

Corn distillers grain

Automatic translation

Anglais ▼

Feed categories

All feeds

drilling plants

- ▶ Cereal and grass forages
- ▶ Legume forages
- ▶ Forage trees
- ▶ Aquatic plants
- ▶ Other forage plants

Plant products/by-products

- ▶ Cereal grains and by-products
- ▶ Legume seeds and by-products
- ▶ Oil plants and by-products
- ▶ Fruits and by-products
- ▶ Roots, tubers and by-products
- ▶ Sugar processing by-products
- ▶ Plant oils and fats
- ▶ Other plant by-products

Feeds of animal origin

- ▶ Animal by-products
- ▶ Dairy products/by-products
- ▶ Animal fats and oils
- ▶ Insects

Other feeds

- ▶ Minerals
- ▶ Other products

Latin names

Plant and animal families

Plant and animal species

Resources

Broadening horizons

Literature search

Image search

Glossary

External resources

- ▶ Literature databases
- ▶ Feeds and plants databases
- ▶ Organisations & networks
- ▶ Books
- ▶ Journals

- [Description](#) [Nutritional aspects](#) [Nutritional tables](#) [References](#)

Click on the "Nutritional aspects" tab for recommendations for ruminants, pigs, poultry, rabbits, horses, fish and crustaceans



Common names

Corn distillers grain

- Spent grains, wet distillers grains, wet distillers grain, distillers wet grains, WDG
- Dried distillers grains, distillers dried grains, distillers dried grain, dried distillers grain, DDG
- Wet distillers grains with solubles, wet distillers grain with solubles, distillers wet grains with solubles, WDGS, DWGS
- Dried distillers grains with solubles, distillers dried grains with solubles, distillers dried grain with solubles, dried distillers grain with solubles, DDGS
- Condensed distillers solubles (CDS), dried distillers solubles (DDS)

Distillers can also be written *Distillers'* and *Distiller's*.

Species

Zea mays L. [Poaceae]

Feed categories

- Cereal grains and by-products
- Plant products and by-products

Related feed(s)

- Corn gluten meal
- Corn gluten feed
- Barley distillery by-products
- Wheat distillers grain
- Maize grain

Description

Corn distillers grain is the main by-product of the distillation of alcohol from maize grain. Distilleries produce alcoholic beverages, industrial ethanol and ethanol biofuel with the following by-products (definitions are given in **Processes**):

- **Spent grains, wet grains, wet distillers grain** (WDG), **wet distillers grain with solubles** (WDGS)
- **Dried distillers grain** (DDG), **dried distillers grain with solubles** (DDGS)
- **Condensed distillers solubles** (CDS), **dried distillers solubles** (DDS)

There are two main distillery processes: dry-milling distillery and wet-milling distillery. The dry-milling (or dry-grind) process is the main process for producing ethanol. This process starts with removing the bran by grinding before steeping the grain in water and results in ethanol and various "distillers" by-products. The wet-milling process starts with steeping the grains and then separates the kernel into various fractions, which allows for the production of multiple food and industrial products, including starch, fructose, oil and ethanol. This process yields numerous by-products including [maize gluten meal](#), [maize gluten feed](#) and maize germ meal ([USGC, 2012](#)).

While official and trade definitions exist for the different maize distillery by-products, the boundaries between these products may be somewhat fuzzy. Particularly, the amount of solubles blended back to the distillers grain to create DDGS can be variable. In fact, many research studies do not designate whether the product used was with or without solubles, and virtually all corn distillers grain available are DDGS, so the practical distinction between corn distillers grain with or without solubles is rarely useful ([Schingoethe, 2006](#)).

This datasheet will deal primarily with the DDGS of maize-based, dry-milling ethanol production, which are now the dominant distillery by-product. The changes in this industry have been tremendous. In the USA, distilleries produced in 1992 two million tons of corn distillers grain, with 40% from the production of alcoholic beverages and 60% from biofuel production. In 2010, the USA were the main world producer of maize-based ethanol biofuel and American maize distilleries yielded more than 34 million tons of corn distillers grain. Biofuel production accounted for 97% of the corn distillers grain produced in the USA. Projections for US corn distillers grain are about 38.6 million tons by 2020 ([Hoffman et al., 2010](#)). The situation is different in Europe and Canada, where wheat is the main cereal grain used for biofuel production, followed by maize, rye, sorghum and grain mixtures ([Piron et al., 2009](#)).

Distillery by-products have a long and rich history in animal feeding. They used to be considered offals and were dumped in sewers and rivers. Spent grains were sold a low price to local farmers as animal feed ([Lyons, 2003](#)). Corn distillers grain only became an important by-product in the middle of the 19th century in the UK, when the Coffey-patent still (continuous distillation column) replaced pot stills, allowing the use of maize in grain whisky production, partly replacing barley ([Weir, 1984](#)). In New York in the 1850s, the large-scale feeding of dairy cows with distillery mash in unsanitary conditions resulted in the "swill milk"

scandal, a major food scare that led to better regulations of the dairy industry ([Wilson, 2008](#)). The first study about feeding distillers grains to cattle was published in 1907 ([Weiss et al., 2007](#)).

Corn distillers grains are valuable feed ingredients, rich in protein, moderately rich in fat and relatively poor in fibre, and can be fed to all classes of livestock ([Hayes, 2008](#)). It should be noted that, as of 2012, maize ethanol by-products are not only relatively recent but are still evolving due to changing technologies and demand in biofuel.

Distribution

Wet corn distillers grain is mainly found in the vicinity of ethanol plants. In the USA, it was estimated that 86% of the corn distillers grains are transported by road within 80 km from the ethanol plant ([US EPA, 2010](#)).

Distillers dried grain is a commodity. In 2008, the USA exported 4.5 million tons (81%) of the brewery and distillery by-products traded worldwide. The other main exporters were China, Canada, Germany and Poland. The main importers of brewery and distillery by-products were Mexico, Canada, Turkey, South Korea and Japan ([FAO, 2011](#)).

Processes

Ethanol manufacturing process (dry milling)

The ethanol manufacturing process starts by the cleaning and then the dry-milling of maize grains. The ground grains are mixed with water and enzymes (amylases) to produce a mash where starch hydrolysis occurs (liquefaction step). This mash is cooked to kill the bacteria that produce undesirable lactic acid. Enzymes are added to the mash to transform starch into dextrose (saccharification step). After saccharification, yeast is added to start the fermentation process, which produces a "beer" and CO₂. The beer passes through a continuous distillation column to yield alcohol at the top of the column.

The product that remains at the bottom (**whole stillage**) is centrifuged and yields **wet grains** (also called **spent grains**) and **thin stillage**. Wet grains may be fed to livestock directly or they can be dried to produce **dried distillers grain (DDG)**. Thin stillage can be sold as high-moisture feed or it can be dehydrated to produce **condensed distillers solubles (CDS, also called syrup)**. Condensed distillers solubles and distillers grain are often blended together to prepare **wet or dried distillers grain and solubles (WDGS or DDGS)** ([Mosier et al., 2006](#)).

While ethanol manufacturing usually follows the process described above, the nature of the end products (beverages, industrial alcohol, biofuel), local know-how and innovation may require specific adaptations, resulting in slightly different by-products.

High protein distillers grain

The increasing use of maize as a raw material for ethanol production led in some cases to modifications of the processes, as there is a constant need for more value-added products to fit the economical model of biofuel production. New processes have been designed to separate valuable maize fractions before or after distillation. The grain can be primarily separated into germ (which will yield food-grade, high-value oil), bran and endosperm, which is subjected to distillation. Because fats and fibre are removed in the early steps of the process, protein is concentrated in the final distillers dried grain, called **high protein distillers grain (HPDG)** ([Kelzer et al., 2011](#); [Hoffman et al., 2010](#)).

Reduced fat DDGS

The extraction of maize oil from distillers dried grain is less costly than direct extraction from the grain. It produces an oil unsuitable for food and feed but usable for biodiesel, as well as **reduced fat DDGS** ([US EPA, 2010](#); [Hoffman et al., 2010](#)).

Whisky distillery

In some whisky distilleries, the mash is filtered after the liquefaction step, producing wort and a solid by-product called **draff** or **distillers spent grain**. The wort undergoes further fermentation while the draff is dried or pressed before being fed to animals. The alcohol-free effluent that remains at the bottom of the distillation column is called **spent wash** or **spent lees**. This product, that contains enzymes and yeast, can be dried to yield **dried distillers solubles**. It can also be centrifuged so that the solids can be further dried into **distillers concentrate**. As in ethanol production, the spent grains are often mixed with the solubles, resulting in **distillers dark grain** ([Lyons, 2003](#); [Crawshaw, 2004](#); [Göhl, 1982](#)). It is important to note that whiskies are often the result of the distillation of blended grains that may include maize, wheat, barley and rye. The by-products are therefore not corn distillers grain in the strict sense. Single malt whisky is usually made from barley (and sometimes rye), but not from maize ([Crawshaw, 2004](#)).

Environmental impact

Energy costs

Drying distillers grain and solubles is an energy consuming process: 40.4% of the thermal energy used in an ethanol plant that produces DDGS can be used in the drying process. However, wet distillers grain spoils quickly and the dried form is preferable in spite of high energy costs: 63% of DGS produced by the US dry-milling ethanol industry are sold dried ([US EPA, 2010](#)).

Volatile organic compounds

Drying distillers grain produces volatile organic compounds that may cause serious health problems. These emissions can be reduced (up to 95%) by the installation of thermal oxidizers in ethanol plants ([US EPA, 2011](#)).

Industrial waste reduction

Corn distillers grain fed to livestock remove huge amounts of by-products that would otherwise have to be eliminated by other means ([Lyons, 2003](#)).

Reduction of methane emissions

Corn distillers grain is a more efficient ingredient than maize grain in cattle and has been shown to decrease methane produced from enteric fermentation when they replace it. This reduction has been estimated at 3.2 g CO₂eq/MJ ethanol per head of cattle fed DGS ([US EPA, 2010](#)).

Genetically modified maize by-products

Corn distillers grain is mainly produced in the USA and may result from processing of genetically modified maize (in 2005,

about 50% of maize acreage in the USA was sown with transgenic plants) ([Fernandez-Cornejo et al., 2006](#)). The European Union used to be the main export market and imported more than 90% of US maize DDGS every year between 1995 and 2000. As a result of the *de facto moratorium* on the approval of new genetically modified varieties and the following introduction of new labeling and traceability requirements for animal feed in 2004, exports of US corn distillers grain to the EU decreased by 80% between 2005 and 2008 ([Fox, 2008](#)).

datasheet citation

Heuzé V., Tran G., Sauvant D., Noblet J., Renaudeau D., Bastianelli D., Lessire M., Lebas F., 2015. *Corn distillers grain*. Feedipedia, a programme by INRA, CIRAD, AFZ and FAO. <http://www.feedipedia.org/node/71> Last updated on May 11, 2015, 14:32

English corrected by Tim Smith (Animal Science consultant) and H  l  ne Thiollet (AFZ)

Image credits

- Valerie Heuz   / AFZ

[+](#) Share / Save [f](#) [t](#) [u](#)



Corn distillers grain

Automatic translation

Anglais ▼

Feed categories

All feeds

drilling plants

- ▶ Cereal and grass forages
- ▶ Legume forages
- ▶ Forage trees
- ▶ Aquatic plants
- ▶ Other forage plants

Plant products/by-products

- ▶ Cereal grains and by-products
- ▶ Legume seeds and by-products
- ▶ Oil plants and by-products
- ▶ Fruits and by-products
- ▶ Roots, tubers and by-products
- ▶ Sugar processing by-products
- ▶ Plant oils and fats
- ▶ Other plant by-products

Feeds of animal origin

- ▶ Animal by-products
- ▶ Dairy products/by-products
- ▶ Animal fats and oils
- ▶ Insects

Other feeds

- ▶ Minerals
- ▶ Other products

Latin names

Plant and animal families

Plant and animal species

Resources

Broadening horizons

Literature search

Image search

Glossary

External resources

- ▶ Literature databases
- ▶ Feeds and plants databases
- ▶ Organisations & networks
- ▶ Books
- ▶ Journals

[Description](#) [Nutritional aspects](#) [Nutritional tables](#) [References](#)

Nutritional attributes

Maize distillery by-products are the result of the extraction of starch from the maize grain, and tend to concentrate the other nutrients, notably protein, fibre, soluble sugars and oil. Concentrations of these nutrients may be up to 3 times higher than in the grain ([Pedersen et al., 2007](#)).

Variability

As noted in **Processes**, variations in the inclusion of solubles, extraction (or not) of oil, and technical innovations in fermentation and fractionation result in DDGS that contains more (or less) protein, energy, fat, fibre and phosphorus ([Rausch et al., 2006](#); [Kelzer et al., 2010](#)). The composition of maize DDGS is therefore extremely variable, depending on the ethanol plant ([Spiehs et al., 2002](#)). It is actually difficult to provide a typical composition for DDGS, because, unlike most industrial by-products, its composition is not driven by the rate of extraction of a single end product (such as starch, sugar or oil) but depends on multiple factors. The following table presents the proximal composition of several groups of DDGS (data collected by AFZ and the University of Minnesota).

Variation of crude protein, NDF, ADF, ADL, crude fiber, ash, fat and starch in maize DDGS (all values in % DM)

| Source | Crude protein | Crude fibre | NDF | ADF | ADL | Ash | Fat | Starch | Obs. |
|--|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------|
| Ethanol DDGS 2000-2010 mostly USA and Canada | 3.0 ± 30.2 (14.1-36.8) | 1.6 ± 7.5 (5.3-14.8) | 35.8 ± 7.6 (22.7-51.5) | 13.5 ± 4.5 (5.2-26.9) | 1.9 ± 4.1 (1.0-10.1) | 5.0 ± 1.2 (1.9-9.8) | 11.6 ± 2.7 (3.5-18.5) | 2.2 ± 6.3 (3.3-14.5) | 174 |
| High protein DDGS 2000-2010 mostly USA and Canada | 43.5 ± 2.8 (39.5-48.4) | 7.5 ± 0.1 (7.4-7.6) | 31.1 ± 9.9 (22.5-58.1) | 12.8 ± 5.5 (6.6-23.4) | 1.3 ± 3.0 (1.2-4.6) | 1.4 ± 2.6 (1.3-6.1) | 5.4 ± 2.9 (3.2-12.8) | 8.2 ± 2.9 (2.7-11.4) | 13 |
| Beverage + ethanol DDGS fat < 6% as fed collected pre-2000 | 27.9 ± 1.7 (21.6-35.7) | 8.4 ± 0.7 (6.4-12.2) | 39.2 ± 6.1 (24.5-51.0) | 14.2 ± 3.7 (8.2-25.1) | 1.3 ± 2.3 (0.4-5.4) | 6.8 ± 0.5 (5.0-8.7) | 4.2 ± 0.9 (2.1-7.4) | 12.4 ± 2.5 (1.6-21.0) | 1591 |
| Beverage + ethanol DDGS fat > 6% as fed collected pre-2000 | 2.0 ± 29.0 (23.8-35.4) | 1.1 ± 8.3 (5.3-13.6) | 37.6 ± 8.0 (23.2-52.8) | 19.5 ± 5.9 (9.7-30.5) | 2.1 ± 5.3 (1.0-9.6) | 1.2 ± 5.7 (2.0-8.3) | 10.9 ± 3.1 (6.8-22.7) | 3.3 ± 9.7 (1.7-17.3) | 170 |

Protein and amino acids

Corn distillers grain is generally rich in protein. High protein DDG contains more than 40% DM of protein. In regular DDGS, some types are richer (30-35% DM) than others (25-30% DM). The production of DDGS includes a drying step that may damage amino acids, notably lysine. Lysine content of the protein is particularly low: 2.1-2.8% of the protein ([Fastinger et al., 2006](#)).

Heat damage, which causes protein unavailability, can be assessed by the amount of acid detergent insoluble nitrogen (ADIN), though the threshold associated to performance depression is not known precisely. Colour is a more practical indicator: properly heated distillers grain has a honey golden to caramelized golden colour; a darker, coffee-like colour is an indicator of excessive heating and potential protein damage ([Schroeder, 2010](#)).

Fats

Corn distillers grain contains variable amounts of oil (2-15%). Corn distillers grain that have been subjected to oil extraction seems to have a fat content about 3-5% DM (or less) while other corn distillers grain can contain 10% (or more) fat ([Feedipedia, 2010](#)). The relatively high unsaturated fat content of corn distillers grain may restrict their inclusion rate in ruminant diets ([Carvalho et al., 2005](#)).

Fiber

Corn distillers grain is not particularly rich in cell walls: in maize-based ethanol DDGS produced between the years 2000 and 2010, crude fibre content was 7.5 ± 1.5% DM (5.3-14.8), ADF content was 13.4 ± 4.6% DM (5.2-26.9) and NDF content was 35.2 ± 8.0% DM (22.5-58.1). The lignin content was fairly low (3.9 ± 1.8% DM), which explains the high digestibility of NDF of corn distillers grain in ruminants. Residual starch is low (less than 8% DM) for ethanol DDGS and the new biofuel processes seem more efficient than the ones used for alcoholic beverage production ([Feedipedia, 2011](#), [University of Minnesota, 2010](#)).

Distillers grain with or without solubles

The composition of W/DDG and W/DDGS are very similar. The protein content may be slightly lower and the fat and

phosphorus contents slightly higher with W/DDGS. If a W/DDGS product contains substantially more fat (e.g. more than 15%) and/or phosphorus (e.g. more than 1.0%), it is very likely that more than normal amounts of distillers solubles were blended with the distillers grain, or that the processor had problems with separation of materials during the handling of solubles ([Schingoethe, 2006](#)).

Potential constraints

Sulphate toxicity

Due to the use of sulphuric acid in the process, ethanol by-products may be high in sulphate (0.5-1.7% DM) ([McAloon et al., 2000](#)), which increases the risk of sulphur toxicity in livestock fed large amounts of distillers grain. A high concentration of H₂S inhibits the oxidative processes in nervous tissue and results in a central nervous system disorder called polioencephalomalacia, which may affect up to 6% of cattle fed diets containing more than 0.56 % sulphur ([Gould, 1998](#); [Vanness et al., 2009](#)).

Mycotoxins

Maize is susceptible to fungal infections producing mycotoxins, including aflatoxin, fumonisins, deoxynivalenol (vomitoxin), ochratoxin, T2 toxin and zearalenone. Due to the concentration that non-starch components undergo during the distillery process, mycotoxin concentrations are about three-fold in corn distillers grain compared to the original grain. It is thus of utmost importance that maize intended for bio-ethanol production be free of mycotoxins before processing. There are also ways to alleviate mycotoxin problems, such as removing damaged grains before they enter the process ([Keshun Liu, 2011](#)). Chemical treatments (NaOH, NH₄OH, H₂O₂, NaClO, CH₂OH) have been investigated to detoxify mycotoxins in stillage ([Bennett et al., 1981](#); [Lillehoj et al., 1979](#)).

Copper

Certain traditional whisky distilleries use copper rather than stainless steel, for stills and pipework, and their by-products tend to contain high levels of copper, which is toxic to sheep. Maize distillers dark grain, for instance, may contain between 15 and 120 mg/kg of copper. While copper content and biological copper availability are highly variable in whisky by-products, it is essential to check copper levels before buying such products if they are to be fed to sheep ([Lewis, 2002](#)). Copper is not an issue in industrial ethanol production.

ruminants

Maize distillery by-products are common ingredients for ruminants. In a forage and concentrate diet, DDGS can often replace most, if not all, of the protein supplement such as soybean meal and a significant amount of the grain ([Schroeder, 2010](#)). One particular benefit of DDGS over cereal grains is that, as their energy is primarily provided as readily digestible fibre and fat, they have a propensity to alleviate incidence and severity of acidosis, laminitis and fatty liver caused by rumen starch fermentation ([Kelzer et al., 2011](#); [Schroeder, 2010](#)).

Wet and dried distillers grain are equivalent, but if the diet also contains moist feeds, such as maize silage, gut fill may limit total DM intake and production with diets that contain more than 20% of DM as wet DGS ([Schingoethe, 2006](#)).

Palatability

Dried DGS are palatable, and palatability may only become a problem with excess wet or dried DG in the diet ([Schroeder, 2010](#)).

Digestibility and energy content

The average OM digestibility was $73.5 \pm 6.2\%$ (6 samples collected from literature) which corresponds to a mean ME content of 12.6 MJ/kg DM (Feedipedia, 2011; [Woods et al., 2003](#)). This value is similar to the values of 12.6 and 12.7 MJ/kg DM proposed respectively by INRA ([Sauvant et al., 2004](#)) and NRC ([NRC, 2001](#)). Recent research in the USA suggests a much higher digestibility, about 85%, which corresponds to ME values of 14.6 to 15.9 MJ/kg DM. Wet DGS contained approximately 14.0 MJ/kg of ME and 9.5 MJ/kg of NEL, *i.e.* 10 to 15% more energy than published before ([Birkelo et al., 2004](#)). This could reflect either digestive interactions or a higher energy value for distillers grain obtained by recent processes, and notably those produced in bioethanol plants.

In sacco studies suggest that effective DM degradability of DDGS is higher than those of rapeseed meal and cottonseed meal, but lower than those of barley grain and beet pulp ([Woods et al., 2003](#); [Chapoutot et al., 2010](#)).

Protein value and phosphorus

Since most of the degradable proteins in maize grain have been degraded during the fermentation process, the proteins in maize DDGS contains a higher by-pass fraction than that of the original grain. Values for rumen undegradability of protein (RUP) vary from 47% to 76%, with a mean value of 55% (44% in [Sauvant et al., 2004](#)) ([Firkins et al., 1984](#); [Woods et al., 2003](#); [Kleinschmit et al., 2006a](#)). If RUP values are quite high (e.g. more than 80%), it may be advisable to check for heat damaged, undigestible protein ([Schroeder, 2010](#)). Apparent small intestinal digestibility of DDGS (90%) appears to be lower than that of soybean meal and groundnut meal (96%) and higher than that of rapeseed meal (82%) and cottonseed meal (81%) ([Yue Qun et al., 2007](#)). The INRA-AFZ tables ([Sauvant et al., 2004](#)) propose a similar ranking of those ingredients.

When DDGS are included at high levels in the diet, other protein supplements may be needed because poor protein quality (lysine) and high phosphorus concentration become factors to consider ([Schroeder, 2010](#)).

Comparison with other concentrate ingredients

Distillers grain is estimated to have 120-150% of the energy value of dry-rolled maize grain in beef finishing diets, and this difference decreases as dietary DGS increases ([Kononoff et al., 2006](#)). However, the feeding value of DGS appears to be lower in finishing diets based on steam-flaked maize than in diets based on dry-rolled or high-moisture maize ([Klopfenstein et al., 2008](#)). In feedlot steers, including 15% maize DDG or sorghum DDG in steam-flaked maize-based diets did not affect apparent total tract digestibility ([May et al., 2010](#)). Dried DGS can effectively supplement barley-based beef cattle diets up to almost 20% of diet DM ([Eun et al., 2009](#)).

Feeding wet distillers grains with solubles (WDGS) and wet corn gluten feed together reduces some of the negative effects of feeding WDGS alone on nutrient digestion, purine derivative excretion, and N utilization in dairy cows ([Gehman et al., 2010a](#)).

With regard to protein, maize DDGS contain less protein than wheat DDGS but the protein of the latter is less degradable

([Nuez-Ortin et al., 2010](#)). A recent study with nylon bags incubated in the rumen suggested that the amino-acid availability of several distillers grain products is comparable with that of soybean products ([Mjoun et al., 2010](#)).

Dairy cattle

Since the 1990s, numerous experiments have been carried out with corn distiller grain in dairy cow rations. Dried DG(S) is mainly used as a protein supplement, and milk production response to DDG(S) was either unaffected ([Clark et al., 1993](#); [Owen et al., 1991](#)) or increased ([Powers et al., 1995](#); [Nichols et al., 1998](#)). Dairy rations can be successfully formulated to include 15% (diet DM) of maize distillery by-products (DDGS or high-protein DDG) while maintaining or increasing DM intake, milk production, and yields of milk components ([Kelzer et al., 2009](#)). Balanced diets for dairy cattle can include up to 25% WDGS and result in increased microbial protein synthesis, milk production, and milk protein yield ([Gehman et al., 2010b](#)). As a rule, a maximum of 20% (diet DM) distillers grain should be included in the ration. At higher levels, potential palatability and excessive protein consumption problems often exist, though amounts may approach 30 % when diets are properly formulated ([Schroeder, 2010](#)).

Dried DG was also a good energy source for dairy cows when the diet contained approximately 28% NDF and 5% fatty acids ([Leonardi et al., 2005](#)). Higher production was observed when cows were fed either wet or dried DGS than when fed the control diet ([Anderson et al., 2006](#)). Similar milk production was observed for wet and dried maize DGS but maize DGS resulted in a higher milk production than sorghum DGS ([Al-Suwaiegh et al., 2002](#)).

Milk composition is usually not affected by feeding DGS when feeding sufficient amounts of forage fibre. Some field reports and publications reported instances of milk fat depression when diets contained more than 10% (diet DM) of wet DGS ([Hutjens, 2004](#); [Cyriac et al., 2005](#); [Kleinschmit et al., 2006b](#)). However, a meta-analysis of 24 studies conducted between 1982 and 2005, involving 98 treatment comparisons, showed that there were no decreases in milk fat content when diets contained wet or dried DGS up to a level of 40% of DM intake ([Kalscheur, 2005](#)).

Corn distillers solubles (CDS) are usually blended with the distillers grain before drying to produce DGS, but the solubles may be fed separately. In lactating cows fed wet (28% DM) condensed corn distillers solubles (CCDS) at 0, 5, and 10% (diet DM), milk production increased when fed the CCDS (34.1, 35.5 and 35.8 kg/d for 0, 5, and 10% CCDS diets), although milk fat content (3.54, 3.33, and 3.43% respectively) was slightly lower and milk protein content (2.93, 2.97 and 2.95% respectively) was unaffected by the diets ([da Cruz et al., 2005](#)). Dairy cows could be fed as much as 20% of the total ration DM as CCDS (4% added fat from the CCDS) with no apparent adverse effects on DM intake or milk composition ([Sasikala-Appukkuttan et al., 2006](#)). CCDS supplementation improves nutrient availability and use of low-quality forages ([Gilbery et al., 2006](#)).

Beef cattle

Beef cattle have been successfully fed as much as 40% (diet DM) of wet or dried DGS without affecting meat tenderness or palatability ([Roeber et al., 2005](#)). Growing cattle fed moderate levels (15% diet DM) of DDGS had similar growth performance and carcass characteristics to animals fed the control diet ([Depenbusch et al., 2009](#)). In growing and finishing beef cattle, wet and dried DGS resulted in similar performances ([Ham et al., 1994](#)).

Interactions were observed between maize grain processing (dry rolling, high moisture and steam-flaking) and the inclusion rate of WDGS. These interactions could be due to the decreased rumen ratio of acetate/propionate in dry-rolled maize and high-moisture maize diet with 40% WDGS ([Corrigan et al., 2009b](#)). Feeding strategies of steers aimed at increasing rumen pH may improve digestion of DDGS in steam-flaked maize-based finishing diets ([Uwituze et al., 2010](#)). In steers, apparent total tract digestibility of DDG was negatively affected by association with processed (dry-rolled or steam-flaked) maize grain ([May et al., 2009](#)).

Dried DGS may be similar to tallow and high-moisture maize grain in finishing diets containing 20% DDGS. The greater energy value of WDGS compared with maize grain may be due to higher propionate production, higher fat digestibility, and more unsaturated fatty acids reaching the duodenum ([Vander Pol et al., 2009](#)).

The level of condensed distillers solubles (CDS) may affect the performance of growing steers as CDS depressed average daily gain and gain/feed. There was no obvious explanation for the interaction between DDG supplementation and the CDS level on growing steer performance ([Corrigan et al., 2009a](#)).

Sheep and goats

Dried DGS is a viable supplement to enhance the nutrition of sheep consuming moderate-quality forages ([Archibèque et al., 2008](#)). DDGS achieved higher average daily gains and lower feed costs per kg gain compared to lambs on a control diet ([Iliev et al., 2008](#)). In growing lambs, DDGS included at 20% (diet DM) could replace a mixture of barley grain and rapeseed meal without adversely affecting average daily gain and carcass characteristics. Including triticale DDGS also improved the fatty acid profile of subcutaneous fat ([McKeown et al., 2010](#)).

Pigs

The availability of maize DDGS has increased tremendously since the 1990s, offering opportunities for use in pig nutrition. Maize DDGS is a source of both energy and protein and can partly replace cereal grains and protein-rich ingredients in pig diets as long as the diet amino-acid balance is correct (by supplementation of industrial amino-acids for instance).

Energy values and digestibility

The gross energy of maize DDGS averages 22.7 MJ/kg DM with an average digestibility of 76% in growing pigs; the DE and ME values are then equivalent to 17.2 MJ and 6.1 MJ/ kg of DM respectively ([Pedersen et al., 2007](#)). The corresponding energy values in adult pigs are about 6% higher. The NE values of maize DDGS can be calculated from ME values using a NE/ME ratio of 0.6. Despite the high dietary fibre content of maize DDGS, its DE and ME values are slightly higher than those of maize grain while the NE value of DDGS is markedly lower (9.6 vs. 13.0 MJ/kg DM).

Protein and phosphorus

Maize DDGS is a source of protein but their amino acid balance is poor with relatively low contents of lysine and tryptophan. The digestibility of most amino acids in maize DDGS is about 10 percentage points lower than those of maize grain, lysine digestibility being the lowest (-20 percentage points) and the most variable.

Maize DDGS can be a source of phosphorus with a rather high availability (60%, [Pedersen et al., 2007](#)).

Recommendations

Most reports in the literature show that piglets, growing-finishing pigs and sows can tolerate rather high inclusion rates of maize

DDGS with no marked degradation of their performance as long as the amino acid supply is maintained (Stein et al., 2009). However, reduced feed intakes are observed when feed intake and appetite are limiting factors of performance (piglets and lactating sows). The lower palatability and the high dietary fibre content of maize DDGS can then depress feed intake at high inclusion rates in the diet.

In practice, a 20% inclusion rate is recommended in piglets, growing-finishing pigs and lactating sows diets. Higher levels (up to 50%) can be fed to pregnant sows without detrimental effects on performance. Such diets can also contribute to improve the welfare of restrictively fed pregnant sows (Stein et al., 2009). Finishing pigs can tolerate higher inclusion levels, but the high level of unsaturation of fat in maize DDGS combined with a high fat content in most maize DDGS induce rather soft body fat, which is unsuitable for processing and carcass conservation. Some authors even suggest totally removing maize DDGS from the diet over the last 2-3 weeks before slaughter in order to reduce the unsaturated fatty acids concentrations in body fat.

Poultry

Dried distillers grain with solubles is a valuable resource for poultry nutrition. The high fibre content of DDGS compared to maize grain is compensated by higher protein and fat contents, leading to comparable ME levels (Cozannet et al., 2010). However, the variability of ME is quite high, due to different technological processes, and to the severity of heating during processing: dark (over-heated) samples tend to have a lower energy value than light ones (Fastinger et al., 2006). Energy is also higher in cockerels than in young chicks (Skiba et al., 2009; Cozannet et al., 2010).

Dried DGS protein has a high protein content that makes it valuable for poultry nutrition. The amino acid profile is slightly less favorable than that of maize, especially for lysine, methionine and cysteine. Moreover, amino acid digestibility is lower than in maize especially for cystine, lysine and threonine (Fastinger et al., 2006). The technological origin of the DDGS has an effect on lysine in particular because this amino acid can be damaged during processing. As for energy, darker samples seem to have lower lysine digestibility (Batal et al., 2006), especially in young animals (Adedokun et al., 2008). Overall, amino acid contents and digestibility can be variable and using digestible amino acids in feed formulation is beneficial.

Broilers

DDGS is used efficiently by broilers at inclusion rates below 20% (Wang et al., 2007a; Wang et al., 2007c; Wang et al., 2008b). However, some authors reported a reduction of broiler growth performance and/or feed efficiency at rates such as 18% (Lumpkins et al., 2004) or 25% and above (Wang et al., 2007a; Wang et al., 2007b). There are some reports of decreased performance when 9 or 12% DDGS were included in the diet (Shalash et al., 2009; Skiba et al., 2009) while in other cases levels as high as 24% did not impair animal performance (Shim et al., 2011). This may be due to the variable quality of DDGS and to the formulation of the experimental diets.

Comparison of DDGS samples differing in quality (darkness) showed that dark samples led to lower growth performance, with a high correlation ($R=0.74$) between luminance value and weight gain (Cromwell et al., 1993). High inclusion rates (30%) can also lead to problems of pellet quality that could explain lower performance (Wang et al., 2008a).

Meat quality does not seem to be affected by DDGS in diets (Corzo et al., 2009) except at high inclusion rates that may lead to a higher content in unsaturated fatty acids in the meat, which is a nutritional advantage but may represent an increased risk of lipid oxidation (Schilling et al., 2010).

In conclusion, optimal inclusion rates are 6% in starters and 12 to 15% in growers and finishers (Lumpkins et al., 2004). These levels can be increased to 20% and above for good quality (light) DDGS when the diet is nutritionally balanced with a particular care for the level of digestible lysine.

Layers

In layers, DDGS was tested successfully at inclusion rates up to 25% (Masa'deh et al., 2008). Feed consumption, egg production and quality were not affected while yolk color increased with DDGS inclusion. Rates as high as 32% were also tested without effect on performance in a trial where a 16% inclusion rate improved performance compared to the control. The quality of eggs (Haugh index, consumer preference) was improved by DDGS addition (Loar et al., 2010). In contrast, some experiments reported a slight decrease in egg production at inclusion rate above 10% (Roberson et al., 1985; Shalash et al., 2010).

An optimal inclusion rate of 15% can be suggested. Higher rates can probably be used with high quality DDGS, provided that the feed is well formulated.

Turkeys

In turkeys, some reduction in performance has been observed at high inclusion rates but 10% DDGS in growers/finishers seems to be safe (Roberson, 2003).

Ducks

In male ducks, inclusion of 12% DDGS in the diet did not decrease performance from 5 to 12 weeks (Peillod et al., 2010). Higher rates (up to 24%) lowered performance in young animals but had no significant effects on the whole period. Recommendation could be 10 to 15% DDGS in young ducks and up to 20% in older animals.

Rabbits

In rabbit nutrition, the two main advantages of corn distillers grain are their high protein content and high digestible energy content (the latter due to the lipids) (Villamide et al., 1989).

The main disadvantage of corn distillers grain for rabbit feeding is their insufficient fibre content. The ADF and lignin of corn distillers grain are below the fibre requirements for rabbits, which are 17-19% and 5.0-5.5% respectively (Gidenne et al., 2010). Another issue is that the protein is only moderately digestible in rabbits, about 70% (Villamide et al., 1989) to be compared for instance to the protein digestibility of soybean meal (80-85%) and peas (85%). In addition, the protein of corn distillers grain is lysine-deficient and just able to cover sulphur amino acids and threonine requirements (Villamide et al., 2010; de Blas et al., 2010).

For these reasons, even if it is possible to include corn distillers grain up to 50% in an experimental feed (Villamide et al., 1989), typical inclusion rates in rabbit diets are in the 2-5% range (Oriani et al., 1997; Chrastinova et al., 2010), and a maximum level of 10% for least-cost formulation seems reasonable (Lebas, 2011).

datasheet citation

Heuzé V., Tran G., Sauvant D., Noblet J., Renaudeau D., Bastianelli D., Lessire M., Lebas F., 2015. *Corn distillers grain*. Feedipedia, a programme by INRA, CIRAD, AFZ and FAO. <http://www.feedipedia.org/node/71> Last updated on May 11, 2015, 14:32

English corrected by Tim Smith (Animal Science consultant) and H el ene Thiollet (AFZ)

Image credits

- Valerie Heuz e / AFZ

[+](#) Share / Save [f](#) [t](#) [r](#)



Corn distillers grain

[Description](#)
[Nutritional aspects](#)
[Nutritional tables](#)
[References](#)

Tables of chemical composition and nutritional value

- Maize distillers dried grains and solubles
- Maize distillers dried grains and solubles, high protein
- Maize distillers dried grains and solubles, fat < 6 %
- Maize distillers wet grains and solubles
- Thin stillage

Avg: average or predicted value; SD: standard deviation; Min: minimum value; Max: maximum value; Nb: number of values (samples) used

Maize distillers dried grains and solubles



| Main analysis | Unit | Avg | SD | me | Max | Nb |
|----------------------|----------|------|-----|------|------|-----|
| Dry matter | % as fed | 89.0 | 1.4 | 86.6 | 91.9 | 332 |
| Crude protein | % DM | 29.5 | 1.8 | 25.2 | 33.5 | 347 |
| Crude fibre | % DM | 7.9 | 0.9 | 6.0 | 9.9 | 228 |
| NDF | % DM | 34.2 | 6.8 | 18.3 | 47.4 | 113 |
| ADF | % DM | 13.6 | 4.2 | 7.9 | 25.1 | 143 |
| Lignin | % DM | 4.3 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 8.4 | 32 |
| Ether extract | % DM | 11.1 | 2.2 | 7.1 | 15.7 | 265 |
| Ash | % DM | 5.4 | 1.0 | 3.4 | 7.5 | 283 |
| Starch (polarimetry) | % DM | 9.3 | 3.0 | 3.9 | 15.2 | 121 |
| Total sugars | % DM | 1.7 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 4.8 | 16 |
| Gross energy | MJ/kg DM | 21.4 | 1.2 | 19.9 | 23.0 | 32 |

| Minerals | Unit | Avg | SD | me | Max | Nb |
|------------|----------|------|-----|-----|------|-----|
| Calcium | g/kg DM | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 5.5 | 104 |
| Phosphorus | g/kg DM | 7.9 | 1.0 | 4.9 | 9.8 | 138 |
| Potassium | g/kg DM | 10.3 | 1.1 | 7.1 | 12.7 | 68 |
| Sodium | g/kg DM | 2.4 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 7.2 | 72 |
| Magnesium | g/kg DM | 3.3 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 3.9 | 70 |
| Manganese | mg/kg DM | 21 | 8 | 12 | 44 | 61 |
| Zinc | mg/kg DM | 62 | 16 | 43 | 105 | 61 |
| Copper | mg/kg DM | 6 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 60 |
| Iron | mg/kg DM | 123 | 41 | 70 | 239 | 61 |

| Amino acids | Unit | Avg | SD | me | Max | Nb |
|---------------|-----------|------|-----|------|------|-----|
| Alanine | % protein | 7.1 | 0.5 | 6.4 | 8.2 | 59 |
| Arginine | % protein | 4.3 | 0.3 | 3.4 | 5.1 | 97 |
| Aspartic acid | % protein | 6.8 | 0.4 | 6.3 | 8.0 | 59 |
| Cystine | % protein | 2.0 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 93 |
| Glutamic acid | % protein | 15.9 | 2.6 | 11.8 | 20.8 | 59 |
| wistaria | % protein | 4.0 | 0.2 | 3.6 | 4.5 | 59 |
| Histidine | % protein | 2.7 | 0.2 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 95 |
| Isoleucine | % protein | 3.8 | 0.3 | 3.2 | 4.3 | 96 |
| Leucine | % protein | 11.6 | 0.6 | 10.1 | 13.3 | 95 |
| Lysine | % protein | 3.0 | 0.3 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 107 |
| Methionine | % protein | 2.0 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 97 |
| Phenylalanine | % protein | 4.8 | 0.2 | 4.3 | 5.4 | 95 |
| Proline | % protein | 7.7 | 0.6 | 6.6 | 8.9 | 59 |
| Serine | % protein | 4.7 | 0.5 | 3.8 | 5.8 | 59 |
| Threonine | % protein | 3.7 | 0.1 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 97 |
| Tryptophan | % protein | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 89 |
| Tyrosine | % protein | 3.9 | 0.5 | 3.1 | 4.7 | 33 |

Automatic translation

Anglais

Feed categories

All feeds

drilling plants

- ▶ Cereal and grass forages
- ▶ Legume forages
- ▶ Forage trees
- ▶ Aquatic plants
- ▶ Other forage plants

Plant products/by-products

- ▶ Cereal grains and by-products
- ▶ Legume seeds and by-products
- ▶ Oil plants and by-products
- ▶ Fruits and by-products
- ▶ Roots, tubers and by-products
- ▶ Sugar processing by-products
- ▶ Plant oils and fats
- ▶ Other plant by-products

Feeds of animal origin

- ▶ Animal by-products
- ▶ Dairy products/by-products
- ▶ Animal fats and oils
- ▶ Insects

Other feeds

- ▶ Minerals
- ▶ Other products

Latin names

Plant and animal families

Plant and animal species

Resources

Broadening horizons

Literature search

Image search

Glossary

External resources

- ▶ Literature databases
- ▶ Feeds and plants databases
- ▶ Organisations & networks
- ▶ Books
- ▶ Journals

| Valine | % protein | 5.1 | 0.3 | 4.3 | 5.6 | 96 |
|--|-----------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Secondary metabolites | Unit | Avg | SD | me | Max | Nb |
| Tannins (eq. tannic acid) | g/kg DM | 3.4 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 6.5 | 6 |
| Ruminant nutritive values | Unit | Avg | SD | me | Max | Nb |
| OM digestibility, ruminants | % | 83.3 | | 71.6 | 83.3 | 2 * |
| Energy digestibility, ruminants | % | 83.5 | | | | * |
| OF ruminants | MJ/kg DM | 17.8 | | | | * |
| ME ruminants | MJ/kg DM | 14.2 | | | | * |
| Nitrogen digestibility, ruminants | % | 77.0 | | | | * |
| a (N) | % | 21.7 | 13.0 | 11.7 | 44.5 | 5 |
| b (N) | % | 62.1 | 14.3 | 40.4 | 75.2 | 5 |
| c (N) | h-1 | 0043 | 0010 | 0027 | 0050 | 5 |
| Nitrogen degradability (effective, k=4%) | % | 54 | 9 | 47 | 67 | 5 * |
| Nitrogen degradability (effective, k=6%) | % | 48 | 9 | 40 | 63 | 5 * |
| Pig nutritive values | Unit | Avg | SD | me | Max | Nb |
| Energy digestibility, growing pig | % | 76.4 | 2.8 | 73.9 | 82.8 | 11 * |
| DE growing pig | MJ/kg DM | 16.3 | 0.7 | 15.0 | 16.9 | 10 * |
| MEn growing pig | MJ/kg DM | 15.3 | | | | * |
| DO growing pig | MJ/kg DM | 9.5 | | | | * |
| Nitrogen digestibility, growing pig | % | 83.0 | 2.9 | 77.1 | 87.5 | 10 |
| Poultry nutritive values | Unit | Avg | SD | me | Max | Nb |
| AMEn cockerel | MJ/kg DM | 12.6 | | | | * |
| AMEn broiler | MJ/kg DM | 12.3 | | | | * |
| Rabbit nutritive values | Unit | Avg | SD | me | Max | Nb |
| Energy digestibility, rabbit | % | 72.8 | | | | * |
| OF rabbit | MJ/kg DM | 15.6 | | | | 1 |

The asterisk * indicates that the average value was obtained by an equation.

References

Abdelqader et al., 2009 ; Abdelqader et al., 2013. ; AFZ, 2011 ; Alagón, 2013 ; Al-Suwaiegh et al., 2002 ; Anderson et al., 2006 ; Arosemena et al., 1995 ; Batajoo et al., 1998 ; Belyea et al., 1989 ; Carvalho et al., 2006 ; Chaudhry et al., 1993 ; Chiou et al., 1995 ; Christen et al., 2010 ; Corrigan et al., 2009 ; De Boever et al., 1994 ; Depenbusch et al., 2009 ; DePeters et al., 1997 ; DePeters et al., 2000 ; Getachew et al., 2004 ; Holtshausen and al., 2011 ; Kelzer et al., 2009 ; Kelzer et al., 2010 ; Kleinschmit et al., 2006 ; Leonardi et al., 2005 ; Leupp et al., 2009 ; Lodge et al., 1997 ; Lumpkins et al., 2005 ; Martinez Amezcua et al., 2004 ; Masoero et al., 1994 ; McKeown et al., 2010 ; Mjoun et al., 2010 ; Morrison, 1957 ; Mulrooney et al., 2009 ; Noll et al., 2003 ; Nuez-Ortin et al., 2009 ; Parsons et al., 1983 ; Pedersen et al., 2007 ; Penner et al., 2009 ; Peter et al., 2000 ; Robinson et al., 2010 ; Sauvante, 2011 ; Spanghero et al., 2010 ; Stein et al., 2006 ; Storey et al., 1982 ; Tedeschi et al., 2009 ; University of Minnesota, 2007 ; University of Minnesota, 2010 ; Urriola et al., 2009 ; Widyaratne et al., 2007 ; Williams, 2010 ; Wiseman et al., 1992 ; Woods et al., 2003

Last updated on 13/02/2014 12:57:35

Maize distillers dried grains and solubles, high protein



| | | | | | | |
|----------------------|----------|------|-----|------|------|----|
| Main analysis | Unit | Avg | SD | me | Max | Nb |
| Dry matter | % as fed | 92.2 | 1.9 | 89.5 | 94.9 | 12 |
| Crude protein | % DM | 44.0 | 2.4 | 40.8 | 48.4 | 12 |
| Crude fibre | % DM | 7.5 | | 7.4 | 7.6 | 2 |
| NDF | % DM | 28.8 | 4.9 | 22.5 | 36.6 | 10 |
| ADF | % DM | 12.7 | 5.7 | 6.6 | 22.9 | 9 |
| Lignin | % DM | 3.1 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 4.6 | 5 |
| Ether extract | % DM | 5.1 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 12.8 | 12 |
| Ash | % DM | 2.6 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 6.1 | 11 |
| Starch (polarimetry) | % DM | 8.2 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 11.4 | 6 |
| Total sugars | % DM | 1.6 | | 0.9 | 2.3 | 2 |
| Gross energy | MJ/kg DM | 21.0 | | | | * |
| Minerals | Unit | Avg | SD | me | Max | Nb |
| Calcium | g/kg DM | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 7 |
| Phosphorus | g/kg DM | 4.2 | 0.5 | 3.5 | 5.1 | 7 |
| Potassium | g/kg DM | 3.9 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 5.3 | 5 |
| Sodium | g/kg DM | 1.3 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 3 |

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|
| Magnesium | g/kg DM | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 6 |
| Manganese | mg/kg DM | 6 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 3 |
| Zinc | mg/kg DM | 39 | 25 | 22 | 68 | 3 |
| Copper | mg/kg DM | 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| Iron | mg/kg DM | 53 | 10 | 47 | 65 | 3 |

| Amino acids | Unit | Avg | SD | me | Max | Nb |
|---------------|-----------|------|-----|------|------|----|
| Alanine | % protein | 7.4 | | 7.3 | 7.5 | 2 |
| Arginine | % protein | 3.4 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 4.5 | 3 |
| Aspartic acid | % protein | 6.5 | | 6.5 | 6.5 | 2 |
| Cystine | % protein | 2.0 | | 1.8 | 2.1 | 2 |
| Glutamic acid | % protein | 16.6 | | 16.1 | 17.1 | 2 |
| wistaria | % protein | 3.5 | | 3.3 | 3.7 | 2 |
| Histidine | % protein | 2.4 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 2.8 | 3 |
| Isoleucine | % protein | 3.5 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 4.2 | 3 |
| Leucine | % protein | 12.0 | 2.5 | 9.1 | 13.5 | 3 |
| Lysine | % protein | 2.6 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 3.3 | 3 |
| Methionine | % protein | 1.9 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 3 |
| Phenylalanine | % protein | 4.6 | 1.0 | 3.4 | 5.2 | 3 |
| Proline | % protein | 8.3 | | 7.8 | 8.8 | 2 |
| Serine | % protein | 4.3 | | 4.2 | 4.4 | 2 |
| Threonine | % protein | 3.2 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 3.7 | 3 |
| Tryptophan | % protein | 0.5 | | 0.4 | 0.6 | 2 |
| Tyrosine | % protein | 4.1 | | | | 1 |
| Valine | % protein | 4.4 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 5.4 | 3 |

| Ruminant nutritive values | Unit | Avg | SD | me | Max | Nb |
|--|----------|------|----|----|-----|----|
| OM digestibility, ruminants | % | 84.0 | | | | * |
| Energy digestibility, ruminants | % | 85.4 | | | | * |
| OF ruminants | MJ/kg DM | 17.9 | | | | * |
| ME ruminants | MJ/kg DM | 13.7 | | | | * |
| Nitrogen digestibility, ruminants | % | 78.7 | | | | * |
| a (N) | % | 11.1 | | | | 1 |
| b (N) | % | 84.7 | | | | 1 |
| c (N) | h-1 | 0043 | | | | 1 |
| Nitrogen degradability (effective, k=4%) | % | 55 | | | | * |
| Nitrogen degradability (effective, k=6%) | % | 46 | | | | * |

| Pig nutritive values | Unit | Avg | SD | me | Max | Nb |
|-----------------------------------|----------|------|----|----|-----|----|
| Energy digestibility, growing pig | % | 79.5 | | | | * |
| DE growing pig | MJ/kg DM | 16.7 | | | | * |
| MEEn growing pig | MJ/kg DM | 15.6 | | | | * |
| DO growing pig | MJ/kg DM | 10.4 | | | | * |

| Poultry nutritive values | Unit | Avg | SD | me | Max | Nb |
|--------------------------|----------|------|----|----|-----|----|
| AMEn cockerel | MJ/kg DM | 12.6 | | | | * |
| AMEn broiler | MJ/kg DM | 12.4 | | | | * |

The asterisk * indicates that the average value was obtained by an equation.

References

Abdelqader et al., 2009 ; AFZ, 2011 ; Christen et al., 2010 ; Jacela et al., 2010 ; Kelzer et al., 2009 ; Kelzer et al., 2010 ; Mjoun et al., 2010 ; Robinson et al., 2010 ; Tedeschi et al., 2009

Last updated on 13/02/2014 12:02:04

Maize distillers dried grains and solubles, fat < 6 %



| Main analysis | Unit | Avg | SD | me | Max | Nb |
|---------------|----------|------|-----|------|------|------|
| Dry matter | % as fed | 88.3 | 1.1 | 85.6 | 91.1 | 1507 |
| Crude protein | % DM | 27.9 | 1.5 | 23.4 | 30.8 | 1518 |
| Crude fibre | % DM | 8.3 | 0.6 | 7.0 | 9.9 | 863 |
| NDF | % DM | 39.8 | 4.5 | 27.6 | 47.3 | 27 |
| ADF | % DM | 14.0 | 2.9 | 10.1 | 19.8 | 28 |
| Lignin | % DM | 2.3 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 5.4 | 18 |
| Ether extract | % DM | 4.2 | 0.9 | 2.8 | 6.5 | 949 |

| | | | | | | |
|----------------------|----------|------|-----|------|------|-----|
| Ash | % DM | 6.8 | 0.4 | 5.8 | 7.9 | 718 |
| Starch (polarimetry) | % DM | 12.3 | 2.1 | 8.7 | 17.5 | 451 |
| Total sugars | % DM | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1.7 | 10 |
| Gross energy | MJ/kg DM | 19.2 | 1.2 | 19.1 | 22.1 | 8 * |

| Minerals | Unit | Avg | SD | me | Max | Nb |
|------------|----------|------|-----|------|------|-----|
| Calcium | g/kg DM | 2.4 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 4.9 | 132 |
| Phosphorus | g/kg DM | 9.6 | 0.5 | 8.2 | 10.7 | 166 |
| Potassium | g/kg DM | 15.0 | 1.5 | 13.6 | 17.1 | 5 |
| Sodium | g/kg DM | 6.3 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 7.5 | 10 |
| Magnesium | g/kg DM | 4.0 | | | | 1 |
| Manganese | mg/kg DM | 26 | | 25 | 26 | 2 |
| Zinc | mg/kg DM | 81 | | 59 | 103 | 2 |
| Copper | mg/kg DM | 14 | | | | 1 |
| Iron | mg/kg DM | 138 | | | | 1 |

| Amino acids | Unit | Avg | SD | me | Max | Nb |
|---------------|-----------|------|-----|------|------|----|
| Alanine | % protein | 5.2 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 7.2 | 6 |
| Arginine | % protein | 4.0 | 0.3 | 3.5 | 4.7 | 16 |
| Aspartic acid | % protein | 5.8 | 0.9 | 4.7 | 7.0 | 6 |
| Cystine | % protein | 1.9 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 14 |
| Glutamic acid | % protein | 17.7 | 4.7 | 13.9 | 26.3 | 6 |
| wistaria | % protein | 3.8 | 0.4 | 3.3 | 4.3 | 6 |
| Histidine | % protein | 2.5 | 0.3 | 1.7 | 3.1 | 16 |
| Isoleucine | % protein | 3.6 | 0.4 | 2.6 | 4.1 | 17 |
| Leucine | % protein | 10.6 | 2.4 | 6.5 | 14.3 | 17 |
| Lysine | % protein | 2.6 | 0.4 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 25 |
| Methionine | % protein | 1.8 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 20 |
| Phenylalanine | % protein | 4.8 | 0.8 | 3.5 | 6.2 | 17 |
| Proline | % protein | 8.5 | | 8.0 | 8.9 | 2 |
| Serine | % protein | 4.5 | 0.3 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 6 |
| Threonine | % protein | 3.6 | 0.4 | 2.9 | 4.0 | 18 |
| Tryptophan | % protein | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 13 |
| Tyrosine | % protein | 3.3 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 5.4 | 7 |
| Valine | % protein | 4.9 | 0.4 | 4.0 | 5.4 | 16 |

| Secondary metabolites | Unit | Avg | SD | me | Max | Nb |
|---------------------------|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|
| Tannins (eq. tannic acid) | g/kg DM | 2.4 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 4.2 | 10 |

| Ruminant nutritive values | Unit | Avg | SD | me | Max | Nb |
|--|----------|------|----|----|-----|----|
| OM digestibility, ruminants | % | 82.4 | | | | * |
| Energy digestibility, ruminants | % | 81.2 | | | | * |
| OF ruminants | MJ/kg DM | 15.6 | | | | * |
| ME ruminants | MJ/kg DM | 12.4 | | | | * |
| Nitrogen digestibility, ruminants | % | 76.6 | | | | * |
| Nitrogen degradability (effective, k=6%) | % | 65 | | 59 | 70 | 2 |

| Pig nutritive values | Unit | Avg | SD | me | Max | Nb |
|-----------------------------------|----------|------|----|----|-----|----|
| Energy digestibility, growing pig | % | 73.7 | | | | * |
| DE growing pig | MJ/kg DM | 14.1 | | | | * |
| MEEn growing pig | MJ/kg DM | 13.2 | | | | * |
| DO growing pig | MJ/kg DM | 8.8 | | | | * |

| Poultry nutritive values | Unit | Avg | SD | me | Max | Nb |
|--------------------------|----------|------|----|----|-----|----|
| AMEn cockerel | MJ/kg DM | 10.3 | | | | * |
| AMEn broiler | MJ/kg DM | 10.1 | | | | * |

The asterisk * indicates that the average value was obtained by an equation.

References

AFZ, 2011 ; Bhatti et al., 1995 ; Broderick et al., 1990 ; Clark et al., 1993 ; Clark et al., 1997 ; Crawford et al., 1978 ; Cromwell et al., 1993 ; Dewar, 1967 ; Erdman et al., 1987 ; Grings et al., 1992 ; Lyman et al., 1958 ; Macgregor et al., 1978 ; Morse et al., 1992 ; Noblet et al., 1989 ; Small, 1992 ; Shelford et al., 1986 ; Swaneck et al., 2001 ; Waters et al., 1992

Last updated on 13/02/2014 13:02:14

Maize distillers wet grains and solubles



| Main analysis | Unit | Avg | SD | me | Max | Nb |
|----------------------|----------|------|------|------|------|----|
| Dry matter | % as fed | 35.2 | 6.9 | 27.9 | 46.2 | 11 |
| Crude protein | % DM | 31.8 | 3.6 | 25.0 | 39.5 | 14 |
| Crude fibre | % DM | 8.2 | | | | * |
| NDF | % DM | 39.0 | 10.0 | 24.5 | 58.1 | 11 |
| ADF | % DM | 17.7 | 5.9 | 6.7 | 25.3 | 8 |
| Lignin | % DM | 4.8 | 1.0 | 4.2 | 5.9 | 3 |
| Ether extract | % DM | 13.0 | 2.1 | 8.5 | 15.4 | 10 |
| Ash | % DM | 3.8 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 6.1 | 8 |
| Starch (polarimetry) | % DM | 4.9 | 1.3 | 3.7 | 6.2 | 4 |
| Total sugars | % DM | 3.0 | | | | 1 |
| Gross energy | MJ/kg DM | 21.7 | | | | * |

| Minerals | Unit | Avg | SD | me | Max | Nb |
|------------|----------|-----|-----|-----|------|----|
| Calcium | g/kg DM | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 6 |
| Phosphorus | g/kg DM | 8.2 | 1.3 | 6.6 | 9.8 | 7 |
| Potassium | g/kg DM | 9.5 | 2.4 | 7.1 | 13.6 | 6 |
| Sodium | g/kg DM | 2.7 | | | | 1 |
| Magnesium | g/kg DM | 3.4 | 0.9 | 2.7 | 4.4 | 3 |
| Manganese | mg/kg DM | 17 | | | | 1 |
| Zinc | mg/kg DM | 63 | | | | 1 |
| Copper | mg/kg DM | 6 | | | | 1 |
| Iron | mg/kg DM | 116 | | | | 1 |

| Amino acids | Unit | Avg | SD | me | Max | Nb |
|---------------|-----------|------|-----|------|------|----|
| Alanine | % protein | 7.1 | | 6.8 | 7.4 | 2 |
| Arginine | % protein | 3.9 | 0.8 | 3.0 | 4.6 | 3 |
| Aspartic acid | % protein | 6.7 | | 6.2 | 7.1 | 2 |
| Cystine | % protein | 1.9 | | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2 |
| Glutamic acid | % protein | 14.6 | | 12.5 | 16.7 | 2 |
| wistaria | % protein | 3.8 | | 3.7 | 4.0 | 2 |
| Histidine | % protein | 2.3 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 3 |
| Isoleucine | % protein | 3.1 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 3.9 | 3 |
| Leucine | % protein | 10.1 | 1.5 | 8.4 | 11.1 | 3 |
| Lysine | % protein | 3.0 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 3.4 | 3 |
| Methionine | % protein | 1.8 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 3 |
| Phenylalanine | % protein | 4.1 | 0.7 | 3.3 | 4.7 | 3 |
| Proline | % protein | 7.4 | | 7.3 | 7.4 | 2 |
| Serine | % protein | 4.6 | | 4.0 | 5.2 | 2 |
| Threonine | % protein | 3.3 | 0.4 | 2.9 | 3.6 | 3 |
| Tryptophan | % protein | 0.4 | | | | 1 |
| Valine | % protein | 4.3 | 1.0 | 3.2 | 5.2 | 3 |

| Ruminant nutritive values | Unit | Avg | SD | me | Max | Nb |
|--|----------|------|----|----|-----|----|
| OM digestibility, ruminants | % | 82.6 | | | | * |
| Energy digestibility, ruminants | % | 83.9 | | | | * |
| OF ruminants | MJ/kg DM | 18.2 | | | | * |
| ME ruminants | MJ/kg DM | 14.4 | | | | * |
| Nitrogen digestibility, ruminants | % | 77.6 | | | | * |
| a (N) | % | 37.2 | | | | 1 |
| b (N) | % | 61.1 | | | | 1 |
| c (N) | h-1 | 0042 | | | | 1 |
| Nitrogen degradability (effective, k=4%) | % | 68 | | | | * |
| Nitrogen degradability (effective, k=6%) | % | 62 | | | | * |

| Pig nutritive values | Unit | Avg | SD | me | Max | Nb |
|-----------------------------------|----------|------|----|----|-----|----|
| Energy digestibility, growing pig | % | 70.3 | | | | * |
| DE growing pig | MJ/kg DM | 15.3 | | | | * |
| ME _n growing pig | MJ/kg DM | 14.4 | | | | * |
| DO growing pig | MJ/kg DM | 8.9 | | | | * |

| Poultry nutritive values | Unit | Avg | SD | me | Max | Nb |
|--------------------------|----------|------|----|----|-----|----|
| AMEn cockerel | MJ/kg DM | 13.0 | | | | * |
| AMEn broiler | MJ/kg DM | 12.7 | | | | * |

The asterisk * indicates that the average value was obtained by an equation.

References

Al-Suwaiegh et al., 2002 ; Anderson et al., 2006 ; Birkelo et al., 2004 ; Depenbusch et al., 2009 ; Gehman et al., 2010 ; Ham et al., 1994 ; Kelzer et al., 2010 ; Kleinschmit et al., 2006 ; Klopfenstein 1996 ; Lodge et al., 1997 ; May et al., 2010 ; Mjoun et al., 2010 ; Swanek et al., 2001

Last updated on 05/01/2016 11:49:27

Thin stillage



| Main analysis | Unit | Avg | SD | me | Max | Nb |
|----------------------|----------|------|----|------|------|----|
| Dry matter | % as fed | 4.7 | | 4.4 | 5.0 | 2 |
| Crude protein | % DM | 17.9 | | 16.8 | 19.0 | 2 |
| NDF | % DM | 12.5 | | 11.7 | 13.3 | 2 |
| Ether extract | % DM | 9.2 | | | | 1 |
| Ash | % DM | 6.3 | | 5.9 | 6.7 | 2 |
| Starch (polarimetry) | % DM | 25.1 | | | | 1 |

The asterisk * indicates that the average value was obtained by an equation.

References

Ham et al., 1994; Klopfenstein, 1996

Last updated on 24/10/2012 00:45:32

datasheet citation

Heuzé V., Tran G., Sauvant D., Noblet J., Renaudeau D., Bastianelli D., Lessire M., Lebas F., 2015. *Corn distillers grain*. Feedipedia, a programme by INRA, CIRAD, AFZ and FAO. <http://www.feedipedia.org/node/71> Last updated on May 11, 2015, 14:32

English corrected by Tim Smith (Animal Science consultant) and H el ene Thiollet (AFZ)

Image credits

- Valerie Heuz  / AFZ

[+](#) Share / Save [f](#) [t](#) [i](#)



Corn distillers grain

[Description](#)
[Nutritional aspects](#)
[Nutritional tables](#)
[References](#)

References

- Abdelqader, M. M. ; Hippen, A. R. ; Kalscheur, K. F. ; Schingoethe, D. J. ; Garcia, A. D., 2009. Isolipidic additions of fat from corn germ, corn distillers grains, or corn oil in dairy cow diets. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 92: 5523-5533
- Adedokun, S. A.; Adeola, O.; Parsons, C. M.; Lilburn, M. S.; Applegate, T. J., 2008. Standardized ileal amino acid digestibility of plant feedstuffs in broiler chickens and turkey poults using a nitrogen-free or casein diet. *Poult. Sci.*, 87 (12): 2535-2548
- AGPM, 2013. The bioethanol. General Association of Maize Growers
- Al-Suwaiegh, S. ; Fanning, K. C. ; Grant, R. C. ; Milton, C. T. ; Klopfenstein, T. J., 2002. Utilization of distillers grains from the fermentation of sorghum or corn in diets for finishing beef and lactating dairy cattle. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 80 (4):1105-1111
- Alagón Huallpa, G., 2013. Use of barley, wheat and corn distillers dried grain with solubles in diets for growing rabbits: nutritive value, growth performance and meat quality. PhD Thesis. Department of Animal Sciences. Universidad politecnica de Valencia
- Anderson, J. L. ; Schingoethe, D. J. ; Kalscheur, K. F. ; Hippen, A. R., 2006. Evaluation of dried and wet distillers grains included at two concentrations in the diets of lactating dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 89 (8): 3133-3142
- Anderson, P. V. ; Kerr, B. J. ; Weber, T. E. ; Ziemer, C. J. ; Shurson, G. C., 2012. Determination and prediction of digestible and metabolizable energy from chemical analysis of corn coproducts fed to finishing pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 90 (4): 1242-1254
- Archibeque, S. L. ; Freetly, H. C. ; Ferrell, C. L., 2008. Feeding distillers grains supplements to improve amino acid nutriture of lambs consuming moderate-quality forages. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 86 (3): 691-701
- Arosemena, A. ; DePeters, E. J. ; Fadel, J. G., 1995. Extent of variability in nutrient composition within selected by-product feedstuffs. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, 54: 103-120
- ASAIM, 2006. Swine Nutrition and Management. Technical Report Series, American Soybean Association International Marketing South East Asia, Singapore
- Batajoo, K. K. ; Shaver, R. D., 1998. In situ dry matter, crude protein, and starch degradabilities of selected grains and by-product feeds. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, 71 (1): 165-176
- Batal, A. B. ; Dale, N. M., 2006. True metabolizable energy and amino acid digestibility of distillers dried grains with solubles. *J. Appl. Poult. Res.*, 15 (1): 89-93
- Belyea, R. L. ; Steevens, B. J. ; Restrepo, R. J. ; Clubb, A. P., 1989. Variation in composition of by-product feeds. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 72: 2339-2345
- Bennett, G. A., 1981. Utilization of zearalenone-contaminated corn for ethanol production. *J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc.*, 58 (11): 974-976
- Birkelo, C. P. ; Brouk, M. J. ; Schingoethe, D. J., 2004. The energy content of wet corn distillers grains for lactating dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 87 (6): 1815-1819
- Bühler, 2013. Dryers for ethanol by-products (DDGS) and biomass. Bühler Aeroglide
- Carvalho, L. P. F. ; Melo, D. S. P. ; Pereira, C. R. M. ; Rodrigues, M. A. M. ; Cabrita, A. R. J. ; Fonseca, A. J. M., 2005. Chemical composition, *in vivo* digestibility, N degradability and enzymatic intestinal digestibility of five protein supplements. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, 119 (1-2): 171-178
- Chapoutot, P. ; Dorleans, M. ; Sauvart, D., 2010. Study of degradation kinetics of cell wall components of concentrate feeds and agroindustrial by-products. *Inra Prod. Anim.*, 23 (3): 285-304
- Chapoutot, P., 1998. degradation study *in situ* cell wall constituents of ruminant feed. Thesis Doctor of Agricultural Sciences, Institut National Agronomique Paris Grignon, Paris (FRA), 1998/11/17.
- Chara, J. D. ; Suarez J. C., 1993. Utilization of distiller's by products and sugarcane juice as energy source for Peking ducks fed on whole soyabean and *Azolla* as protein sources. *Livest. Res. Rural Dev.*, 5 (1): 11-15
- Chaudhry, A. S. ; Webster, A. J. F., 2001. Nutrient composition and the use of solubility to estimate degradability of food proteins in cattle. *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, 81 (11): 1077-1086
- Chrastinova, L.; Chrenkova, M.; Laukova, A.; Poláčiková, M.; Simon, M.; Szabóová, R.; STROMPFOVA, V.; Ondruska, L.; Chlebec, I.; Parkanyi, V.; Rafay, J.; Vasilkova, Z., 2010. Influence of selected phytoadditives and probiotics on zootechnical performance, caecal parameters and meat quality of rabbits. *Archiva Zootechnica*, 13 (2): 30-35
- Christians, KA; Schin Goethe, DJ; Kalscheur, KF; Hip, AR; Sparse, K.; Gibson, ML, 2010. Response of lactating dairy cows to high protein distillers grain or 3 other protein supplements. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 93: 2095-2104
- Clark, P. W. ; Armentano, L. E., 1993. Effectiveness of neutral detergent fiber in whole cottonseed and dried distillers grains compared with alfalfa haylage. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 76: 2644-2650
- Corrigan, M. E. ; Erickson, G. E. ; Klopfenstein, T. J. ; Luebbe, M. K. ; Pol, K. J. vander; Meyer, N. F. ; Buckner, C. D. ; Vanness, S. J. ; Hanford, K. J., 2009. Effect of corn processing method and corn wet distillers grains plus solubles inclusion level in finishing steers. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 87 (10): 3351-3362
- Corrigan, M. E. ; Klopfenstein, T. J. ; Erickson, G. E. ; Meyer, N. F. ; vander Pol, K. J. ; Greenquist, M. A. ; Luebbe, M. K. ; Karges, K. K. ; Gibson, M. L., 2009. Effects of level of condensed distillers solubles in corn dried distillers grains on intake, daily body weight gain, and digestibility in growing steers fed forage diets. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 87 (12): 4073-4081

Automatic translation

Anglais

Feed categories

All feeds

drilling plants

- ▶ Cereal and grass forages
- ▶ Legume forages
- ▶ Forage trees
- ▶ Aquatic plants
- ▶ Other forage plants

Plant products/by-products

- ▶ Cereal grains and by-products
- ▶ Legume seeds and by-products
- ▶ Oil plants and by-products
- ▶ Fruits and by-products
- ▶ Roots, tubers and by-products
- ▶ Sugar processing by-products
- ▶ Plant oils and fats
- ▶ Other plant by-products

Feeds of animal origin

- ▶ Animal by-products
- ▶ Dairy products/by-products
- ▶ Animal fats and oils
- ▶ Insects

Other feeds

- ▶ Minerals
- ▶ Other products

Latin names

Plant and animal families

Plant and animal species

Resources

Broadening horizons

















Literature search

Image search

Glossary

External resources

- ▶ Literature databases
- ▶ Feeds and plants databases
- ▶ Organisations & networks
- ▶ Books
- ▶ Journals

- Corzo, A. ; Schilling, M. W. ; Loar II, R. E. ; Jackson, V. ; Kin, S. ; Radhakrishnan, V., 2009. The effects of feeding distillers dried grains with solubles on broiler meat quality. *Poult. Sci.*, 88 (2): 432-439 
- Cozannet, P. ; Lessire, M. ; Métayer, J. P. ; Gady, C. ; Primot, Y. ; Geraert, P. A. ; Le Tutour, L. ; Skiba, F. ; Noblet, J., 2010. Nutritive value of wheat and maize distillers dried grains with solubles for poultry. *Inra Prod. Anim.*, 23 (5): 405-414 
- Crawshaw, R., 2004. Co-product feeds: animal feeds from the food and drinks industries. Nottingham University Press 
- Cromwell, G. L. ; Herkelman, K. L. ; Stahly, T. S., 1993. Physical, chemical, and nutritional characteristics of distillers dried grains with solubles for chicks and pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 71 (3): 679-686 
- Cyriac, J. ; Abdelqader, M. M. ; Kalscheur, K. F. ; Hippen, A. R. ; Schingoethe, D. J., 2005. Effect of replacing forage fiber with non-forage fiber in lactating dairy cow diets. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 88 (Suppl. 1): 252 
- Cruz, C. R. da ; Brouk, M. J. ; Schingoethe, D. J., 2005. Lactational response of cows fed condensed corn distillers solubles. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 88 (11): 4000-4006 
- de Blas, C. ; Mateos, G. G., 2010. Feed formulation. In: Nutrition of the rabbit - 2nd edition. de Blas, C.; Wiseman, J. (Eds). CAB International, UK 
- Deppenbusch, B. E. ; Loe, E. R. ; Sindt, J. J. ; Cole, N. A. ; Higgins, J. J. ; Drouillard, J. S., 2009. Optimizing use of distillers grains in finishing diets containing steam-flaked corn. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 87 (8): 2644-2652 
- DePeters, E. J. ; Fadel, J. G. ; Arosemena, A., 1997. Digestion kinetics of neutral detergent fiber and chemical composition within some selected by-product feedstuffs. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, 67: 127-140 
- Drapcho, 2008. Biofuel feedstocks, Chapter 4. In: Drapcho, C. M; Nhuan Ph Nghim; Walker T. (Eds), Biofuels Engineering Process Technology, McGraw-Hill 
- Erickson, G. E. ; Klopfenstein, T. J. ; Adams, D. C. ; Rasby, R. J., 2005. General overview of feeding corn milling co-products to beef cattle. In: Corn processing co-products manual: a review of current research on distillers grains and corn gluten. A joint project of the Nebraska Corn Board and the University of Nebraska-Lincoln 
- Eun, J. S. ; ZoBell, D. R. ; Wiedmeier, R. D., 2009. Influence of replacing barley grain with corn-based dried distillers grains with solubles on production and carcass characteristics of growing and finishing beef steers. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, 152 (1-2): 72-80 
- EvaPig, 2010. EvaPig: A calculator of energy, amino acid and phosphorus values of ingredients and diets for growing and adult pigs. INRA, Ajinomoto Eurolysine SAS, AFZ 
- FAO, 2011. FAOSTAT. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations 
- Fastinger, N. D. ; Latschaw, J. D. ; Mahan D.C., 2006. Amino acid availability and true metabolizable energy content of corn distillers dried grains with solubles in adult cecectomized roosters. *Poult. Sci.*, 85 (7):1212-1216 
- Fernandez-Cornejo, J. ; Caswell, M., 2006. The first decade of genetically engineered crops in the United States. USDA Economic Information Bulletin No. (EIB-11) 36 pp, April 2006 
- Firkins, J. L. ; Berger, L. L. ; Fahey, G. C. ; Merchen, N. R., 1984. Ruminant nitrogen degradability and escape of wet and dry distillers grains and wet and dry corn gluten feed. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 67:1936-1944. 
- Fox, J. A., 2008. The value of distillers' dried grains in large international markets. In: Babcock, B. A.; Hayes, D. J.; Lawrence, J. D.(Eds) Using distillers' grains in the US and international livestock and poultry industries. MATRIC, Iowa State University 
- Gehman, A. M. ; Kononoff, P. J., 2010. Utilization of nitrogen in cows consuming wet distillers grains with solubles in alfalfa and corn silage-based dairy rations. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 93 (7): 3166-3175 
- Gehman, A. M. ; Kononoff, P. J., 2010. Nitrogen utilization, nutrient digestibility, and excretion of purine derivatives in dairy cattle consuming rations containing corn milling co-products. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 93 (8): 3641-3651 
- Gidenne, T. ; García, J.; Lebas, F.; Licois, D., 2010. Nutrition and feeding strategy: interactions with pathology. In: Nutrition of the rabbit - 2nd edition. de Blas, C.; Wiseman, J. (Eds). CAB International, UK 
- Gilbery, T. C. ; Lardy, G. P. ; Soto-Navarro, S. A. ; Bauer, M. L. ; Caton, J. S., 2006. Effects of corn condensed distillers solubles supplementation on ruminal fermentation, digestion, and in situ disappearance in steers consuming low-quality hay. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 84 (6): 1468-1480 
- Göhl, B., 1982. The feed in the tropics. FAO, Production and Animal Health Division, Rome, Italy 
- Gould, D. H., 1998. Polioencephalomalacia. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 76 (1): 309-314 
- Ham, G. A. ; Stock, R. A. ; Klopfenstein, T. J. ; Larson, E. M. ; Shain, D. H. ; Huffman, R. P., 1994. Wet corn distillers byproducts compared with dried corn distillers grains with solubles as a source of protein and energy for ruminants. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 72: 3246-3257 
- Hayes, D. J., 2008. Introduction. In: Babcock, B. A.; Hayes, D. J.; Lawrence, J. D.(Eds) Using distillers' grains in the US and international livestock and poultry industries. MATRIC, Iowa State University 
- Hoffman, L. ; Baker, A., 2010. Market issues and prospects for U. S. Distillers' Grains supply, use and price relationships. USDA, Economic research service, FDS-10k-01 
- Holden, P. J. ; Zimmerman, D. R., 1991. Utilization of cereal grain by-products in finishing swine. In: Miller, E.R., Ullrey, D.E. and Lewis, A.J. (eds) Swine Nutrition. Butterworth-Heinemann, Burlington, Massachusetts, pp. 585-593 
- Hutjens, M. F., 2004. Questions about wet distillers'. *Hoard's Dairyman*, 149:261 
- Iliev, F. ; Kozelov, L., 2008. Effect of dried distillers grains inclusion in female lambs ration. *Zhivotnov'dni Nauki*, 45 (3): 70-73 
- Kalscheur, K. F. ; Garcia, A. D. ; Schingoethe, D. J. ; Diaz Royón, F.; Hippen, A. R., 2012. Feeding biofuel co-products to dairy cattle. In: Makkar, H. (Ed.), Biofuel co-products as livestock feed: Opportunities and challenges, Chapter 7: 115-154 
- Kalscheur, K. F., 2005. Impact of feeding distillers grains on milk fat, protein, and yield. Proc. Distillers Grains Technology Council, 10th Annual Symposium, Louisville, KY 
- Kelzer, J. M. ; Kononoff, P. J. ; Gehman, A. M. ; Tedeschi, L. O. ; Karges, K. ; Gibson, M. L., 2009. Effects of feeding three types of corn-milling coproducts on milk production and ruminal fermentation of lactating Holstein cattle. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 92 (10): 5120-5132 
- Kelzer, J. M. ; Kononoff, P. J. ; Tedeschi, L. O. ; Jenkins, T. C. ; Karges, K. ; Gibson, M., 2010. Evaluation of protein fractionation and ruminal and intestinal digestibility of corn milling co-products. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 93 (6): 2803-2815 
- Kelzer, M. ; Popowski, J. M. ; Bird, S. ; Cox, R. B. ; Crawford, G. I. ; DiCostanzo, A., 2011. Effects of including low fat, high protein dried distillers grains in finishing diets on feedlot performance and carcass characteristics of beef steers. University of Minnesota Beef Report Publication BR-1104 

- Keshun Liu, 2011. Chemical composition of distillers grains, a review. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 59 (5): 1508-1526 
- Kim, E. J. ; Martinez Amezcua, C. ; Utterback, P. L. ; Parsons, C.M., 2008. Phosphorus bioavailability, true metabolizable energy, and amino acid digestibilities of high protein corn distillers dried grains and dehydrated corn germ. *Poult. Sci.*, 87(4): 700-705 
- Kleinschmit, D. H. ; Schingoethe, D. J. ; Kalscheur, K. F. ; Hippen, A. R., 2006. Evaluation of various sources of corn distillers dried grains plus solubles for lactating dairy cattle. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 89 (12): 4784-4794 
- Kleinschmit, D. H. ; Anderson, J. L.; Schingoethe, D. J. ; Kalscheur, K. F. ; Hippen, A. R., 2006. Ruminant and intestinal digestibility of distillers grains plus solubles varies by source. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 90 (6): 2909-2918 
- Klopfenstein, T. J. ; Erickson, G. E. ; Bremer, V. R., 2008. Use of distillers by-products in the beef cattle feeding industry. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 86 (5): 1223-1231 
- Kononoff, P. J. ; Erickson, G. E., 2006. Feeding corn milling co-products to dairy and beef cattle. 21st Annual Southwest Nutrition & Management Conference. February 23-24, 2006. Tempe, AZ - 155 
- Larson, E. M. ; Stock, R. A. ; Klopfenstein, T. J. ; Sindt, M. H. ; Huffman, R. P., 1993. Feeding value of wet distillers byproducts from finishing ruminants. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 71 (8): 2228-2236 
- Lebas, F., 2011. The professional breeding Rabbits: Some scientific and technical bases. Website www.cuniculture.info 
- Leonardi, C. ; Bertics, S. ; Armentano, L. E., 2005. Effect of increasing oil from distillers grains or corn oil on lactation performance. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 88 (8): 2820-2827 
- Leupp, J. L. ; Lardy, G. P. ; Karges, K. K. ; Gibson, M. L. ; Caton, J. S., 2009. Effects of increasing levels of corn distillers dried grains with solubles to steers offered moderate-quality forage. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 87 (12): 4064-72 
- Lewis, M., 2002. Distillery feeds and copper. Organic farming technical summary, Scottish Agricultural College 
- Lillehoj, E. B. ; Maisch, W. F. ; Lagoda, A., 1979. The fate of aflatoxin in naturally contaminated corn during the ethanol. *Can. J. Microbiol.*, 25 (8): 911-914 
- Loar II, R. E. ; Schilling, M. W. ; McDaniel, C. D. ; Coufal, C. D. ; Rogers, S. F. ; Karges, K. ; Corzo, A., 2010. Effect of dietary inclusion level of distillers dried grains with solubles on layer performance, egg characteristics, and consumer acceptability. *J. Appl. Poult. Res.*, 19 (1): 30-37 
- Lumpkins, B.S. ; Batal, A.B. ; Dale, N.M., 2004. Evaluation of distillers dried grains with solubles as a feed ingredient for broilers. *Poult. Sci.*, 83 (11): 1891-1896 
- Lyman, C. M. ; Camp, B. J. ; Tiller, H. M., 1958. The tyrosine content of farm feeds. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 6: 767-770 
- Lyons, T. P., 2003. Production of Scotch and Irish whiskies: their history and evolution. In: Jacques, K. A.; Lyons, T. P.; Kelsall, D. R. (Eds) *The alcohol textbook: a reference for the beverage, fuel and industrial alcohol industries/ Nottingham University Press* 
- Martinez Amezcua, C. ; Parsons, C. M. ; Noll, S. L., 2004. Constant and relative bioavailability of phosphorus in distillers dried grains with solubles in chicks. *Poult. Sci.*, 83 (6): 971-976 
- Masa'deh, M. K. ; Weber, P. ; Scheideler, S. E., 2008. Dried distillers grains with solubles in laying hens ration. *Poult. Sci.*, 87 (Suppl. 1): 27-28. 
- Maxin, G. ; Ouellet, D. R. ; Lapierre, H., 2013. Effect of substitution of soybean meal by canola meal or distillers' grains in dairy rations on amino acid and glucose availability. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 96 (): 7806-7807 
- May, M. L. ; Quinn, M. J. ; Reinhardt, C. D. ; Murray, L. ; Gibson, M. L. ; Karges, K. K. ; Drouillard, J. S., 2009. Effects of dry-rolled or steam-flaked corn finishing diets with or without twenty-five percent dried distillers grains on ruminal fermentation and apparent total tract digestion. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 87 (11): 3630-3638 
- May, M. L. ; DeClerck, J. C. ; Quinn, M. J. ; DiLorenzo, N. ; Leibovich, J. ; Smith, D. R. ; Hales, K. E., 2010. Corn or sorghum wet distillers grains with solubles in combination with steam-flaked corn: feedlot cattle performance, carcass characteristics, and apparent total tract digestibility. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 88 (7): 2433-2443 
- McAloon, A. ; Taylor, F. ; Yee, W. ; Ibsen, K. ; Wooley, R., 2000. Determining the cost of producing ethanol from cornstarch and lignocellulosic feedstocks. Technical Report NREL/TP- 580-28893. National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Golden, CO, USA 
- McKeown, L. E. ; Chaves, A. V. ; Oba, M. ; Dugan, M. E. R. ; E. Okine; McAllister, T. A., 2010. Effects of corn-, wheat- or triticale dry distillers' grains with solubles on *in vitro* fermentation, growth performance and carcass traits of lambs. *Can. J. Anim. Sci.*, 90 (1): 99-108 
- Mjoun, K. ; Kalscheur, K. F. ; Hippen, A. R. ; Schingoethe, D. J., 2010. Ruminant degradability and intestinal digestibility of protein and amino acids in soybean and corn distillers grains products. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 93 (9): 4144-4154 
- Mjoun, K. ; Rosentrater, K., 2012. Co-products of the United States biofuels industry as alternative feed ingredients for aquaculture. In: Makkar, H.P.S, *Biofuel co-products as livestock feed - opportunities and challenges*, FAO, 403-421 
- Morrison, F. B., 1957. *Feeds and feeding*. 22nd ed. Ithaca, N.Y., Morrison 
- Mosier, N. ; Ileleji, K., 2006. How fuel ethanol is made from corn. Purdue University, ID-328. Department of Agricultural and Biological Engineering 
- Mulrooney, C. N. ; Schingoethe, D. J. ; Kalscheur, K. F. ; Hippen, A. R., 2009. Canola meal replacing distillers grains with solubles for lactating dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 92 (11): 5669-5676 
- Nichols, J. R. ; Schingoethe, D. J. ; Maiga, H. A. ; Brouk, M. J. ; Piepenbrink, M. S., 1998. Evaluation of corn distillers grains and ruminally protected lysine and methionine for lactating dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 81 (2): 482-491 
- Noll, S. L. ; Abe, C. ; Brannon, J., 2003. Nutrient composition of corn distiller dried grains with solubles. Poultry Science Association Mtg., Madison, WI. July 2003 
- NRC, 2001. Nutrient requirements of dairy cattle. 7th Revised Edition, Subcommittee on Dairy Cattle Nutrition, Committee on Animal Nutrition, Board on Agriculture and Natural Resources, National Research Council, National Academy Press, Washington, D.C. 
- Nuez-Ortin, W. G. ; Yu PeiQiang, 2009. Nutrient variation and availability of wheat DDGS, corn DDGS and blend DDGS from bioethanol plants. *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, 89 (10): 1754-1761 
- Nuez-Ortin, W. G. ; Yu PeiQiang, 2010. Estimation of ruminal and intestinal digestion profiles, hourly effective degradation ratio and potential N to energy synchronization of co-products from bioethanol processing. *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, 90 (12): 2058-2067 
- O'Brien, D. ; Woolverton, M., 2009. Recent trends in U.S. wet and dry corn milling production. AgMRC, Renewable energy newsletter 

- Onetti, S. ; Schwab, E., 2008. New ethanol methods; New by-product feeds. *Hoard's Dairyman*, 153 (15), September 10
- Oriani, G. ; Salvatori, G. ; Maiorano, G. ; Belisario, M. A. ; Pastinese, A. ; Manchisi, A. ; Pizzuti, G., 1997. Vitamin E nutritional status and serum lipid pattern in normal weanling rabbits. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 75 (2): 402-408
- Owen, F. G. ; Larson, L. L., 1991. Corn distillers dried grains versus soybean meal in lactation diets. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 74 (3): 972-979
- Parsons, C. M. ; Baker, D. H., 1983. Distillers dried grains with solubles as a protein source for the chick. *Poult. Sci.*, 62: 2445-2451
- Pedersen, C. ; Boersma, M. G. ; Stein, H. H., 2007. Digestibility of energy and phosphorus in ten samples of distillers dried grains with solubles fed to growing pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 85 (5): 1168-1176
- Peillod, C.; Cranking, R.; Skiba, F.; Mr. Laborde, 2010. Determining the optimal rate of corn DDGS incorporation in the diet mallard ducks males in the growth phase and finish. 9th Day Search Waterfowl Foie Gras, 7-8 Oct. 2010, Bordeaux (France): 49-53
- Penner, G. B.; Yu, P.; Christensen, D. A., 2009. Effect of replacing forage or concentrate with wet or dry distillers' grains on the productivity and chewing activity of dairy cattle. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, 153 (1/2): 1-10
- Peter, C. M. ; Faulkner, D. B. ; Merchen, N. R. ; Parrett, D. F. ; Nash, T. G. ; Dahlquist, J. M., 2000. The effects of corn milling coproducts on growth performance and diet digestibility by beef cattle. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 78 (1):1-6
- Piron, F. ; Bruyer, D. ; Théwis, A. ; Beckers Y., 2009. European bioethanol by-products from cereal grains have a variable composition. Huitièmes Journées de la Recherche Avicole, St Malo, 25 et 26 mars 2009
- Powers, W. J. ; Van Horn, H. H. ; Harris, B. ; Wilcox, C. J., 1995. Effects of variable sources of distillers dried grains plus solubles or milk yield and composition. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 78:388-396.
- Rausch, K. D. ; Belyea, R. L., 2006. The future of coproducts from corn processing. *Appl. Biochem. Biotech.* 128:47-85
- Roberson, K.D. ; Kalbfleisch, J.L. ; Pan, W. ; Charbeneau, R.A., 2005. Effect of Corn Distiller's Dried Grains with Solubles at Various Levels on Performance of Laying Hens and Egg Yolk Color. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.*, 4 (2): 44-51
- Roberson, K. D., 2003. Use of Dried Distillers' Grains with Solubles in Growing-finishing. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.*, 2 (6): 389-393
- Robinson, P. H. ; Karges, K. ; Gibson, M. L., 2010. Nutritional evaluation of four co-product feedstuffs from the motor fuel ethanol distillation industry in the Midwestern USA. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, 43 (3-4): 269-274
- Roeber, D. L. ; Gill, R. K. ; DiCostanzo, A., 2005. Impact of feeding distillers grains on beef tenderness and sensory traits. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 83:22
- Sarria, P. ; Preston, T. R., 1992. Partial replacement of sugarcane juice with distiller's waste and the use of soyabean grain and meal in diets for fattening pigs. *Livest. Res. Rural Dev.*, 4 (1): 80-88
- Sasikala-Appukuttan, AK; Schin Goethe, DJ; Hip, AR; Kalscheur, KF; Sparse, K.; Gibson, ML, 2008. The feeding value of corn distillers solu Silver for lactating dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 91 (1): 279-287
- Sauvant, D. ; Perez, JM; Tran, G., 2004. INRA-AFZ Tables of composition and nutritional value of raw materials for livestock: 2nd edition. ISBN 2738011586, 306 p. INRA Editions Versailles
- Sauvant, D. 2011. Personal communication. UMR Mosar, Department SVS, AgroParisTech
- Schilling, M. W. ; Battula, V. ; Loar II, R. E. ; Jackson, V. ; Kin, S. ; Corzo, A., 2010. Dietary inclusion level effects of distillers dried grains with solubles on broiler meat quality. *Poult. Sci.*, 89 (4): 752-760
- Schingoethe, D. J., 2006. Can we feed more distillers grains?. Tri-State Dairy Nutrition Conference, April 25 and 26, 2006
- Schroeder, J. W., 2010. Distillers grains for dairy cattle. North Dakota Extension Service, AS-1241
- Shalash, S.M.M. ; El-Wafa, S. A. ; Sayed, M.A.M. ; El-Gabry, H. E. ; Ramadan, N. A. ; Mohamed, M. S., 2009. Nutritive Value of Distillers Dried Grains with Soluble and Broiler Performance at Starter Period. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.*, 8 (8): 783-787
- Shalash, S.M.M. ; El-Wafa, S. A. ; Hassan, R.A. ; Ramadan, N. A. ; Mohamed, M. S. ; El-Gabry, H. E., 2010. Evaluation of Distillers Dried Grains with Solubles as Feed Ingredient in Laying Hen Diets. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.*, 9 (6): 537-545
- Sheehan, W. ; Topps, J. H. ; Miller, T. B., 1970. Evaluation of whisky distillery by-products IV. - Evaporated spent wash as a supplementary food with low-quality roughages for cattle. *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, 21: 136
- Shim, M. Y. ; Pesti, G. M. ; Bakalli, R. I. ; Tillman, P. B. ; Payne, R. L., 2011. Evaluation of corn distillers dried grains with solubles as an alternative ingredient for broilers. *Poult. Sci.*, 90 (2): 369-376
- Skiba, F.; Sharecropper, JP; Clave, H.; Quentin, M., 2009. Energy value of malt and corn effect on growth performance of broiler chickens. In: 8th Poultry Research Days, 25-26 March 2009. St Malo (France). 159-163.
- Spanghero, M.; Berzaghi, P.; Fortina, R.; Masoero, France. ; Rapetti, L.; Zanfi, C.; Tassone, S.; Gallo, A.; Colombini, S.; Ferlito, JC, 2010. Technical Note: Precision and accuracy of *in vitro* digestion of neutral detergent fiber and net Predicted energy of lactation glad of fibrous feeds. *J. Dairy Sci*, 93 (10):. 4855-4859
- Spiehs, M. J. ; Whitney, M. H. ; Shurson, G. C., 2002. Nutrient data base for distillers dried grains with solubles produced from new generation ethanol plants in Minnesota and South Dakota. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 80: 2639-2645
- Stein, H. H. ; Gibson, M. L. ; Pedersen, C. ; Boersma, M. G., 2006. Amino acid and energy digestibility in ten samples of distillers dried grain with solubles fed to growing pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 84 (4): 853-860
- Stein, H. H. ; Shurson, G. C., 2009. The use and application of distillers dried grains with solubles in swine diets. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 87 (4): 1292-1303
- Swanepoel, N. ; Robinson, P. H. ; Erasmus, L. J., 2014. Determining the optimal ratio of canola meal and high protein dried distillers grain protein in diets of high producing Holstein dairy cows. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, 189: 41-53
- Tedeschi, L. O. ; Kononoff, P. J. ; Karges, K. ; Gibson, M. L., 2009. Effects of chemical composition variation on the dynamics of ruminal fermentation and biological value of corn milling (co)products. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 92 (1): 401-413
- University of Minnesota, 2010. Distillers grains by-products in livestock and poultry feeds. Nutrient profiles. University of Minnesota, Department of Animal Science
- University of Minnesota, 2010. Distillers grain by-products nutrient profiles. Current US data, 2010. University of Minnesota, Department of Animal Science
- Urriola, P. E. ; Hoehler, D. ; Pedersen, C. ; Stein, H. H. ; Shurson, G. C., 2009. Amino acid digestibility of distillers dried grains with solubles, produced from sorghum, a sorghum-corn blend, and corn fed to growing pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 87 (8): 2574-2580
- US EPA, 2010. Renewable fuel standard program (RFS2) regulatory impact analysis. US Environmental Protection Agency
- US EPA, 2011. Ethanol Plant Clean Air Act Enforcement Initiative. US Environmental Protection Agency, Civil

Enforcement Information Resources

USGC, 2012. A guide to Distiller's Dried Grains with Solubles (DDGS). 3rd Ed. U.S. Grain Council

Uwituze, S. ; Parsons, G. L. ; Shelor, M. K. ; Depenbusch, B. E. ; Karges, K. K. ; Gibson, M. L. ; Reinhardt, C. D. ; Higgins, J. J. ; Drouillard, J. S., 2010. Evaluation of dried distillers grains and roughage source in steam-flaked corn finishing diets. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 88 (1): 258-274

Vander Pol, K. J. ; Luebbe, M. K. ; Crawford, G. I. ; Erickson, G. E. ; Klopfenstein, T. J., 2009. Performance and digestibility characteristics of finishing diets containing distillers grains, composites of corn processing coproducts, or supplemental corn oil. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 87 (2): 639-652

Vanness, S. J.; Klopfenstein, T. J.; Erickson, G.E.; Karges, K. K., 2009. Sulfur in distillers grains. *Nebraska Beef Report*, 79–80

Villamide, M. J. ; De Blas, J. C. ; Carabano, R., 1989. Nutritive value of cereal by-products for rabbits. 2. Wheat bran, corn gluten feed and dried distillers grains and solubles. *J. Appl. Rabbit Res.*, 12 (3): 152-155

Villamide, M. J. ; Maertens, L. ; de Blas, J., 2010. Feed evaluation. In: de Blas, C. ; Wiseman, J. (Eds): 151-162. *The nutrition of the rabbit*. CAB Publishing

Wang, Z. ; Cerrate, S. ; Coto, C. ; Yan, F. ; Waldroup, P.W., 2007. Effect of rapid and multiple changes in level of distillers dried grain with solubles (DDGS) in broiler diets on performance and carcass characteristics. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.*, 6 (10): 725-731

Wang, Z. ; Cerrate, S. ; Coto, C. ; Yan, F. ; Waldroup, P. W., 2007. Utilization of distillers dried grains with solubles (DDGS) in broiler diets using a standardized nutrient matrix. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.*, 6 (7): 470-477

Wang, Z. ; Cerrate, S. ; Coto, C. ; Yan, F. ; Waldroup, P. W., 2007. Use of constant or increasing levels of distillers dried grains with solubles (DDGS) in broiler diets. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.*, 6 (7): 501-507

Wang, Z. ; Cerrate, S. ; Coto, C. ; Yan, F. ; Waldroup, P. W., 2008. Evaluation of high levels of distillers dried grains with solubles (DDGS) in broiler diets. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.*, 7 (10): 990-996

Wang, Z. ; Cerrate, S. ; Coto, C. ; Yan, F. ; Costa, F. P. ; Abdel-Maksoud, A. ; Waldroup, P. W., 2008. Evaluation of corn distillers dried grains with solubles in broiler diets formulated to be isocaloric at industry energy levels or formulated to optimum density with constant 1% fat. *Int. J. Pout. Sci.*, 7 (7): 630-637

Weir, R. C., 1984. Distilling and agriculture 1870-1939. *Agricultural History Review*, 32 (1): 49-62

Weiss, B.; Eastridge, M.; Shoemaker, D.; St-Pierre, N., 2007. Distillers Grains. Ohio State University, Ohio State University Extension Factsheet

Widmer, M. R. ; McGinnis, L. M. ; Stein, H. H., 2007. Energy, phosphorus, and amino acid digestibility of high-protein distillers dried grains and corn germ fed to growing pigs. *J. Anim. Sci.*, 85 (11): 2994–3003

Widyaratne, G. P. ; Zijlstra, R. T., 2007. Nutritional value of wheat and corn distiller's dried grain with solubles: Digestibility and digestible contents of energy, amino acids and phosphorus, nutrient excretion and growth performance of grower-finisher pigs. *Can. J. Anim. Sci.*, 87 (1): 103–114

Williams, W. L. ; Tedeschi, L. O. ; Kononoff, P. J. ; Callaway, T. R. ; Dowd, S. E. ; Karges, K. ; Gibson, M. L., 2010. Evaluation of *in vitro* gas production and rumen bacterial populations fermenting corn milling (co)products. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 93 (10): 4735–4743

Williams, S. M., 2010. Utilization of distiller's dried grains with solubles in swine diets. MSc Thesis, Department of Animal Sciences and Industry College of Agriculture, Kansas State University

Wilson, B., 2008. *Swindled: The dark history of food fraud, from poisoned candy to counterfeit coffee*. Princeton University Press, 400 p.

Woods, V. B. ; O'Mara, F. P. ; Moloney, A. P., 2003. The nutritive value of concentrate feedstuffs for ruminant animals. Part I: In situ ruminal degradability of dry matter and organic matter. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.*, 110 (1/4): 111-130

Xue, P. C.; Dong, B.; Zang, J. J.; Zhu, Z. P.; Gong, L. M., 2012. Energy and standardized ileal amino acid digestibilities of Chinese Distillers Dried Grains, produced from different regions and grains fed to growing pigs. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.*, 25 (1): 104-113

Qun yue; Yang Hongjian; Xie Chunyuan; Yao XueBo; Wang Jiaqi, 2007. Only Protein Estimation of intestinal digestibility of Ruminant Feedstuffs the with Mobile Nylon Bag Technique and Three-STEP *in vitro* Procedure *J. Agric Univ China*, 12: 62-... 66

Zhang, S. Z. ; Penner, G. B. ; Yang, W. Z. ; Oba, M., 2010. Effects of partially replacing barley silage or barley grain with dried distillers grains with solubles on rumen fermentation and milk production of lactating dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 93 (7): 3231-3242

155 references found

datasheet citation

Heuzé V., Tran G., Sauvant D., Noblet J., Renaudeau D., Bastianelli D., Lessire M., Lebas F., 2015. *Corn distillers grain*. Feedipedia, a programme by INRA, CIRAD, AFZ and FAO. <http://www.feedipedia.org/node/71> Last updated on May 11, 2015, 14:32

English corrected by Tim Smith (Animal Science consultant) and H el ene Thioll et (AFZ)

Image credits

- Valerie Heuz  / AFZ

[+](#) Share / Save [f](#) [t](#) [r](#)

