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I. Project strategy towards the institutional context:
   A pragmatic assessment

   Who are the different partners the project wants to reach?
   Is there other people which have significant impact to allow project outputs?
I. Project strategy towards the institutional context:
   A pragmatic assessment

Who are the different partners project want to touch?

Is there other people which have significant impact to allow project outputs?

Partner 1: People 1
Regional level? Community level? Stakeholders level?
Partner 2

Partner 3: People 2
Regional level? Community level? Stakeholders level?

Partner 4: Partner 5
Participatory level
Partner 7
Partner 8

Why each partner/people is so significant?

Partner 1: Partner 2: People 1: ....

Partner 3: ....

Partner 4: Partner 5: Partner 6: Partner 7: Partner 8: ....

Are there other people or partners to be strengthened?

Other level touched?
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II. Capacities needed to obtain the minimum aimed outputs: a pragmatic assessment

1) What minimum ecological output could be aimed?

For this minimum output, which minimum partners must be reached at least?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Partner x</th>
<th>.................................................................</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partner y</td>
<td>......................................................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner z</td>
<td>......................................................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner ........</td>
<td>......................................................................</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2) What minimum participatory output could be aimed?

For this minimum output, which minimum partners must be reached at least?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Partner x</th>
<th>.................................................................</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partner y</td>
<td>......................................................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner z</td>
<td>......................................................................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner ........</td>
<td>......................................................................</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3) Therefore, which minimum "progress" (knowledge, practice, behaviors, responsibilities, power) we need about each of these partners?
### II. Capacities needed to obtain the minimum aimed outputs: a pragmatic assessment

3) And, within the same frame, do you think some capacities or some constraints must be settled before the project?

1) Place these capacities within a sequential capacity building process

2) And afterwards (beyond the end of the project) which are the next capacities to obtain?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Partner 1</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>n+1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Partner 2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner 3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n+1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner 4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>n+1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
So, the framework to exchange on day 1

- A "rapid participatory" appraisal...
- ...from your points of view,
- ...and about institutional and social context of the project
- In two parts:
  - Project perception of institutional context
  - Project perception of capacity building process