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1. a participative building approach
adopted in the Hien Valley CIS
Community Information Systems are multi-partners information systems supporting collective action in relation with a challenge

- the global dataflow is not under the vertical authority of one single partner

- the initial phase of “collective analysis” plays a crucial role, especially the drawing of the goals diagram
  - how do the stakeholders perceive the challenge?
  - how do the stakeholders practices impact the challenge?
1. the Hien Valley case

- a small region (96 km², 8600 inhabitants, 75 farms) in the French Alp foothills
- a challenge: to improve the underground water quality
- a CIS was started in 2006
  - Settings = thematic workshops + collective meetings
  - Formalism = UML
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1. a simple UML formalism

- goal
- sub-goal
- actor
1. extract of “goals diagram” - a
The final CIS diagram highlights three thematic goals and a function objective:

- **Monitoring Agricultural Action Program**
- **Monitoring socio-economic status of agriculture**
- **Monitoring status of the environment**
- **Share information**

Water & Environment part of the CIS diagram
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2. theoretical background related to conversational learning
2. Two complementary views

- a psychological view on learning: cognitive structures and processes (Piaget)
- a pedagogical scenario empowered by conversational interactions (Laurillard)
2. Piaget: cognitive processes

**assimilation** involves the interpretation of events in terms of existing cognitive structure.

**accommodation** refers to changing the cognitive structure to make sense of the environment.

http://tip.psychology.org/piaget.html
2. Laurillard: conversational model

- intended for higher education
- asymmetrical: teacher / student
- two levels in the teaching/learning interaction:
  - the level of concrete actions, when the student exercises under the direction of the teacher;
  - the discursive level, when the student exhibits his conceptual representation.

Laurillard: conversational model

Teacher's Concepts
- Theory, ideas
- Questions, ideas

Student's specific concept
- Adaptation of actions in light of theory
- Reflection in light of experience

Teacher's constructed environment
- Adaptation of learners' activities
- Reflection on learners' actions

Student's specific actions
- Goal Feedback
- Actions / Revisions

horizontal arrows: interactions
vertical arrows: cognitive processes
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3. a framework illustrating interactive knowledge construction during the CIS collaborative design
While in the Hien valley experiment, teaching the UML formalism is a pedagogical scenario like Laurillard’s …

…in the “drawing goals” collaborative scenario, there are no pre-existing “conceptions” or “theories” to be taught to the stakeholders.

However it can ALSO be analyzed according to Laurillard’s model:

- **horizontal “flows”**:
  - confrontation of agricultural/environmental practices (*workshops*)
  - drawing CIS goals (*meetings*)

- **vertical “flows”**: 
  - the cognitive structures of the stakeholders assimilate their own activities and help the building of “actors and goals diagrams”
  - during the meetings these cognitive structures accommodate in order to make sense of the other’s description of activities and events occurring on the same territory
3. a framework for participative CIS

- adapted from Laurillard’s conversational model
- symmetrical : actor / actor
- two levels in the actors interaction :
  - the level of concrete actions
  - the discursive level (CIS building)
3. a framework for participative CIS

- i’s goal representation
  - adaptation of practise in light of CIS goals
  - co-building a CIS shared representation (meetings)

- j’s goal representation
  - reflection in light of practise confrontation
  - exchanging practise descriptions (workshops)

- i’s practise description

- j’s practise description
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4. discussion
4. « Goal diagrams » / CIS design

- « Goal diagrams » has been chosen as a prototypical illustration of the CIS design
  - it is a participative process
  - the underlying formalism is simple

- However, such collective construction occurred during several other steps of the CIS design
  - for instance when formalizing the CIS content through class diagrams
how can we evaluate learning in a context where no pedagogical goal has been initially expressed?

- taking inspiration from and the notions of “human capital” and “social capital”, we intend to evaluate knowledge acquisition (seen as an evolution of human capital) indirectly, though the transformation of territorial practice (considered as an evolution of social capital, Coudel 2009).
Thanks for your attention!