. Current recommendations are then showing limitations and we try to develop a methodology for conceiving entire cropping systems that could be more adapted to most usual combinations of major constraints. This methodology is based upon expertise, knowledge or experience, whether detained by researchers, extension agents, farmers or users. It involves three successive steps or "rendezvous" devoted to: (i) The diagnosis of major constraints (identification and typology), (ii) The elaboration of the cropping prototype adapted to a chosen set of constraints and (iii) the evaluation and adaptation of the initial prototype after field testing. We present a case where cotton is grown with very limited water available, either because rains are scarce or because of late sowing. The prototypes formed by the experts have been tested in ten locations scattered between Benin, Cameroon and Mali. First results show that they should be specifically adjusted to local constraints before entering a second year of testing. Because it aims at answering a quite complex and very applied question, this approach provides an opportunity for mixing researchers and other actors of the sector and it also draws a good frame for planning research activities within a multidisciplinary group or researchers.

Introduction

Cotton cultivation has expanded throughout West African savannahs under relatively standardized cropping recommendations. Socio-economic and institutional changes induced an increased variability of cotton growing conditions as far as soil fertility, climate and other practices were concerned. As a result, recommendations tend now to fall out of their initial goodness of fit domain. On the basis of the prototyping approach designed by Vereijken (1997), we are developing a holistic and multidisciplinary approach for proceeding to these adjustments and for elaborating and testing new crop management systems (CMS) that are better suited to specific sets of major constraints and farmers objectives.

Experimental procedure

From a set of scattered techniques, we intend to propose a CMS, which takes into account interactions between techniques, cropping constraints and growers objectives.

The prototyping approach has been adapted by Lançon *et al.* (2002) from Vereijken (1997). It is based upon expertise, knowledge or experience, whether detained by scientists, extension agents, farmers or users. It involves three successive "rendezvous" (RV; Figure 1) devoted to (i) the diagnosis of major constraints (identification, classification and priority setting), (ii) the elaboration of the crop prototype adapted to a chosen set of constraints and (iii) the evaluation and adaptation of the initial prototype after field testing.

At the end of each experimentation cycle, scientists decide how to modify the initial prototypes (adjustment phase) to better match the target value.

Preliminary results

Step 1: we present a case where cotton is sown late in the season because of labor force limitation. As a consequence of delayed planting, the amount of natural N and water available for the crop are reduced, and constraints such as insect attacks or labor competition occur at different periods of the plant growth (Figure 2).

Step 2: in the 2nd RV of the approach (Figure 1), scientists propose a CMS prototype (Figure 3), which greatly differ from the standard one (Figure 4) including earlier genotype, increased plant stand, use of herbicides, lower rates of fertilizers, growth regulators and less insecticide spraying.

Step 3: in 2002-03, the first prototypes are compared with standard CMS checks in ten locations scattered between Benin, Cameroon and Mali. Evaluation indicators have been recorded in order to qualify their agronomic, economic and social performance.

Discussion

This approach helps to organize multidisciplinary research and coordinate disciplinary activities in a more demand driven way. It offers a framework where scientists and other sector stakeholders can join their efforts to solve complex questions. To improve the prototyping methodology, we investigated an approach based on conceptual and systemic description of the farmer's field in combination with models used to simulate the effects of techniques (sowing date and density for example).

References

- Lançon, J., Wery, J., Rapidel, B. (2002). Concevoir des prototypes d'itinéraires techniques : cas du cotonnier. Actes de l'atelier recherche, Montpellier, 25-27 juillet 2001, Cirad-Ca, Programme coton,

Umr System.

- Vereijken, P. (1997). A methodological way of prototyping integrated and ecological arable farming systems (I/EAFS) in interaction with pilot farms. *Eur. J. Agron.*, **7**: 235-250.

Figure 1.
Three steps for elaborating a CMS.




Figure 2.
Delayed planting results in new constraints to be managed by the cotton grower.




Figure 3.
An example of a CMS prototype for late planting.




Figure 4.
An example of standard CMS recommendation.

