Detection of subclinical mastitis in dromedary camels (Camelus dromedaries) using somatic cell counts, California mastitis test and udder pathogen

S.K. Saleh1* and B. Faye1,2

1Camel and range Research Center, P.O. Box 322, Al-Jouf, Sakaka, Saudi Arabia; 2CIRAD-ES, Campus international de Baillarguet, TA C/dir B 34398 Montpellier, France

Abstract: A total of 120 quarter milk samples from 30 clinically healthy dromedary camel from Al-Jouf, Saudi Arabia were cultured to detect subclinical udder infection. The milk samples were screened by somatic cell count (SCC) and California mastitis test (CMT). Gram-positive cocci were the dominant recovered udder pathogen. The mean value of SCC was 125,000 cells/mm³. Infected quarter had generally higher mean values for SCC and CMT scores. Both SCC and CMT were of value in predicting the infection status of the udder.
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Introduction

In spite of its living in harsh environments of semi-arid and arid zones, the dromedary camel is able to produce milk in valuable quantity (Schwartz and Dioli, 1992; Faye, 2005). However, as for other dairy animals, dromedary camel could be affected by udder infection as mastitis, a complex disease occurring worldwide among dairy animals, with heavy economic losses largely due to clinical and subclinical mastitis. The last requires indirect means of diagnosis (Matofari et al., 2003). Evidence indicates that subclinical mastitis causes suffering of the animal, reduce milk yield, alters milk properties, impairs preservation and processing and is a public health concern for consumers of camel milk (Fthenakis and Jones, 1990; Tibary and Anouassi, 2000). Very little is known about mastitis concerning their aetiology and occurrence in Camelidae (Abdel Gadir et al., 2006; Kalla et al., 2008). However, cases of mastitis in camel have recently been reported in Saudi Arabia (Barbour et al., 1985), Egypt (Mostafa et al., 1987), Somalia (Abdurahman et al., 1991), Ethiopia (Bekele and Molla, 2001), Israel (Guliye et al., 2002) and Kenya (Matofari et al., 2003).

The early detection and treatment of subclinical mastitis greatly reduces the incidence of clinical mastitis. For monitoring mastitis, a number of tests to detect changes in milk can be routinely used for screening purposes in milking herds. One of the screening procedures, for both clinical and subclinical mastitis, involves the measurement of somatic cell counts (SCC) in milk. SCC is a count of the number of neutrophils and normal udder cells present in milk. An increase in the SCC to more than $5 \times 10^5$ cells/ml is considered to be an indication of udder infection in cattle (Obeid and Bagadi, 1996) and camel (Eberlein, 2007).

In earlier studies, somatic cell contents (SCC), California mastitis test (CMT), Adenosine triphosphate (ATP), N-acetyl-D-glucosamimidase (NAGase) and Serum albumin as been used as indirect diagnostic tools for infected and non-infected quarters of the camel mammary gland (Abdurahman, 1995; Abdurahman et al., 1995; Abdurahman, 1996). However the interpretation of results were problematic because the basal levels of cells and their physiological variations in the camel were and are still not yet established (Abdurahman et al., 1992).

During the past decade there have been several reports on subclinical mastitis in dromedary camels (Obeid, 1983; Arush et al., 1984; Quandil and Oudar, 1984; Barbour et al., 1985; Mostafa et al., 1987) and a few on Bactrian camels (Kospakov, 1976a,b); but little work has been done on subclinical mastitis and the udder’s response to bacterial invasion. Barbour et al. (1985) and Saber et al. (2010) applied CMT to composite milk samples from the dromedary camels and concluded that the test was useful for screening subclinical infected udders. Obeid (1983) found a good correlation between the milk leukocyte count and the ‘rapid mastitis test’.

In a previous study Abdurahman et al., (1992) have found that Bactrian milk contains not only leukocytes but also large number of a nuclear cell-like particle, so-called ‘cell fragments’.

The objectives of this study are to determine the links between SCC, CMT and intramammary infections in apparently healthy camels in order to get references for dromedary species.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Thirty lactating camels (Camelus dromedarius) kept at the farm of Camel and Range Research Center (Al-Jouf, Saudi Arabia) was screened for detection of subclinical mastitis. The camels were of various parities (1 to 8) and lactation stages (1-8 months) and suckling their calves. They were housed together and fed with Alfalfa, concentrates and hay. All the camels were free from clinical mastitis during the sampling period.

Sampling procedure

A total of 120 quarter milk samples from 30 lactating camel were collected. The camel calves were allowed to suckle in order to stimulate milking. The udder and the teats were
washed and cleaned with 70% alcohol. The first few strips of milk from each quarter were discarded. About 10ml of milk was then collected into sterile glass vials. The samples were kept on ice during transportation. The quarter milk samples were subjected to bacteriological isolation and also tested for SCC and CMT respectively.

**Bacteriological examination**

Milk samples (0.01 ml) from each quarter were streaked on blood agar and MacConkey agar plates; plates were incubated for 24- 48 h at 37°C. The plates were then examined for growth colony morphology. Individual colonies were picked for identification according to the Scandinavian recommendations on examination of bovine quarter milk samples (Klastrup, 1975). Muller-Hinton Agar was used for disk diffusion method to test the susceptibility of the isolates to some antibiotic: Oxytetracycline (30ug), Gentamycine (10ug), Ampicilin (10ug), Amoxacillin (25ug), Penicillin (10IU), Colistin sulphate (10ug), Erythromycin (15ug), Sulphamethazol/trimethoprime (25ug). For fastidious organism the Muller-Hiton agar was supplemented with 7% sheep blood. The interpretation of susceptibility or resistance was done as recommended (Barnes-Pallesen et al., 1987).

**California mastitis test (CMT)**

CMT was carried out using the method described by Schalm and Noorlander (1957). An equal volume of CMT reagent and milk was mixed and the reaction was graded 1,2,3,4 or 5, according to the Scandinavian recommendations, corresponding to 0, trace, 1, 2 and 3 (Klastrup and Schmidt Madsen, 1974). The test was performed by a trained technician. The reactions were interpreted as follows: score 1 = no reaction; score 2 = slight slime which tends to disappear with continued swirling; score 3= distinct slime but without gel formation; score 4 = immediate formation of gel which moves as a mass during swirling; score 5 = gel develops a convex surface and adheres to the bottom of the paddle.

**Somatic cell count (SCC)**

The somatic cell counts (cells/ml) for the quarter milk samples were determined using NucleoCounter SCC-100 (coulter electronic – ChemometecA/s, Denmark)

**Statistical analysis**

The SCC values were transformed into log in order to get homogeneous variance. Mean and standard deviation were calculated for quantitative data. The relationships between SCC and CMT were estimated by the correlation of Spearman. The parity effect, the udder localization effect, the type of bacterial contamination effect and the level of CMT reaction were estimated by variance analysis on logSCC. For measuring the parity effect, the variable parity was divided into 3 modalities: primiparous (n=1), second parity (n=7), more than second parity (n=11). The types of bacterial contamination were identified by a Hierarchical Classification Analysis (HCA) after Multiple Correspondence Analysis (MCA). These analyses were applied to a table of presence/absence of different pathogens agents. The software used for data management and statistical analysis was XLSTAT (Addinsoft ©).

**Results**

Subclinical mastitis was investigated in a total of 30 lactating camels using SCC, CMT and udder pathogen.

**Variability of somatic cell count and CMT**

SCC varied from 9000 to 2,000,000 cells/mm³ with an average of 125,000. On average the left quarters had a higher SCC (Figure 1) than the right ones but differences were not significant. The SCC increased with the parity, passing on average from 24,000 cells/mm³ in primiparous camel to 98,000 for parity 2 and 157,500 for parity more than 2, but the difference was not significant also.

The CMT score according to the udder quarter presented similar figure than for SCC: the left quarters are more affected than right quarters by high CMT score (1 or 2): 26% for LH and 20% for LF vs 13% and 20% for RH and RF respectively.
By adding the score of the four quarters, 5 levels were got from 0 to 4. The SCC increased significantly with the total importance of CMT level (Table 1) The highest CMT scores were observed in the front quarters (LF and RF) as shown in Figure 2.

Figure 1. Mean and S.D (error bar) of logSCC in each quarter of the camel udder.

Figure 2. Mean SCC values according to the quarter and to the CMT score in camel milk.
Table 1. Mean and standard deviation of milk SCC values according to the total CMT score for the four quarters of camel udder.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CMT score</th>
<th>Mean of milk SCC</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>37062 a</td>
<td>31575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>36442 a</td>
<td>60462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>121250 b</td>
<td>40790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>202916 b</td>
<td>99174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>550833 c</td>
<td>294896</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CMT=California mastitis test, SCC=Somatic cell count, SD=Standard deviation

The different letters mean significant difference at P<0.001

The intramammary infection

Intramammary infections (IMI) were present in most of examined quarter milk samples. The most common bacterium was *Streptococcus spp.* which was present in 100% of the samples and in 42.9% of the isolates (Table 1). Among the major pathogens, *E. coli* was present in 30% of the milk samples and represented 12.9% of the total isolates (Table 2).

Table 2. Distribution of isolates and individual prevalence of bacterial species.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacteria</th>
<th>No of isolates</th>
<th>% of isolates</th>
<th>Individual prevalence (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Streptococcus spp.</em></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. aureus</em></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other <em>Staphylococcus</em></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>63.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Micrococcus</em></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Gram negative rods</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>70</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The MCA (Figure 3) showed an opposition between the presence of other *Staphylococcus* (staph-2) and major pathogens (*S. aureus* - 2; gram-v-2; microc-2) on the first factor. The presence of *E. coli* (*E. coli* - 2) was not well represented on the factorial plan showing independence between IMI by coliforms and the other pathogens.

The classification applied to the bacteria (presence/absence) table allowed to, identify 4 types of bacterial profiles (A) *S. aureus* only, (B) micrococcus associated to *S. aureus* or with *E. coli*, (C) other staphylococcus only, and (D) other staphylococcus associated with *E. Coli* only or gram negative. The mean SCC is significantly higher in type of profile B (mean = 226,700) than profile D (38,400) at P <0.05.

By comparing the SCC values according to the isolates, the highest was observed in case of presence of *E. coli* (180,000) and other staphylococcus (160,000), but the difference with other isolates was not significant (Figure 4).
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Figure 3. Factorial plan (1,2) from the analysis of a table including the presence (modality 1) or absence (modality2) of the different isolates identified in camel milk.

Figure 4. Mean SCC values according to the bacteria isolates in the camel milk.

Sensitivity to antibiotics
The test on efficacy of various antibiotic on bacterial isolates in camel milk samples (Table 3) revealed that the tetracyclines were still efficient for all the bacteria but resistance was observed mainly with penicillin and in a less extend with gentamycin, colistine sulphate and sulfamids (Table 3).
Overall, most isolates and mixed culture were very sensitive to oxytetracycline.
Table 3. Efficacy of various antibiotics on bacterial isolates from camel milk samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacterial isolates</th>
<th>No of Sample</th>
<th>Antibiotics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus spp.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>AMP 3  AML 3  OT 3  P 3  E 3  CN 2  CT 1  SXT 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus spp.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>AMP 2  AML 2  OT 2  P 1  E 0  CN 0  CT 0  SXT 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>AMP 2  AML 2  OT 2  P 0  E 0  CN 0  CT 0  SXT 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed (Staph.+Strept.)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>AMP 2  AML 2  OT 2  P 1  E 2  CN 1  CT 1  SXT 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed (Staph.+E.Coli)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>AMP 2  AML 2  OT 2  P 0  E 1  CN 2  CT 1  SXT 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed (Strept.+E.Coli)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>AMP 2  AML 2  OT 2  P 0  E 1  CN 2  CT 1  SXT 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMP=Ampicillin, AML=Amoxicillin, OT=oxytetracycline, P=Penicillin, E=Erythromycin, CN=Gentamicin, CT=Colistin sulphate, SXT=sulphamethazol/Trimethoprim.

3=very sensitive, 2=sensitive, 1=moderately sensitive, 0=Resist.

Discussion

As for other dairy species, the camel is susceptible to mastitis, especially in case of dairy intensification. In spite of lack of information on epidemiology and pathogenicity of mastitis in camel remains unclear, the SCC is a convenient indicator which is widely used as an indicator of the degree of inflammation of the udder and to predict udder infection (Poutrel and Rainard, 1982).

The SCC and CMT values in camel milk

The references on SCC in camel milk were recent and not common. According to Merin et al., (2004), the SCC values in infected udder are lower in camel compared to the other ruminants (Table 4). Except for one of our sample with CMT score up to 2 in 2 quarters, the infected quarter rarely over passed 500,000 cells/m³. Elsewhere, the N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase (NAGase) which is used as indicator of IMI in cow (NAGase is positively correlated to IMI and to SCC) has quite different values in camel milk and there was no difference according to the infection status of the camel udder contrary to cow (Table 4).

Table 4. Compared values in SCC and NAGase activities in different dairy species (from Merin et al., 2004).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SCC</th>
<th>NAGase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non infected</td>
<td>Infected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camel</td>
<td>118,000</td>
<td>308,000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cow</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>&gt;1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ewe</td>
<td>374,000</td>
<td>3,272,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat</td>
<td>485,000</td>
<td>2,203,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An increase in the number of somatic cells in camel milk with infected quarter has been reported also by Mostafa et al. (1987). The increase of SCC or mastitis with the age of dairy animals or parity was widely observed in cow (Faye et al., 1986). The cause of this increasing could be linked as well to a less immunity defense, to a change in udder morphology (higher elasticity of mammary gland) and to the increasing of udder trauma with the number of parities.

The higher SCC values observed in the left quarters and CMT scores in front udder is different usually than in cow where the hind quarters are the most exposed to infection (Lancelot et al., 1997). Probably the anatomy of camel with a narrow basin could explain a better protection of the hind quarters compared to the front ones.

CMT is the most indirect test used to detect subclinical mastitis as the degree of gel
formation is related with the number of cells in milk.

Positive correlation of CMT with the presence of mastitis pathogens in camel milk and SCC values showed that CMT is useful screening test in the detection of mastitis in camel and may serve to segregate udder infected with major pathogen in a subclinical form (Abdurahman, 1996). The relations between high CMT score, elevated SCC and presence of bacteria in milk samples was clearly attested in our study.

The intramammary infections in camel milk

Gram-positive Cocci were the dominant udder pathogen isolated in our study, and regarded as important mastitis pathogens in camel (Barbour et al., 1985; Mostafa et al., 1987).

Agent found in positive culture like S. aureus and other species of Staphylococci were mainly responsible for subclinical mastitis, but some agents, like Strept. agalactiae were found in both clinical and subclinical mastitis

As described by Younan et al. (2001), the prevalence of Staphylococci varies according to different studies, but there is nearly no publication on bacteriological hygiene of milk where Staphylococci are not mentioned (Eberlein, 2007). E. coli and other Coliforms provoked generally a higher udder reaction with a higher SCC and CMT score but in a very short duration as they are rapidly destroyed by inflammatory reactions (Philipot et al., 1995).

Antibiotic resistance

There are growing concerns regarding the increased prevalence of antimicrobial resistance worldwide (WHO, 2001). The use of large amount of antimicrobial drugs for disease control in food-animal production is suspected to play a role in the spread and persistence of antimicrobial-resistant zoonotic bacteria (Witte, 1998). In the present study, all isolates were found to be sensitive to most available antimicrobial drugs like oxytetracycline, ampicillin, amoxicillin but Streptococcus spp. showed resistance to sulfamids, Staphylococcus spp. and E.coli to different antibiotics, particularly penicillin which was widely used in veterinary medicine. This agrees with Mekonnen et al. (2005) reported antibiotic resistance of IMI pathogens to two or more antibiotics by some bacterial isolates in cow milk. No data was available at our knowledge for camel milk.

Conclusion

SCC values and CMT scores could be used by routine for detecting subclinical mastitis in camel milk as for other dairy animals in spite of an apparently lower reaction compared to cow and small ruminants. Elsewhere, the probable development of milking machine could increase the risk of udder inflammation and infection in camel in Saudi Arabia. The bacteria revealed by this study could be a possible cause of subclinical mastitis in dromedary camel. Therefore, the frequency of camel mastitis in Saudi Arabia is likely remaining unless appropriate management strategies are adopted. This could be based on a combination of frequent milking with occasional testing of milk and rational use of antimicrobials in the treatment of clinical cases. Frequent milking of lactating camel with occasional testing of milk would lead to early detection and treatment of subclinical mastitis while culling of chronic cases would reduce the prevalence and spread of these conditions.
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