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Introduction (1)

- Cabbage is an important economical crop in the world, it is a source of income and food
- In West Africa for instance, the annual production of cabbage is estimated at 140500 tons (FAO)
- Production of cabbage is constrained by various insect pests among them *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae), diamondback moth (DBM)
• The cost of pest control is estimated to US $1 billion each year
• Chemical control is the most commonly used control method despite its environmental and health issues
• DBM has developed resistance to many synthetic pesticides
Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) and Neem-based products are considered as relevant alternatives to synthetic chemical insecticides.

The objective of this study was to determine:

- the effect of the alternation of B. thuringiensis and neem-based agrochemicals on DBM populations
- and its repercussions on natural enemies abundance and their parasitism
Materials and methods (1)

- Study site (Malika): 12°54’44,2”N and 12°08’08,4”NW
- Yearly précipitations: 500 mm max.
- T°C: 20 - 30°C
• **Cabbage* *Brassica oleracea* variety “Marché de Copenhague”

• Furadan applied in the soil prior to planting

• Transplanting done one month after seedlings

• Total plots: 35

• Cabbages / elementary plot: 60

• Total cabbages in field: 2100

---

**Randomized complete block design**

N: Neem; B: Biobit; N/B: alternation Neem and Biobit; Tt: Diméthoate; T: Control; B1-B7: Blocks with treatments
Materials and methods (3)

Four (4) treatments were used:

- **B : biobit** (*Bacillus thuringiensis* var. *Kurstaki* (EC))
- **N: neem** (*Suneem, Azadirachta indica* 1% EC)
- **N/B: alternation Biobit/Neem**
  
  (in 10 days interval four times)
- **Tt: diméthoate** (*Meteor 400 EC*)
- **T: untreated control**
Applications started 25 days after planting

For N, Bt, Tt and T treatments, crops were normally treated using a manual sprayer every ten days.

For the alternated treatment N/Bt, only four (4) applications of Neem and Biobit were used. Neem was applied first and the last application was Biobit. Alterned treatment was stopped 20 days before application of the other treatments.

Scheme of the alternation Neem/Biobit treatment
Life cycle of \textit{P. xylostella}
Materials and methods (6)

Sampling of DBM in field

• Samplings started 10 days after transplanting and were performed every ten days. Samples were collected randomly by selecting 10 cabbages in the central rows of each plot.

• Second, third, and fourth instar DBM, pupae and parasitoid cocoons were collected and taken back to the laboratory and left to develop in order to determine abundances and parasitism rates within the different treatments.
Statistical analysis

– Data were normalized and subjected to ANOVA

– Means were separated by Students Newman Keuls Test.

– Pearson correlation test was also used

– Parasitism rate was calculated using McCutcheon formula (1987):

\[
\text{% Parasitism} = \frac{\text{[Number of parasitized moths]}}{\text{[Total number of moths - Number of dead moths]}} \times 100
\]
Results and discussions (1)

Effect of treatments on the abundance of *P. xylostella*

- Plots treated with Dimethoate hosted the highest number of DBM larvae.

- Compared to plots treated with Dimethoate, plots treated with Biobit, Biobit/Neem and Neem recorded say three times lower number of DBM larvae.

- The alternation of Biobit and Neem could offer better prospects to farmers as it reduces significantly the level of infestation of DBM after four applications only.

- This technique can contribute substantially in the reduction of the occurrence of resistant strains among DBM populations (Reddy, 2011).

---

Means not bearing the same small letter are significantly different using ANOVA SNK 5%.

Legend:

N: Neem; B: Biobit; N/B: alternation Neem and Biobit; 
Tt: Diméthoate; T: Control
## Results and discussions (2)

### Effect of treatment on the parasitism of *P. xylostella*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Mean parasitism *</th>
<th><em>O. sokolowskii</em></th>
<th><em>A. litae</em></th>
<th><em>C. plutellae</em></th>
<th><em>B. citrea</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>8.833ab</td>
<td>2.905a</td>
<td>5.513a</td>
<td>0.594ab</td>
<td>0.000a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biobit</td>
<td>5.451b</td>
<td>1.382a</td>
<td>4.069a</td>
<td>0.000b</td>
<td>0.000a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biobit/Neem</td>
<td>7.238ab</td>
<td>1.598a</td>
<td>5.448a</td>
<td>0.000b</td>
<td>0.192a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neem</td>
<td>9.862a</td>
<td>1.467a</td>
<td>7.337a</td>
<td>0.995a</td>
<td>0.064a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dimethoate</td>
<td>6.197ab</td>
<td>0.904a</td>
<td>5.238a</td>
<td>0.260ab</td>
<td>0.256a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(F=2.6; P=0.03)</td>
<td>(F=1.6; P=0.15)</td>
<td>(F=1.5; P=0.17)</td>
<td>(F=3.6; P=0.006)</td>
<td>(F=0.8; P=0.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*In column values bearing the same small letters are not significantly different in ANOVA, SNK at 5%.*

Mean parasitism rate of *O. sokolowskii, A. litae, C. plutellae* and *B. citrea* on *P. xylostella* in cabbages treated with Biobit, Biobit/Neem, Neem and Dimethoate. *: overall
Pearson's correlation coefficient test between *DBM* abundance and the parasitism

- There was a significant correlation between the abundance of *P. xylostella* and parasitism in the treatments Biobit, Biobit/Neem, Neem and control.
- The correlation was stronger in the Biobit/Neem treatment.
- However, the correlation was not significant in the chemical treatment with Dimethoate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Biobit</th>
<th>Biobit/Neem</th>
<th>Neem</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Dimethoate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Observed value</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>0.323</td>
<td>0.287</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-tailed p-value</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusions

• These results showed that alternation of Biobit and Neem with only four timely applications appears to be more promising for DBM management.

• The method is not harmful to parasitoids populations and their potentiality to reduce DBM populations.

• Alternation of Bt and Neem can be recommended in integrated pest management programs for DBM as it is also cost-effective and achievable by farmers.
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