

User Manual



Simulation tool for sugarcane mill supply organization



Contacts: Sandrine AUZOUX, data processing specialist, <u>sandrine.auzoux@cirad.fr</u> Caroline Lejars, agronomist, <u>caroline.lejars@cirad.fr</u> Pierre-Yves Le Gal, agronomist, <u>pierre-yves.le_gal@cirad.fr</u>

Contents

| Introduction | .4 |
|--|-----|
| Computer modelling of mill supply areas | . 5 |
| I. Software tutorial | . 8 |
| A. Mill supply area options | . 8 |
| 1. To create a new mill supply area | . 8 |
| 2. To delete an existing mill supply area | .9 |
| 3. To simulate a scenario for an existing mill supply area | .9 |
| B. Scenario options | .9 |
| 1. To create or modify a scenario | .9 |
| 2. To duplicate a scenario | 10 |
| 3. To delete a scenario | 10 |
| 4. To import a data file in an existing scenario | 11 |
| a) Mill sheet | 12 |
| b) Cutting capacity sheet | 13 |
| c) Transloading capacity sheet | 13 |
| d) Quality variable sheet | 13 |
| e) Delivery allocation sheet | 13 |
| C. To parameter a scenario | 14 |
| 1. To parameter the mill supply area structure | 14 |
| a) Mill characteristics | 14 |
| b) Production unit characteristics | 15 |
| c) Intermediate operator characteristics | 16 |
| d) Routes | 18 |
| 2. To parameter the season period | 19 |
| 3. To parameter weekly capacities | 21 |
| 4. To parameter quality | 23 |
| 5. To parameter delivery allocations | 25 |
| a) Manual input | 26 |
| b) Default value | 26 |
| c) Uniform throughout the season | 27 |
| d) Uniform throughout periods | 27 |
| D. Obtaining scenario simulation results | 29 |
| 1. Deliveries | 29 |
| 2. Capacities | 30 |
| 3. Quality | 32 |
| 4. Loading rates | 33 |
| 5. Sugar production | 35 |
| 6. Exporting the results to an Excel 2002 file | 36 |
| E. Configuration | 36 |
| 1. Choice of languages | 36 |
| 2. Help | 37 |

Table of illustrations

| I | Disture 1: Dyramidal representation of the mill supply area structure | 5 |
|---|---|---------------|
| I | Disture 2: Structure of the application | 5 7 |
| | Picture 2: Structure of the application | / |
| | Picture 4: Will emotion dialog how | ð |
| | Picture 4: Will creation dialog box | ð 0 |
| | Picture 5: Validation window to remove a mill supply area | 9 |
| | Picture 6: Scenario definition window | 9 |
| I | Picture /: Scenario menu | 10 |
| | Picture 8: Scenario creation dialog box | 10 |
| | Picture 9: Validation window to remove a mill | 11 |
| | Picture 10: Open file dialog box 1 | 11 |
| | Picture 11: Data import window 1 | 12 |
| | Picture 12: Mill sheet in MAGI import format 1 | 13 |
| | Picture 13: Cutting capacity sheet 1 | 13 |
| | Picture 14: Transloading capacity sheet 1 | 13 |
| | Picture 15: Quality indicator sheet 1 | 14 |
| | Picture 16: Delivery allocation sheet 1 | 14 |
| | Picture 17: Parameter menu 1 | 14 |
| | Picture 18: Mill supply area structure definition window - Tab Mill 1 | 15 |
| | Picture 19: Mill supply area structure definition window - Tab PU 1 | 16 |
| | Picture 20; Options menu 1 | 16 |
| | Picture 22: Production unit creation dialog box 1 | 17 |
| | Picture 21: Mill supply area structure definition window - Tab IO 1 | 18 |
| | Picture 22: IO creation dialog box | 18 |
| | Picture 23: Mill supply area structure definition window - Tab Routes | 19 |
| | Picture 24: Route creation dialog box | 20 |
| | Picture 25: Season period definition window | 21 |
| | Picture 26: Dialog box to enter the weekly crushing capacity | 22 |
| | Picture 27: Tab PU - Cutting capacity | 23 |
| | Picture 28: Tab IO - Transloading capacity | 23 |
| | Picture 29: Dialog box to enter the quality | 24 |
| | Picture 30: Tab PU - Quality indicators | 24 |
| | Picture 31: Dialog box to enter the delivery allocation | 25 |
| | Picture 32: Default value | 26 |
| | Picture 33: Season period creation window | 26 |
| | Picture 34: Error list preview | 20 |
| | Picture 35: Simulation results ontion | 20 |
| | Picture 36: Results window - Tab Deliveries | 20 |
| | Picture 37: Results window - Tab Capacities | 30 |
| | Picture 38: Results window - Tab Cuplity | 31 |
| | Picture 30: Results window - Tab Loading rate | 33 |
| | Picture 40: Results window - Tab Sugar production | ר גע גע |
| | Picture 11 : Export to Excel option |)+ 25 |
| | Dicture 47: Language settings many | 26 |
| | Figure 42. Language settings menu | 20 |
| | 11guie 45. neip scieeii | 90 |

Introduction

This software was developed under a CIRAD research project carried out in collaboration with INRA on supply organization in agro-industrial processing units.

It is based on a simulation model, focused on weekly management of cane flows from the field to the mill. This model can be used to study impacts on sugar production, reorganization of mill supply areas, modifications in delivery planning rules, as well as variations in the supply chain capacity and quality.

Unplanned delivery or processing events can occur at various supply chain levels. By simulating these unplanned events, the response capacity of a scenario can be tested with respect to disruptions in the planning system.

Magi is a support tool for negotiations between growers and industrial millers as it specifies possible ways of boosting total value while addressing all parties objectives and constraints.

Computer modelling of mill supply areas

Based on the weekly management of cane flows from a production unit to a mill, MAGI provides a representation of the mill supply process throughout a sugar season.

The model provides a spatial representation of sugarcane flows to the mill. It's based on partitioning of the mill supply area at three levels, i.e. mill, intermediate operators and production units, and also on the characterization of the routes of each production unit to the mill.

A production unit (PU) is an elementary entity postulated or treated as being homogeneous with respect to the delivered cane quality and rules applied to this cane by the mill for the management of cane supply planning and piloting processes. For example, a section of a mill, a group of farms, a homogeneous agroclimatic zone or a category of growers can define production units. The partitioning choices depend on the chosen simulation objectives.

Intermediate operators (IO) are entities who incorporate the contributions of various production units. Depending on their type, they are characterized by their transferring, transporting or loading capacity.

The mill is the third representational level of the mill supply area structural organization. It represents a request quantified by the cane milling capacity.

Routes represent pathways via which cane is hauled from the field to the mill. They are characterized by the dimensioning features of the transloading and transport facilities.

A pyramidal structure was adopted, consisting of N entities through which cane flows transit. Production units which deliver to intermediate operators are positioned at the base of the pyramid. There can be several operator levels but each entity can only deliver to one higher ranking entity. A simulation scenario is built only one mill. The user creates a library of scenarios for each studied mill.



A scenario combines a set of assumptions concerning the supply organization in a mill supply area. In *Magi*, it is based on only one mill, and a library of scenarios is compiled for each studied mill. *Magi* can thus be used to test various supplying scenarios with the aim of increasing sugar production at the mill gate, of taking full advantage of sugar content peaks

and minimizing losses to enhance the quality, while ensuring sufficient operating margins so as to be ready for unplanned events (mill shutdowns, conveyor breakdowns, bad weather, etc.)

The first step involves creating a mill with one or more associated scenarios. Then the PU and IO are characterised and the routes defined. Finally the delivered cane tonnages are quantified. The model makes intermediate calculations on the mill (weekly working period, crushing capacities, and season period) at the intermediate operator level concerning incoming and outgoing cane flows, and at the production unit level concerning delivery allocations.

The model can also be used to carry out simulations based on assumptions not concerning the attribution of growers' cane delivery rights. For example, the supply schedules (date and tonnage) can be adjusted so as to optimally take advantage of the spatiotemporal variability in sugar content of cane in a mill supply area. If maximum sugar content periods differ between production units, the model can calculate the gains that could be achieved by changing the delivery allocation. On the mill supply area scale, this involves determining homogeneous cane ripeness zones, determining delivery schedules per zone so as to optimally exploit sugar content patterns during the season period, and then assessing the potential advantages of this new organization in terms of final sugar production gains. Lastly, it is possible to test whether each new delivery allocation would involve redimensioning the harvesting, transporting, storing and milling equipment.

At exit, *Magi* displays some tables of weekly deliveries for each intermediate operator and production unit, some tables of weekly crushing mill capacities and weekly capacities related to the three quality variables, some tables of weekly quality variable values for each production unit, some tables of loading rates at all mill supply area levels and, obviously, some tables of the weekly sugar production for each production unit and at the mill gate.



Structure of the application

I. Software tutorial

A. Mill supply area options

1. To create a new mill supply area

Select "To create a new mill supply area", and then click "OK".



The following window is displayed. Enter a name of the mill supply area (usually the mill name) and click **OK''**.

| 🧱 N | ew Mill 📃 🗖 🛃 | < |
|-----|---------------------------------|---|
| | Enter a name for the new mill : | |
| | OK Cancel | |

2. To delete an existing mill supply area

Select **"To delete an existing mill supply area"** and confirm the deletion by clicking **"OK"** in the following dialog box.

| ATTENTION | |
|--|--|
| You are about to remove the supply area and all associated scenarios, PUs and IOs. Do you want to continue? | |
| OK Cancel | |

3. To simulate a scenario for an existing mill supply area

Select "To choose an existing mill supply area", then click **"OK".** The scenario definition window is then displayed on the screen.

| 🕞 Definition of a scenario | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| 谢 Scenario 🔹 🎢 Parameter 🔹 🏞 Help | | |
| Existing Scenarios | | Information |
| | Name : | ScenarioRef |
| | Date : | 09-05-2005 |
| | Comment : | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

B. Scenario options

1. To create or modify a scenario

From the scenario definition window, select "**Create**" or "**Modify**" in the "**Scenario**" menu.

| 📆 Scenario 🔹 | 🕂 Parameter 🔹 🀬 Help |
|------------------|----------------------|
| Create Modify | Scenarios |
| Duplicate | |
| Delete | |
| Import | Nam |
| Simulation resul | :5 |
| | Data |

The "Creation/modification of a scenario" window is displayed as follows:

| 🎆 To crea | ite a scenario | | |
|-----------|----------------|------------|---|
| | New S | cenario | |
| Name : | I | | |
| Date : | | 11-07-2005 | |
| Comme | nt: | | |
| | | | |
| | | | 8 |
| | ОК | Cancel | ? |

The scenario name is an obligatory field. There must only be one. The scenario creation date is the current date, but it can be modified. The Comment section gives details on the scenario. It is not obligatory.

2. To duplicate a scenario

From the Scenario definition window, choose the scenario to be duplicated then select **"Duplicate**" in the **"Scenario"** menu. All of the scenario parameters are duplicated and the new scenario appears in the list. To delete a scenario

3. To delete a scenario

To delete an existing scenario, select the scenario to delete, select the "**Delete**" option in the "**Scenario**" menu, and then confirm the deletion by clicking "**OK**".

| Attention, suppression | 🔀 |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Are you sure yo Beaucha | u want to delete amptest ? |
| ОК | Cancel |

4. To import a data file in an existing scenario

From the Scenario definition window, select "**Import**" in the "**Scenario**" menu. Choose your data file and then click "**Open**".

| Open | | ?× |
|------------------------|---|--------|
| Look inside: | MAGI_Données | |
| My recent documents | Deu de données FORMAT Deu de données Sezela 2000 Deu de données Sezela 2000-Bis | |
| Office | | |
| Working station | | |
| Tavourite networks | File name: | Open |
| | | Jancel |

The **"Imports"** window is displayed as follows:

| fill Imports | | | | | | | _ |
|--|---|-------------------------|-------------------|------------|------------------|-----------------------|-----|
| till | Breakdown Ra | ates Week | ly Working Period | Hourly Cru | ushing Capicity | Losses All | |
| ezela | ~ | | Γ | | 7 | | |
| Production l | Jnits Imports | | | | | | |
| บ | test1 | test2 | test3 | RV | Cutting Capacity | Deliveries Allocation | All |
| bastal | v | v | v | v | V | v | V |
| land | ~ | V | V | | ✓ | v | |
| SG | | | v | v | V | V | V |
| iversion | | | | | | | |
| elect a colum Selec | n x | Unselect | | | | | |
| elect a colum Selec ntermediato D ston nitrans C | n st • Operators Impor Transloading Cap I | Unselect ts acity | | | | | |

You can import all of the data or select only those which interest you. In the first two tables, the "All" column enables the user to deal with a whole row. In the production units table, it is also possible to deal with the columns by using the drop-down menu and one of the two buttons located just below. The two buttons under the intermediate operators table also makes it possible to deal with the column "transfer capacity". Click "OK" once your choice is made.

Data is imported from an Excel file in a preset format. The "FORMAT_En.xls" file is an example of the MAGI importation file format. It can be found in the directory "C:\Program Files\CIRAD\Magi2004". This file is presented in the form of five sheets to fill in.

| 8). | leu de données FORMAT_En | | | | | |
|------|---|-------------|---------|-------|-------|-----|
| | A | В | C | D | E | F |
| 1 | Name of the Mill | | | | | - |
| 2 | Weekly Breakdown Rate (%) | val 1 | | | | |
| 3 | Weekly Working Period (h) | val 1 | | | | |
| 4 | Hourly Capacities (t/h) | | | | | |
| 5 | Crushing | val 1 | | | | |
| 6 | Indice1 | val 1 | | | | |
| 7 | Indice2 | val 1 | | | | |
| 8 | Indice3 | val 1 | | | | |
| 9 | Week | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | etc |
| 10 | Losses1 (%) | val 1 | val 2 | val 3 | val 4 | etc |
| 11 | Losses2(%) | val 1 | val 2 | val 3 | val 4 | etc |
| 12 | Total Losses(%) | val 1 | val 2 | val 3 | val 4 | etc |
| 13 | | | | 1 | | |
| 14 | What never change | 2 | | | | |
| 15 | Change the value | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | | |
| 14 4 | ► M Mill-Usine (CapOfCut-CapDeCoupe / CapC | OfTransfer- | CapDe 📢 | | | • |

a) Mill sheet

The blue cells must be filled in.

b) Cutting capacity sheet

| 2). | leu de donné | es FORMAT | _En | | | | | |
|----------|---------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|------------|-------|-----|
| | A | В | С | D | E | F | G | Н |
| 1 | Week | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | etc |
| 2 | PU1 | val 1 | val 2 | val 3 | val 4 | val 5 | val 6 | etc |
| 3 | PU2 | val 1 | val 2 | val 3 | val 4 | val 5 | val 6 | etc |
| 4 | etc | | | 1 | | | | |
| 5 | | 0.5 | | | | | | |
| . | (► H \ Mill-U | lsine λ Cap(| DfCut-CapDel | Coupe / Cap | OfTransfer-Ca | ↓ [| | • |

c) Transloading capacity sheet

| 8 | 📲 Jeu de données FORMAT_En 📃 🗖 🔀 | | | | | | | X | |
|-----|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-------|-------|-----|---|
| | A | В | C | D | E | F | G | Н | |
| 1 | Week | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | etc | - |
| 2 | 101 | val 1 | val 2 | val 3 | val 4 | val 5 | val 6 | etc | |
| 3 | 102 | val 1 | val 2 | val 3 | val 4 | val 5 | val 6 | etc | |
| 4 | etc | 1.0 | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 2.1 | | | | | | | | - |
| H - | (→ →) Capt | DfTransfer-(| CapDeTransfe | ert / Indice1 / | Indice2 / In | • | | | 1 |

d) Quality variable sheet

| . | Jeu de dom | nées FORMAT | ſ_En | | | | - | | |
|----------|-------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|-------|-------|-----|----|
| | A | В | C | D | E | F | G | Н | - |
| 1 | Week | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | etc | |
| 2 | PU1 | val 1 | val 2 | val 3 | val 4 | val 5 | val 6 | etc | |
| 3 | PU2 | val 1 | val 2 | val 3 | val 4 | val 5 | val 6 | etc | |
| 4 | etc | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | - |
| H · | () H) Inc | tice1 / Indice2 | 2 / Indice3 / | RV-Indicateur | Synthetique | •[| | | IL |

e) Delivery allocation sheet

| B). | Jeu de don | nées FORMAT | ſ_En | | | ille. | | | |
|-------------|------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|-------|-------|-----|------|
| | A | В | C | D | E | F | G | Н | - |
| 1 | Week | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | etc | - |
| 2 | PU1 | val 1 | val 2 | val 3 | val 4 | val 5 | val 6 | etc | |
| 3 | PU2 | val 1 | val 2 | val 3 | val 4 | val 5 | val 6 | etc | |
| 4 | etc | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | · · H/ R | V-Indicateur S | ivnthetique) | AllocationDe | liveries-DL / | • | 1.14 | | NI I |

C. To parameter a scenario



1. To parameter the mill supply area structure

Select "Supply area structure" in the "Parameter" menu in the "Scenario definition" window.

| 🛱 Supply area structure | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 🤹 Options 🔻 🤿 Help | 🥋 Options 🔻 🥐 Help | | | | | | |
| ScenarioRef | | | | | | | |
| Mills Production Units Intermediate operators Structure | | | | | | | |
| | Sezela | | | | | | |
| | Breakdown rate : | 3 % | | | | | |
| | Hourly average crushing capacity : | 450 t/h | | | | | |
| | Weekly working period : | 159 h | | | | | |
| | Weekly average crushing capacity : | 54880 t/sem | | | | | |
| | , Average crushing Capacity with : | | | | | | |
| | Quality gauge 1 : test1 | 72 t/h | | | | | |
| | Quality gauge 2 : test2 | 10 t/h | | | | | |
| | Quality gauge 3 : test3 | 68 t/h | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

a) Mill characteristics

The "Mills" tab gives all information concerning the mill.

The "Weekly average crushing capacity" is automatically computed with the "Weekly working period", the "Hourly average crushing capacity" and the "Breakdown rate". Green shading means that it is a computed field that cannot be manually modified.

To modify all other variables, select "Modify" in the "Options" menu.

| 🎆 Supply a | Supply area structure | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| 🥋 Options | 🤀 Options 👻 🤿 Help | | | | | | |
| Create Modify | | ScenarioRef | | | | | |
| Delete Print | oduction Units Intermediate operat | luction Units Intermediate operators Structure | | | | | |
| list of production units | | Coastal | | | | | |
| Coastal Inland SSG Diversio | n | Area : | 0 ha | | | | |
| | | Yield : | 0 t/ha | | | | |
| | | Tonnage delivered : | 1504371 t | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

b) Production unit characteristics

From the **''Options''** menu, it is possible to create, modify or remove a production unit after having selected it in the list.

| 🕞 Supply a | area structure: | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| 🤬 Options | - 🤊 Help | |
| Create | | So |
| Modify | | |
| Delete | | |
| Print | oduction Units Intermediate operate | ors Structure |
| | list of production units | |
| Coastal Inland SSG Diversio | n | Supply area |

The following window appears:

| Scer | narioRef | |
|---|----------|------|
| Choose an existing Production Unit : | Coastal | × |
| Or enter a name of production unit · | | |
| Area : | | ha |
| Yield : | | t/ha |
| Delivered tonnage : | | t |

Two possible creation options:

- Choose an existing production unit in another scenario
 Enter a new name

"Delivered tonnage" is a computed field which corresponds to the product of the area by the yield. However, it can also be entered manually by the user.

| 😽 Supply a | Supply area structure | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------|---------|--|--|--|
| 🤬 Options 🔹 | 🔸 🤿 Help | | | | | |
| Create Modify | | ScenarioRef | | | | |
| Delete | oduction Units Intermediate operato | s Structure | | | | |
| L | ist of intermediate operators | E | ston | | | |
| Eston Unitrans HC | | | Haulier | | | |

c) Intermediate operator characteristics

As mentioned concerning production units, it is possible to create, modify, or delete an intermediate operator from the "Options" menu.

The following window is displayed:

| 🖥 Create an intermediate operator | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------|-------|--|--|--|
| ScenarioRef | | | | | |
| To choose an existing operator: | Eston | ~ | | | |
| Intermediate operator name: | | | | | |
| Туре: | Haulier | ► ± = | | | |
| ОК | Cancel | ? | | | |

Two possible creation options:

- > Choose an existing intermediate operator in an existing scenario
- \succ Enter a name and then a type

To delete a type, it should first of all be selected in the drop-down menu then click . Similarly, to add one, just click .

The button on the right of the field validates the new type.

To register the current operator, click at the bottom of the form.

d) Routes

| 🖀 Supply area structure | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 🤬 Options | 🤹 Options 👻 🥐 Help | | | | | | |
| Create Modify | ScenarioRef | | | | | | |
| Delete Print | oduction Units Intermediate operators | Structure | | | | | |
| 1 4 2 | Supply chains | Production unit: Diversion | | | | | |
| 3 | | List of intermediate operators: Eston Mill : Sezela | | | | | |

As mentioned concerning production units, it is possible to create, modify, or delete a route from the **"Options"** menu.

The following window is displayed:

| 🕏 To create a route | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | ScenarioRef | | | | | |
| | Supply chain5 | | | | | |
| | - Production unit- | | | | | |
| | Coastal | | | | | |
| Enter the intermediate opera | -Intermediate Operators - Enter the intermediate operators in the cane routing order | | | | | |
| Haulier | Eston Unitrans HC | | | | | |
| Sezela mill | | | | | | |
| | OK Cancel | 2 | | | | |

To create a route, select a production unit in the drop-down menu (there can only be one route per production unit). Then select an intermediate operator in the list of the operators (the first list located on the left makes it possible to filter the intermediate operators by type). The button is used to transfer the selected operator to the final list. This is process carried out for each operator while strictly respecting the cane routing order. An operator can be deleted by clicking the button while having previously selected it in the list of selected operators.

2. To parameter the season period

Select "Definition of season period" in the "Parameter" menu in the "Scenario definition" window.

| 🎆 Definition of sea | son period | | |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|------------|--|
| ? | | | |
| | Seze | ela | |
| | Scen | arioRef | |
| | Default season length (weeks) : | 43 | |
| | Calculated season length (weeks) : | 44 | |
| | Calculated season start : | 25/03/1999 | |
| | Desired end of season : | 20/01/2000 | |
| | | ОК | |

The green "season length" and "season start" fields are calculated fields:

• The determination of the season period (in weeks) takes into account the total tonnage of cane to be milled in the season, as estimated by growers, and the average weekly milling capacity of the sugar refinery, estimated by the millers:

$$Dc \cong \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Tg_i}{Cbhm}$$

Where,

Tg: delivered tonnage of PU i *Cbhm:* average weekly crushing capacity of the mill n: number of PUs

• The season start is calculated from the length of the season period and the season ending date.

Magi takes the first "Season period" field into account for the calculation of weekly flows. Its default value corresponds to the computed value. However, it is possible to modify its theoretical value to determine the exact time of the season period.

3. To parameter weekly capacities

Select "Weekly capacity" in the "Parameter" menu in the "Scenario definition" window.

| 🖓 Weekly capacity | | | | | |
|--|---|--------------|-----------------|---------------------|----|
| 2 | | | | | |
| | S | cenarioRet | - | | |
| Mill Production units Intermediate operators | | | | | |
| | | F | Real weekly cru | ish capacity | |
| | | Effective wo | rking period | | ОК |
| | | Week | EWP | Real Crush Capacity | ^ |
| | • | 13 | 159 | 69403,5 | |
| | | 14 | 159 | 69403,5 | |
| | | 15 | 159 | 69403,5 | |
| | - | 16 | 159 | 69403,5 | - |
| | _ | 17 | 159 | 69403,5 | |
| N B | - | 18 | 159 | 69403,5 | |
| | - | 19 | 159 | 69403,5 | - |
| | - | 20 | 159 | 69403,5 | |
| | | 21 | 159 | 69403,5 | |
| | _ | 22 | 159 | 69403,5 | - |
| | - | 23 | 159 | 69403,5 | |
| | | 24 | 159 | 69403,5 | × |
| | < | | |) > | |
| | | | | | |

In the **"Mill"** tab, the real weekly crushing capacity is calculated from the effective working period (EWP).

The weekly working period of the mill is calculated in two times. Initially, we calculate a working period without breakdowns according to the following general formula:

DFHP = 168h - maintenance time - planned breakdowns (closing for leave, etc.)

Then we calculate the effective working period after integration of an average breakdown rate:

EWP = DFHP*(1 - breakdown rate)

For each week S, the weekly capacity of the mill is given by the following formula:

Weekly capacity = $(168 - weekly maintenance time)^* (1 - breakdown rate)^*$ hourly capacity

Note that the hourly capacity of the mill, when it varies, is calculated from an objective extraction rate and quality of the cane that enters the mill, according to a yet to be determined formula.

A default EWP value can be entered and can be allocated for each week of the season period by clicking "**OK**". It is also possible to modify the EWP values individually for each week.

| 🗃 Weekly capacity | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-----|---------|------------------|--|
| ? | | | | | |
| | Scenario | Ref | | | |
| Mill Production units Intermediate operate | ors Enter a default value | | | | |
| | | | OK | | |
| List of production units | - | | Cutting | сараску | |
| | [| | Week | Harvest capacity | |
| Inland | | • | 13 | 48000 | |
| SSG | | | 14 | 48000 | |
| Diversion | | | 15 | 48000 | |
| | | | 16 | 48000 | |
| | | | 17 | 48000 | |
| | | | 18 | 48000 | |
| | | | 19 | 48000 | |
| | | | 20 | 48000 | |
| | | | 21 | 48000 | |
| | | | 22 | 48000 | |
| | | | 23 | 48000 | |
| | | | 24 | 48000 | |
| | | | 25 | 48000 | |
| | | < | 26 | 49000 | |
| | | | | | |

In the **"PU"** tab, as noted previously, a default cutting capacity value can be given per week to the PU selected in the list. Moreover, a cutting capacity can be modified manually for a given week.

| 🖥 Weekly capacity | | |
|---|-----------------|-------------------|
| ? | | |
| | ScenarioRef | |
| | | |
| Mill Production units Interinediate operators | 1 × 1 1 | |
| | a default value | |
| List of intermediate operators | Transloa | ding capacity : |
| Exten | Week | Transfer capacity |
| Unitrans | ▶ 13 | 5000 |
| HC | 14 | 5000 |
| | 15 | 5000 |
| | 16 | 5000 |
| | 17 | 5000 |
| | 18 | 5000 |
| | 19 | 5000 |
| | 20 | 5000 |
| | 21 | 5000 |
| | 22 | 5000 |
| | 23 | 5000 |
| | 24 | 5000 |
| | 25 | 5000 |
| | 200 | 5000 |
| | 151 | |

In the **"Intermediate operators**" tab, the transfer capacity can be entered as explained previously, i.e. by giving a default value or by a manual entry for a week given.

4. To parameter quality

Select "Quality" in the "Parameter" menu in the "Scenario definition" window.

| 🖉 Quality | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|-------|-----------------|---------------|---------------------|--------|
| ? | | | | | | |
| | | Scena | arioRef | | | |
| Mill Production units | | | | | | |
| | | | | En | ter a default value | : ОК |
| | | | weekly | deterioration | (%) | |
| | | c | Choice of losse | s to enter | | Calcul |
| | | Week | Losses 1 | Losses 2 | Total losses | ~ |
| | • | 13 | 0 | 0 | 2 | |
| | | 14 | 0 | 0 | 2 | |
| | | 15 | 0 | 0 | 2 | |
| | | 16 | 0 | 0 | 2 | |
| NOR HER BELLE | | 17 | 0 | 0 | 2 | |
| | | 18 | 0 | 0 | 2 | |
| | | 19 | 0 | 0 | 2 | |
| | | 20 | 0 | 0 | 2 | |
| | | 21 | 0 | 0 | 2 | |
| | | 22 | 0 | 0 | 2 | |
| | | 23 | 0 | 0 | 2 | |
| | | 24 | 0 | 0 | 2 | |
| | | 25 | n | 0 | 2 | |
| | | | | | | E |

The "Mill" tab concerns weekly sugar losses.

In "**Reunion**", "**Losses 1**" relate to the technical difference between the sample obtained at the weigh scales and that obtained at the mill entrance. "**Losses 2**" represent the losses due to the milling process. Total losses are calculated automatically as the sum of "**Losses 1**" and "**Losses 2**" for one week by clicking "**Calculation**".

In "**South Africa**", there is no sampling done outside of the mill, so total losses can thus be entered directly by entering a default value if the losses do not vary throughout the season period, or by manually entering the values for each week.

| Quality | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|----|--------|------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------|----------|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | Scen | arioRef | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Mill Production units | | | | | | | |
| | | | | - Enter | a dafault valua | 8 | |
| | | | | Enter | a derault value | 576 | 1 |
| List of production units | | | | | | OK | |
| (Charles) | | | | | | | |
| Inland | | | weekly i | ndications of c | juality | | |
| SSG | 12 | | 20.00 | o ana a | | | 2.0 |
| Diversion | | | Choice d | of quality indica | ator (%) | | |
| | | Sec. 1 | | 1-10 | 1-12 | 1.10.11 | |
| | | Week | testi | test2 | test3 | Ind Synth. | ^ |
| | | 13 | 9,995764 | 3,11615515 | 16,4481564 | 5,18614864 | |
| | | 14 | 10,1092758 | 2,93817544 | 16,2201576 | 5,56101227 | |
| | | 15 | 11,0388 | 2,93570566 | 15,6090813 | 6,506095 | |
| | | 16 | 11,2295618 | 2,97891879 | 15,6218815 | 6,634477 | |
| | | 17 | 11,4794884 | 2,906487 | 15,4051323 | 6,99289656 | |
| | | 18 | 11,39261 | 2,51055884 | 16,35315 | 7,45603 | |
| | | 19 | 11,8520117 | 2,58583927 | 16,104887 | 7,811575 | |
| | | 20 | 12,8612051 | 2,45800519 | 15,3656883 | 9,01398 | |
| | | 21 | 12,581398 | 2,34522486 | 15,5991173 | 8,899153 | |
| | | 22 | 13,4090509 | 2,375817 | 15,3380527 | 9,688015 | |
| | | 23 | 13,75778 | 2,256749 | 14,9235706 | 10,214365 | |
| | | 24 | 13,9150219 | 2,26179314 | 14,8560753 | 10,367548 | |
| | | | | | | | |

The second "**Production units**" tab relates to the cane quality variables. The columns "**Ind1**", "**Ind2**", "**Ind3**" correspond to weekly quality index values entered in the "**Mill**" tab on the mill supply area structure definition level. The synthetic indicator corresponds to the sugar content of the cane. A default value can be entered as a specific value for a given week.

5. To parameter delivery allocations

Select "Delivery allocations" in the "Parameter" menu in the "Scenario definition" window.

| 🏽 planning of delivery allocations | | | | |
|------------------------------------|----|------------------|----------------------|----------|
| ? | | | | |
| | | on orig D of | | 1 |
| | 50 | enanokei | | |
| | 12 | | | |
| List of production units | 1 | | Deliveru allocations | Y |
| | 8 | | Denrey Globalorio | |
| Diversion | | Data entru tech | nnique : | ~ |
| Inland | | D did onlig tool | | |
| SSG | | | | Checking |
| | | Week | Deliveru allocation | ~ |
| | | 13 | 2257 12 | |
| | | 14 | 2257,12 | |
| | | 15 | 2257.12 | ·ㅋ· |
| | | 16 | 2257,12 | |
| | | 17 | 2257,12 | |
| | | 18 | 2257,12 | |
| | | 19 | 2257,12 | |
| | | 20 | 2257,12 | |
| | | 21 | 2257,12 | |
| | | 22 | 2257,12 | |
| | | 23 | 2257,12 | |
| | | 24 | 2257,12 | |
| | | 25 | 2257,12 | |
| | | 26 | 2257 12 | |

Magi proposes various delivery allocation calculation methods.

a) Manual input

Delivery allocations are entered individually for each week of the season. A check procedure verifies that potential flows of the successive supply chain links are not exceeded.

b) Default value

| | Delivery allo | cations | |
|-----------------|--------------------|---------------|----|
| Data entry tech | nnique : | Default value | ~ |
| Enter a defa | ult value : | | ОК |
| Week | Delivery allocatio | m | |
| 13 | 2257.12 | | |

A default value may also be entered and assigned to every week of the season.

c) Uniform throughout the season

The "**Uniform throughout the season**" option is selected to obtain an identical maximum value for each week of the season.

DA = Total Tonnage PU / Season period

In this case, the two constraints "Delivery of total PU tonnage" and "Weekly mill capacity not exceeded" are usually automatically taken into account.

d) Uniform throughout periods

Delivery periods during the season period in which delivery allocations are constant may also be determined: The objective in this case is to ask each PU to deliver_over a certain period, according to a uniform weekly delivery allocation. For every PU, it is thus necessary to determine the week of the beginning and end of delivery during the season period and to calculate the weekly delivery allocations according to the following formula:

DA = PU Total Tonnage / PU Delivery period PU Delivery Period (weeks) = week of end of delivery - week of beginning of delivery + 1

The "**Total PU tonnage delivery**" constraint is automatically taken into account. It is essential that the calculated delivery allocation does not exceed the harvest capacity of the production unit considered; otherwise an error message will be displayed. The user must then extend this delivery period so as to remain under the harvest capacity.

The **''Weekly mill capacity not exceeded**" constraint must be checked once all the delivery allocations are defined. For certain weeks, the sum of the PU deliveries may exceed this capacity. When exiting a scenario, a listing of these weeks is thus displayed, with the percentage exceeding the capacity calculated according to the formula:

$$Dh_j = 100 \times \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{L_{ij}}{Cbh_i}$$

Where,

Dlij: deliveries of PU i in week j *Cbhj*: weekly mill crushing capacity in week j The period creation window is displayed as follows:

| 🎆 To create periods | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|--|
| | Definit | ion of th | e period | |
| | | Diversion | | |
| Number of periods : | 0 | | Created periods | |
| Date of start ; Date of end : | 13 55 | | | |
| Tonnage rate : | | | 4 | |
| | ОК |) כ | Cancel 🧖 | |
| | | | | |

A beginning week and an ending week along with a tonnage rate (in %) are entered, and then the period is entered by clicking \textcircled . To delete a period, select it and then click \textcircled . The number of periods is calculated automatically. In this case, the delivery allocations will be the same for each week of the period and proportional to the tonnage rate. Click \textcircled and \oiint to scroll down the list of created periods. Once the periods are defined, validate them by clicking "OK". When certain constraints are not respected, a summary listing is displayed with an explanation on each value exceeded per week. The following is an example:

| 🖓 Error list | |
|---|---|
| Listing des erreurs après affectation des DL de l'acheminement n°1 | ^ |
| Semaine 13 : La somme hebdomadaire de tous les DL dépasse la capacité hebdomadaire de broyage de l'usine !! -> sommeDLSemaine = 56427,12 -*- Capacité hebdo de l'usine = 54880 | |
| Semaine 14 : La somme hebdomadaire de tous les DL dépasse la capacité hebdomadaire de broyage de l'usine !! -> sommeDLSemaine = 56427,12 -*- Capacité hebdo de l'usine = 54880 | |
| Semaine 15 : La somme hebdomadaire de tous les DL dépasse la capacité hebdomadaire de broyage de l'usine !! -> sommeDLSemaine = 56427.12 -* Capacité hebdo de l'usine = 54880 | |
| Semaine 16 : La somme hebdomadaire de tous les DL dépasse la capacité hebdomadaire de broyage de l'usine !! | |
| Semaine 17 : La somme hebdomadaire de tous les DL dépasse la capacité hebdomadaire de broyage de l'usine !! | |
| Semaine 18 : La somme hebdomadaire de tous les DL dépasse la capacité hebdomadaire de broyage de l'usine !! | |
| Semaine 19 : La somme hebdomadaire de tous les DL dépasse la capacité hebdomadaire de broyage de l'usine !! | |
| Semaine 20 : La somme hebdomadaire de tous les DL dépasse la capacité hebdomadaire de broyage de l'usine !! | |
| Semaine 21 : La somme hebdomadaire de tous les DL dépasse la capacité hebdomadaire de broyage de l'usine !! | ~ |

D. Obtaining scenario simulation results

From the Scenario definition window, select Simulation results" in the "Scenario" menu.



The window includes five tabs:

1. Deliveries

| otions 🝷 🀬 | Help | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | | | 9 | ScenarioRe | f | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| ivery allocatio | ons Capacities | Quality Load | ding rate Suga | r production | | | | |
| | | | Deli | very allocat | ions | | | |
| PU - > Mill | | | | | | | | |
| PU | Total | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| Coastal | 1504370,957 | 34985,37 | 34985,37 | 34985,37 | 34985,37 | 34985,37 | 34985,37 | 34985,37 |
| Diversion | 97056,16503 | 2257,12 | 2257,12 | 2257,12 | 2257,12 | 2257,12 | 2257,12 | 2257,12 |
| Inland | 533808,8867 | 12414,16 | 12414,16 | 12414,16 | 12414,16 | 12414,16 | 12414,16 | 12414,16 |
| SSG | 291168,9150 | 6771,37 | 6771,37 | 6771,37 | 6771,37 | 6771,37 | 6771,37 | 6771,37 |
| Total | 2426404,923 | 56428,02 | 56428,02 | 56428,02 | 56428,02 | 56428,02 | 56428,02 | 56428,02 |
| < | ш | | | 12 | | | | > |
| 10 - > Mill | 9 | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Total | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| Eston | 97056,16503 | 2257,12 | 2257,12 | 2257,12 | 2257,12 | 2257,12 | 2257,12 | 2257,12 |
| HC | 291168,9150 | 6771,37 | 6771,37 | 6771,37 | 6771,37 | 6771,37 | 6771,37 | 6771,37 |
| Unitrans | 2038179,843 | 47399,53 | 47399,53 | 47399,53 | 47399,53 | 47399,53 | 47399,53 | 47399,53 |
| Total | 2426404,923 | 56428,02 | 56428,02 | 56428,02 | 56428,02 | 56428,02 | 56428,02 | 56428,02 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

The first table (PU->mill) represents the weekly cane deliveries of each production unit with the total and the remainder to be delivered (total produced - total delivered) given in second and last column. The last row represents the total for all units.

The second table (IO->mill) represents weekly cane flows of each intermediate operator with the total given in the second column and the total for all intermediate operators given in the last row.

| | | | Sce | enarioRef | | | | |
|------------------|-------------|----------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------|
| iucuu allocation | Canacities | Quality Loadie | a rata Cuaar r | and unition] | | | | |
| ively allocation | | | ig late Sugar p | broduction | | | | |
| | | | C | apacities | | | | |
| Crush Capa | city | | | | | | | |
| Average | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| 69403,5 < | 69403,5 | 69403,5 | 69403,5 | 69403,5 | 69403,5 | 69403,5 | 69403,5 | 69403 |
| test1 | | | | | | | | |
| Average | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| 78332,68995 | 105110,5775 | 103404,4918 | 97020,74579 | 96319,60082 | 93720,90589 | 95327,89966 | 92146,19673 | 85723 |
| test2 | | | | | | | | |
| Average | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| 68247,35407 | 51916,65335 | 55015,22321 | 54506,16679 | 53666,48453 | 54575,58355 | 61512,20689 | 60442,67830 | 63289 |
| test3 | | 1 | n | | T | | P | |
| Average | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| CCNN3 70070 | 63025,55918 | 65220,17245 | 67250,66374 | 67501,74273 | 68476,09952 | 65555,45881 | 65632,58822 | 68065 |
| < | | | | | | | | |
| Quality | | 1.0.000 | 1000000 | | | | | |

2. Capacities

This tab represents the weekly mill capacities. The first table gives the crushing capacity; the three following tables give the calculated weekly capacities relative to the three quality variables, while the last gives the minima of the three previous values. The average is given in the first column of each table. The crushing capacity is the same for the entire season period (cf. capacity calculated on the mill screen).

The weekly capacities related to indices 1, 2 and 3 are calculated as follows: *First step*: calculation of the weekly average quality values for the mill supply area for week j and index K (Qu_{kj}):

$$Q u_{kj} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} Q_{kij} \times L_{ij}}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{ij}}$$

Where

k: 1, 2, 3 m: number of PUs QU_{kj} : weighted average of the quality of index k in week j Q_{kij} : value of the quality of index k for PU i in week j Lij: delivery of PU i for week j $(Q_{kij}$ were entered in the Quality module)

2nd step: calculation of the weekly capacities for week j and index k (Che_{kj}) relative to the three quality variables (and corresponding to the three lines of the table: Indices 1, 2 and 3)

$$Che_{kj} = \frac{Dfe_{j} \times (100 - Txp) \times Cho_{k}}{Qu_{kj}}$$

Where

Defj: the effective mill working period for week j (value obtained from the "Weekly mill capacity" module) Txp: breakdown rate in % (e.g. 3.6%) (value obtained from the "Mill" module) Cho_k: hourly capacity for the quality variable of index k (t/h) (value obtained from the "Mill" module) Qu_{ki}: weighted averages for the quality variable of index k in week j

Third step: calculation of the weekly capacities minima for week j

$$Che_j = \min\left(Che_{1j}, Che_{2j}, Che_{3j}\right)$$
 or $Che_j = \min_{k=1,2,3}\left(Che_{kj}\right)$

3. Quality

| ptions 🔹 🍞 H | lelp | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| | | | Sce | enarioRef | | | | |
| | - | | | | | | | |
| elivery allocation | s Capacities | Quality Loadir | ng rate Sugar p | production | | | | |
| | | | Ca | ane quality | | | | |
| Indicatour | un thátice to | | | | | | | |
| PU | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| Coastal | 5,186149 | 5,561012 | 6,506095 | 6,634477 | 6,992897 | 7,45603 | 7,811575 | 9,0135 |
| test1 | | | | | | | | |
| PU | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| Coastal | 9,995764 | 10,10928 | 11,0388 | 11,22956 | 11,47949 | 11,39261 | 11,85201 | 12,861 |
| test2 | | | | | | | | - |
| PU | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| Coastal | 3,116155 | 2,938175 | 2,935706 | 2,978919 | 2,906487 | 2,510559 | 2,585839 | 2,4580 |
| test3 | | | | | | | | |
| PU | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| Coastal | 16,44816 | 16,22016 | 15,60908 | 15,62188 | 15,40513 | 16,35315 | 16,10489 | 15,365 |
| Mill | | | | | | | | |
| indicateur | Moyenne | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| Indicateur Sy | 10,77780860 | 5,955693538 | 6,377351286 | 7,092548696 | 7,062133324 | 7,472960644 | 7,727594581 | 8,0638 |

The first four tables of this tab represent a weekly summary of the quality variables for each production unit. The last column gives the weighted average for the season. The fifth table represents the quality of the mill supply area.

Data from the Quality module are used for the qualities per PU and week, without further calculation. The seasonal average per PU is calculated by weighting the weekly quality values by their effective deliveries

$$Q_i = \sum_{j=1}^n Q_{ij} \times L_{ij} \div \sum_{j=1}^n L_{ij}$$

Where

 $\begin{array}{l} Q_i\text{: weighted average quality of PU i for the season} \\ Q_{ij}\text{: quality of PU i in week } j \\ L_{ij}\text{: deliveries of PU i in week } j \end{array}$

The average weighted qualities per delivery for the mill supply area for week j (Qu_j) are calculated by the following formula:

$$QU_{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} Q_{ij} \times L_{ij} \div \sum_{i=1}^{m} L_{ij}$$

Where

 Q_{ij} : quality of PU i in week j L_{ij} : deliveries of PU i in week j

And the average weighted qualities per delivery are thus obtained for the mill supply area and for the entire season (Qu).

$$QU = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} Q_{ij} \times L_{ij} \div \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} L_{ij}$$

Where

 Q_{ij} : quality of PU i in week j L_{ij} : deliveries of PU i in week j n: number of weeks m: number of PUs

4. Loading rates

| | | | _ | | | | | |
|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| | | | Sc | enarioRef | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| livery allocatio | ins Capacities | Quality Loadin | g rate Sugar p | production | | | | |
| | | | | a dia a rata | | | | |
| | | | LC | ading rate | | | | |
| PU | Average | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| Coastal | 72,88618977 | 72,88618977 | 72,88618977 | 72,88618977 | 72,88618977 | 72,88618977 | 72,88618977 | 72,8861 |
| Diversion | 45,14240234 | 45,14240234 | 45,14240234 | 45,14240234 | 45,14240234 | 45,14240234 | 45,14240234 | 45,1424 |
| Inland | 65,33768503 | 65,33768503 | 65,33768503 | 65,33768503 | 65,33768503 | 65,33768503 | 65,33768503 | 65,3376 |
| SSG | 84,64212646 | 84,64212646 | 84,64212646 | 84,64212646 | 84,64212646 | 84,64212646 | 84,64212646 | 84,6421 |
| < | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Average | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| Eston | 45,14240234 | 45,14240234 | 45,14240234 | 45,14240234 | 45,14240234 | 45,14240234 | 45,14240234 | 45,1424 |
| HC | 84,64212646 | 84,64212646 | 84,64212646 | 84,64212646 | 84,64212646 | 84,64212646 | 84,64212646 | 84,6421 |
| Unitrans | 67,71361607 | 67,71361607 | 67,71361607 | 67,71361607 | 67,71361607 | 67,71361607 | 67,71361607 | 67,7136 |
| < | - 111 | | | | | | | |
| Crushing | Average | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| Sezela | 81,30429075 | 81,30429075 | 81,30429075 | 81,30429075 | 81,30429075 | 81,30429075 | 81,30429075 | 81,304 |
| Quality | Average | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| | | | | 400.000000 | 400.0000000 | 100.000000 | 100.000000 | 100.004 |

The first table represents the loading rates of the production units with the arithmetic average for the season given in the second column. The second table represents the loading rates of the intermediate operators. The third table represents the loading rate for the mill crushing capacity, and the last table represents the loading rate for the quality.

The loading rates are calculated by dividing the quantities collected, transferred or milled by the capacities calculated by type of operator. They are expressed in % (e.g. 95%):

$$\mathcal{T}up_{ij} = \frac{100 \times L_{ij}}{Cr_i}$$

Where

L_{ij}: delivery of PU i in week j *Cr_i*: harvest capacity of PU i

$$\mathcal{T}oi_{ij} = \frac{100 \times T_{ij}}{Ct_i}$$

Where

 T_{ij} : cane quantity transferred from IO p in week j Ct_i : transfer capacity of IO p

$$\mathcal{T}u_{j} = \frac{100 \times Lu_{j}}{Cbh_{i}}$$

Where

Lu_j: cane quantity delivered to the mill in week j *Cbh_j*: mill crushing capacity in week j

$$\mathcal{T}q_{j} = \frac{100 \times Lu_{j}}{Che_{j}}$$

where

Lu_j: cane quantity delivered to the mill in week j *Che_j*: mill crushing capacity relative to the quality in week j

| 5. | Sugar | production |
|----|-------|------------|
|----|-------|------------|

| | | | Sc | enarioRef | ; | | | |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|---------|
| ivery allocatio | ons Capacities | Quality Loadin | ng rate Sugar p | production | | | | |
| | | | Sug | ar producti | on | | | |
| PU | Total | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| Coastal | 160674,1101 | 1814,393281 | 1945,540781 | 2276,181406 | 2321,096406 | 2446,490781 | 2608,519687 | 2732,90 |
| Diversion | 10365,02934 | 159,1075683 | 157,8876464 | 144,3253710 | 152,2182812 | 162,9204003 | 153,8338867 | 176,905 |
| Inland | 59782,3425 | 998,6285156 | 1090,640546 | 1134,489687 | 1085,108046 | 1146,928671 | 1122,199843 | 1154,05 |
| SSG | 30691,79664 | 388,5506640 | 404,5441796 | 447,1884375 | 426,599375 | 460,5039843 | 475,9753125 | 486,391 |
| Total | 261513,2786 | 3360,680029 | 3598,613154 | 4002,184902 | 3985,022109 | 4216,843837 | 4360,528730 | 4550,25 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| < | | Choice of | mill producti | on calculatio | on : Afrique d | u Sud | | |
| < | | Choice of | | | | | | |
| Kill | Total | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 Afrique di | u Sud | | |

This tab is divided into two tables. The first represents the weekly sugar production of each production unit, with the total for the season period given in the second column. The last row gives the total for all production units.

The second table represents the mill production with the total given in the second column. A drop-down menu makes it possible to select a method for calculating this production, which differs for Reunion and South Africa.

Sugar production calculation:

In the Reunion case, the sugar content corresponds to the total quantity of sugar contained in the cane and the technical variation represents losses due to cane quality as well as losses due to the efficiency of the extraction process, thus giving the following sugar production formula:

$$\operatorname{ProdTot} = \sum_{s=1}^{S} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} Dl_{is} \times (Q_{is} - Pu_s) \right]$$

Where

s = 1,..., S season weeks Pu_s : total mill losses in s Dl_{is} : delivery allocation of PU i in week s Q_{is} : quality for PU i in week s In the South African case, the technical variation concerns only losses due to the efficiency of the extraction process. The sugar content corresponds to the potentially extractable quantity of sugar for a given cane quality (%RV). The weekly sugar production is calculated according to the following formula:

$$\operatorname{ProdTot} = \sum_{s=1}^{S} \left[\left(1 - Pu_s \right) \sum_{i=1}^{m} Dl_{is} \times Q_{is} \right]$$

Where

s = 1,..., S season weeks Pu_s : Total mill losses in week s Dl_{is} : delivery allocation of PU i in week s Q_{is} : quality for PU i in week s

6. Exporting the results to an Excel 2002 file

The results can be exported to an Excel file by selecting "**Export to Excel**" in the "**Options**" menu in the "**Simulation results**" window.

| 🤬 Options 🝷 🤝 He | lp | | |
|----------------------|------------|---------|------|
| Analysis | | | |
| Export to Excel | | | |
| Delivery allocations | Capacities | Quality | Load |

Simply name your file and then open it in Excel.

E. Configuration

1. Choice of languages

The software is currently available in two languages: French and English. To switch language, select the "Configurations" menu in the "Welcome" window of the application and click on the desired language. Depending on future applications, the software could eventually be translated into Spanish.

| _ |
|----------|
| 5 |
| |

2. Help

In each window of the application, users can get help by typing "F1" on the keyboard, or by clicking the button or the menu with the following icon:

Help is also available directly in the application directory: C:\Program Files\CIRAD\Magi2004\AideMagi.chm). A shortcut is available in: Start - all programs -MAGI2.

The online Help window is as follows:

The **Contents** are listed on the left under headings and subheadings.

From the Index, users can view specific Help pages from keywords.

The "Search" function displays a list of pages containing the entered keywords.

A **Preview** of the selected page is displayed in the right pane.