



ITMF, ICCTM

Stickiness Working group

Other information

Centre
de coopération
internationale
en recherche
agronomique
pour le
développement

Gourlot J.-P.

Cotton Technology Laboratory, Montpellier, France



Bremen, April 1, 2008

Other information (1)

- **Stickiness results produced by instruments may serve various goals**
- **At this point, concerning the stickiness testing, these goals are at least:**
 - **Research**
 - **Business**
- **In consequence, we may observe various ways of using the provided data**
- **H2SD is categorizing the sticky points as “small”, “medium” and “large” sticky points, along with a “total number of sticky points.**
- **Commercial practices may vary from the research one, as it can be observed that indicators of stickiness can be built with part or all these size categories.**

Other information (2)


According to the latest collected information

- **Contact with Lintronics=> Cottlab**
- **Other manufacturers:**
 - **SYDEL/SOSEA for SCT and H2SD**
 - **Suessen for Quickspin**
 - **Kotiti for Color reaction**
- **Valid ISO / CEN standards available**

Other information (3)

Fig. 4: Stickiness by Country 1989 - 2007

1989 = 100



Stickiness

Table IVa

2007

Descriptions Most Affected by Stickiness				
Ranking	Description		Affirmative replies (%)*	Number of samples**
1.	Benin	Benin	60	10
2.	Cameroon	Cameroon	50	8
3.	Uzbekistan	Medium Staples	40	20
4.	Burkina Faso	Burkina Faso	33	18
5.	Mali	Mali	33	18
6.	USA	USA-Others	33	9
7.	USA	Pima	32	25
8.	India	J-24	31	13
9.	Brazil	Brazil	29	34
10.	USA	California	28	18
11.	Chad	Chad	25	8
12.	Syria	Syria	22	9
13.	Australia	Australia	21	19
14.	Ivory Coast	Ivory Coast	20	10
15.	India	LRA	20	5
16.	USA	Arizona	20	5

* Percentage of replies indicating the existence of stickiness

** Minimum: 5 samples