Ovarian hydrobursitis in slaughtered female camels (*Camelus dromedarius*) in Southeast Algeria
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Abstract

The aims of the present study were to investigate the prevalence of ovarian hydrobursitis, and its pathologic and histopathologic characteristics in slaughtered female camels. The reproductive tracts of 740 female camels were collected and examined from January 2011 to February 2013, in two abattoirs from southeast Algeria. Ovarian hydrobursitis was observed in 32 reproductive tracts (4.32%); unilaterally (n=17) or bilaterally (n=15). The frequency of right side and left side ovarian hydrobursitis was not significantly different. It was associated with peri-uterine adhesion, pyometra, and paraovarian cysts. The affection was observed in some pregnant cases. Microscopic examination revealed diffuse edema, capillary congestion, infiltration, and heavy infiltration of mononuclear cellular, cystic dilatation of multi-acinal structures, desquamation and hyperplasia of the epithelial lining, tiny hemorrhages, and a large number of hemosiderin-laden macrophages. In conclusion, this study has identified the prevalence and the main anatomical and histopathological features the ovarian hydrobursitis. This syndrome is a serious problem affecting the fertility in dromedary camel in Algeria.
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Introduction

Most of the 315,000 camels (source FAOstat, 2013) living in Algeria are raised on a free-range basis (called *Hmil*), roaming freely in search of food (Adamou, 2008; Benaissa et al., 2012). Camels have a low rate of reproduction compared to other farm animals, due to delayed puberty, delayed postpartum estrus and a Short reproduction season. The contribution of reproductive diseases in lowering the reproductive efficiency in this species is largely unknown. Ovarian hydrobursitis is responsible for large number of long standing infertility problems in dromedary camels characterized by adhesion, fluid accumulation and encapsulation of the ovary (Tibary and Anouassi, 2001; Ali et al., 2011a). This syndrome is manifested by early embryonic death, abortion, repeat breeding, and refuses mating (Ali et al., 2011a,b).

However, the etio-pathogenesis of this syndrome is not well documented (Tibary and Anouassi, 2001; Ali et al., 2011b; Al-Sultan et al., 2013), and therefore histopathological examination is recommended. Histopathology is a reliable technique to assess the degree of their alteration and for evaluating changes that might occur in the ovarian bursa like inflammation, degeneration and neoplasia. As camel husbandry in the Saharan region of Algeria is principally nomadic with a very extensive system, the abattoirs are a convenient source for studying pathological lesions of camel reproductive organs. There is paucity of information on reproductive disorders of camels in southeast of Algeria. The objectives of the current study were to (1) record the incidence of ovarian hydrobursitis in Algeria,
clarify the gross and histopathological changes associated with ovarian hydrobursitis.

Materials and Methods

Examination at slaughterhouse

A total of 740 genital tracts of Arabian adult female camels were examined in two abattoirs from southeast Algeria, between January 2011 and February 2013. Post-mortem examination of the reproductive tracts was carried out and examined for the existence of ovarian hydrobursitis, its location (right and/or left) and relation to the surrounding structures or organs. Pathological examinations were performed using visual inspection to determine the bursal dimensions (length × width), character and amount of the bursal fluid, status of the ipsilateral ovary and fallopian tube (free or adhered) and presence of accompanying affections in the genital tract.

Histopathological examination

Specimens from bursa showing gross abnormalities were prepared for histopathological examination according to Drury and Wallington (1986). They were immediately fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin, then dehydrated in ascending grades of ethanol alcohols, cleared in xylol, casted and processed in paraffin, sectioned at 4–5 μm and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E).

Statistical analysis

Data were presented in percentages and the analysis was conducted using SPSS software package (SPSS. IBM Corp Ver. 20.0.). Differences between the frequency of bilateral and unilateral affection as well as between the left and right sided affection were evaluated by Chi-square test. The level of significance was set at P < 0.05.

Results

Incidence and clinical findings

Ovarian hydrobursitis was observed in 32 out of 740 reproductive tracts examined (4.3%). Unilateral cases were observed at frequencies 17/32 cases (53.1%), and bilateral cases at 15/32 cases, (46.9%). The incidence of bilateral and unilateral ovarian hydrobursitis was not significantly different, (Figure 1A, B). Also the difference between the incidence of right and left side affection was not statistically significant. The affection was associated with peri-uterine adhesion (n = 6), pyometra (n = 2), and paraovarian cysts (n = 2).

The size of the affected bursa ranged between 4.5 x 6.5 cm to a maximum of 39.5 x 38 cm. The accumulation had different colors, namely the transparent (n = 10), yellowish (n = 15), brownish (n = 12), or dark (Figure 1D). The consistencies of contents varied from watery to highly viscous to almost gelatinous. This fluid had an amount of between 1.9 to 2390 ml. The results also revealed that from the 47 affected ovaries, 34 (72.3%) ovaries were encapsulated and freely movable, while 13 (27.7%) were adhered to the bursal membrane. Pregnancy was detected in 2 cases (6.2%, Figure 1C) of the affected females.

Histopathological findings

The ovarian bursa was characterized by degeneration and vacuolation of the mucosal epithelial cells accompanied with perivascular mononuclear cellular infiltration. They contained diffuse edema and formation of cyst-like cavities that were variable in size, irregular in shape, lined by simple cuboidal cells, and filled with homogenous fluid (Figure 2A, D). Massive hyperplasia of the mucosal epithelial cells associated with heavy infiltration of inflammatory cells was also observed in some specimens (Figure 2A). Focal aggregation of immune cells was also observed in many specimens (Figure 2F). Microscopic examination showed hyperplasia of the epithelial lining with desquamated epithelial cells. In addition, a large number of hemosiderin-laden macrophages accompanied by capillary congestion and extra-vascular erythrocytes were identified in some cases (Figure 2A, B, and D).
Figure 1. Ovarian hydrobursitis in female camels. A, bilateral ovarian hydrobursitis; B, unilateral ovarian hydrobursitis; C, ovarian hydrobursitis in pregnant female; D, different fluids in the bursa.

Figure 2. Histopathology of affected ovarian bursae in female camels: A. Hyperplasia of the cellular lining (1), inflammatory cellular infiltration (2), edema (3), X100; B. Tiny hemorrhage (2), X100; C. Degeneration and vaculation of the mucosal epithelial cells (1), capillary congestion (2) and proliferation of inner cubodial cells, X100; D. Cystic dilatation of multi-acinal structures (1), heavy infiltration of inflammatory cells (2) and hemosiderinladen acrophages (3). X100; E. Cystic dilatation of multi-acinal structures (1); F. Degeneration and vaculation of the mucosal epithelial cells (1), focal aggregation of mononuclear cells (2). Stained with H&E.
Discussion

The prevalence of the syndrome in our study (4.3%) was approximately similar to the 6.5% reported by Ali et al. (2011a). Previous slaughterhouse surveys showed a wide range of prevalence rates of ovarian hydrobursitis varying from 1.95% (Al-Afaleq et al., 2012) to 16% (Ali et al., 1992). However, in field investigations and among infertile cases the incidence of the syndrome was 16.5% in Saudi Arabia (Ali et al., 2011b) and 15.2% in Somalia (Nur, 1984). In UAE, the studies of El-Khoul et al. (1990), Tibary and Anouassi (2001) and Quershi and Al-Jabouri (2009) have shown hydrobursitis incidences of 13.9, 9.8% and 2.25%, respectively. Tibary and Anouassi (1997) reported that the incidence of this disorder was relatively higher in animals with a background of reproductive failure.

Our results agree with the study of El Khoul et al. (1990) and Tibary and Anouassi (2001), which have shown that bilateral and unilateral incidences were approximately equal. However, our results do not agree with the studies of Shalash and Nawito (1963) and Al-Eknah et al. (2012) in which they found that ovarian hydrobursitis were unilateral in 87% and 85% of cases and bilateral in 13% and 15%, respectively. The results provided by Al-Eknah and Ali (2001) were also different from our results; they showed that 62% of affected cases were unilateral and 38% were bilateral. In addition, the results of Ali (2010) were not consistent with the present results, because he found that all of the ovarian hydrobursitis were unilateral in slaughtered animals, however, the same study showed that the differences between the incidence of bilateral and unilateral affection were not significantly different in infertile female camels examined clinically.

Our results revealed no statistically significant difference between the rate of ovarian hydrobursitis in the right and left sides. These findings are not consistent with studies reporting that the left side was more affected than the right side. (Tibary and Anouassi, 2001; Ali et al., 2011a).

Regarding the association of bursal affection with peri-uterine adhesion, peritoneal nerve growth factor and paraovarian cysts, observed in our study, Ali et al. (2011a) reported that ovarian hydrobursitis was associated with purulent endometritis, infections of the uterus and vagina, closed cervix and pyometra, vaginal adhesion and pyometra, and enlargement of the fallopian tube. Tibary and Anouassi (2001) stated that in some cases, the hydrobursitis was associated to salpingitis which could suggest the involvement of infection or an acute inflammatory process with occlusion of the oviduct.

Our results indicated that the affected ovaries might remain active. This was because a significant percentage of active ovaries (about 72.3%) were observed in the affected samples, except those CLs, as well as follicles, found on the ovaries, in support of previous works finding that the ovary of the affected side was functionally active (Ali et al., 2011a). Ovulation would have occurred but without successful fertilization. It is probable that the oocyte gets washed into the bursal fluid and does not get to the oviduct. In addition, some cases of pregnancy were observed in samples with one affected ovary, and this might indicate that the non-affected ovary could function normally even the other ovary was affected, in accordance with Tibary and Anouassi (1997). Reproductive life can be saved in the case of unilateral affections by surgical removal of the affected bursa and ovary (Tibary and Anouassi, 2001).

The general microscopic pattern observed in the present study was similar to previous observations of the same species. Ovarian bursa showed degenerated and vacuolated mucosal epithelial cells accompanied with perivascular mononuclear cellular infiltration (Ali et al., 2011a). In many cases, the ovarian hydrobursitis appeared to be associated with other abnormalities such as pyometra and peri-uterine adhesion. In the present context, Ali et al. (2011a) stated that an infectious agent may contribute in the etiology of this syndrome. Concurrent chronic genital inflammations were found to be closely related to the histopathological lesions detected in the affected female camels. Inflammation is usually considered as a one of the biological response of vascular tissues to both external and internal stimuli (Ferrero-Miliani et al., 2007). An example of the inflammation process resulting of the response of immune system was the focal aggregation of immune cells observed in some specimens (Figure 2F).

Hemosiderin has been appeared in many samples, and according to McGavin et al. (2007); hemosiderin may be related to phagocytosis of red blood cells and hemoglobin. Besides, several factors might cause the hyperplasias that observed in our histopathological examination, for example, chronic inflammatory response, hormonal dysfunctions or compensation for damage or disease (McGavin et al., 2007). The tiny hemorrhage could be attributed, according to Ali...
et al. (2011a), to the twist of the distended and pedunculated bursa leading to escape of blood from congested veins. Considering the multicellular structures, still there was no explanation for the development of these structures in the ovarian bursa (Baba and Catoi, 2007; Ali et al., 2011a).

**Conclusion**

The “ovarian hydrobursitis” syndrome is an important and serious reproductive constraint in dromedary camel. It is known that the etiology of this abnormality is so complex, and hence the association of other lesions in one animal suggested that the predisposing factor is the anatomic position of both ovary and ovarian bursa, or a sequel to other lesions. However, the present study remains only a ground for further investigations, as it does not provide full answers to all questions regarding the etiopathogeny of the ovarian hydrobursitis in female camels. Further researches are required to form more complete understanding of the topic, and to resolve a number of related questions.
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