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Abstract

Nitrogen fertilizer is the most used nutrient source in modern agriculture and represents significant environmental and production costs. In the meantime, the demand for grain increases and production per area has to increase as new cultivated areas are scarce. In this context, breeding for an efficient use of nitrogen became a major objective. In wheat, nitrogen is required to maintain a photosynthetically active canopy ensuring grain yield and to produce grain storage proteins that are generally needed to maintain a high end-use quality. This review presents current knowledge of physiological, metabolic and genetic factors influencing nitrogen uptake and utilization in the context of different nitrogen management systems. This includes the role of root system and its interactions with microorganisms, nitrate assimilation and its relationship with photosynthesis as postanthesis remobilization and nitrogen partitioning. Regarding nitrogen-use efficiency complexity, several physiological avenues for increasing it were discussed and their phenotyping methods were reviewed. Phenotypic and molecular breeding strategies were also reviewed and discussed regarding nitrogen regimes and genetic diversity.
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Nitrogen-use efficiency (NUE) has been the subject of a wealth of literature and underpinning projects for its improvement. There seems to be consensus on the need to increase NUE in breeding, but, to the best of our knowledge, NUE has not been the target of dedicated breeding programmes. Rather, it has been improved through the indirect selection for yield, in environments targeted by breeding programmes. Sadras and Richards (2014) have suggested that indirect selection for yield serves as a benchmark for any alternative approach. Several studies have evaluated a posteriori breeding improvement of NUE (Ortiz-Monasterio et al. 1997, Guarda et al. 2004, Muurinen et al. 2006, Cormier et al. 2013). For example, Cormier et al. (2013) quantified NUE improvement at 0.13 kg DM/kg N/field between 1985 and 2010 in France. Supposing an average French grain yield of 7 t/ha and assuming a reference NUE value between 37.8 kg DM/kg N (Cormier et al. 2013) and 33.3 kg DM/kg N (average value for wheat used in French balance sheet N recommendation methods; Meynard 1987), this equates to a saving of approximately 6–8 kg N/ha after 10 years of genetic improvement. From an economic standpoint, the variations in fertilizer N/grain price ratio essentially determine the quantity of N applied. The impacts of this volatility on on-farm NUE and required N savings can be shown in two examples. Firstly, 10 years of breeding (i.e. a saving of 6–7 kg N/ha) can compensate for a variation in N/grain price ratio from 3 to 5, that is 16% of the total observed volatility over the past 10 years (Cohan 2009). Secondly, over the same 10-year period, Sylvester-Bradley and Kindred (2009) showed that this price ratio has varied from 3 to 9 (Sylvester-Bradley and Kindred 2009), leading to a necessity to increase NUE from 23.8 to 28.6 kg DM/kg N requiring almost 40 years of breeding progress.

Overall, this leads us to conclude that breeding programmes need to tackle NUE more efficiently than it has been doing at the current rate.

Definitions of NUE

The concept of nitrogen-use efficiency (NUE) has been widely used to characterize plant responses to different levels of nitrogen (N) availability. It is important to distinguish the concept of NUE and the NUE as a phenotypic trait.

Several definitions and evaluation methods have been suggested (reviewed in Good et al. 2004, Fageria et al. 2008). Moll et al. (1982) defined the most use of NUE, at least among breeders, which computes the grain dry mass divided by the total N available to a plant. It is divided into two components:

$$\text{NUE} = \text{NUpE} \times \text{NUtE},$$

where NUpE is the N-uptake efficiency calculated as the total amount of N in above-ground plant at harvest divided by the available N in soil, and NUtE is the utilization efficiency calculated as the grain dry mass divided by the total amount of N in above-ground plant at harvest. When different genotypes are compared, the computation of these components faces two main
issues: (i) the complex estimation of N available to the crop and
(ii) the estimation of the total amount of N in the above-ground
plant.

N available to the crop results from residual soil N at sowing and
then aerial N deposition, mineralization of organic N and the
actual availability of applied N. Thus, the estimation of
N available to the crop is complex, and an often-used proxy has
been the total amount of applied mineral N fertilizer added to an
estimation of residual soil N at sowing or after winter. For 15
barley genotypes, Bingham et al. (2012) compared different
methods to estimate available N. The first one was independent
of genotype and used only residual soil N after winter and
applied N fertilizer. The two others were dependent on the
genotype and required a control without N fertilization (N0).
Available N for the fertilized treatment (N1) was then estimated
either (i) by adding the above-ground plant N at harvest for N1 to
the applied N fertilizer or (ii) by adding soil N at harvest to (i).
Bingham et al. (2012) showed that genotype rankings were very
similar between the three methods, and thus, the simplest method
can be used.

However, as discussed in Cormier et al. (2013), this can lead
to an overestimation of NUE in low N situations and to an
underestimation of NUE in high N situations, making compar-
ison and/or joint analyses of different studies difficult. Experi-
menting a large collection of genotypes, Cormier et al. (2013)
suggested estimating available N from the distribution of the
total plant N at harvest. They proposed to use the total amount
of N in above-ground plant at harvest of the top 5% genotypes
as an estimation of N that was available to the whole series.

To estimate the total amount of N in the plant, usually only
the aerial parts are sampled. Not taking into account N in the
roots would increase NUE and decrease NuPE. However, mea-
suring the quantity of root N (in the first 30-cm soil layer) of a
set of cultivars grown at two N levels, Allard et al. (2013)
showed that only a small fraction of total N is partitioned to the
roots (about 4% or 10 kg/ha at harvest). Here again, the geno-
type rankings were very similar with or without taking into
account root N.

Looking at the successes and debates that agitated other scien-
tific communities may help to improve the approaches on wheat
NUE. Ecologists developed another decomposition of NUE.
Originally called ‘nitrogen utility’, Hirose (1971) defined it as
the flux ratio of dry mass productivity for a unit of N taken up
from the soil. Berendse and Aerts (1987) suggested dividing it
into two components to make it biologically meaningful in a
context of perennial species in a steady-state system (i.e. annual
biomass production = annual biomass loss; annual N
uptake = annual N loss). Thus, NUE was defined as the product
of the nitrogen productivity rate (NP; dry mass growth per unit
of plant N) and the mean time residence of N (MRT). Later, Hir-
ose (2011) revisited this definition and specified how it should
be calculated to make it also suitable for non-steady-state sys-
tems such as annual crops.

Compared to Moll et al. (1982), this definition has the poten-
tial to deliver a dynamic vision of NUE, which is directly related
to photosynthetic activity along the plant cycle. Nevertheless, it
only focuses on N utilization, as plant efficiency in extracting N
from the soil is not taken into account. However, in annual
crops, this is an important parameter to consider as substantial
amounts of N fertilizer are applied, implying environmental and
economic issues.

In a similar way, in the water-use efficiency (WUE) commu-
nity, it has been explicitly decided not to account for water
available to the plant. The focus has been on viewing yield as
the final objective through Passioua’s (1977) seminal equation:

\[ GY = WU \times WUE \times HI, \]

where WU is the water use (mm transpired), WUE is the water-
use efficiency (kg above-ground dry matter/mm water transpired)
and HI is the harvest index (kg grain/kg above-ground dry mat-
ter).

In relation to NUE formalization, NuE would then be equiva-
 lent to WUE \times HI. NuPE would be an equivalent to WU
divided by the quantity of water available to a plant. The
approach could be taken further by simply targeting nitrogen use
(NU) as kg N absorbed by the plant instead of NuPE; in much
the same way that WU is seen as (arguably) the most important
target in improving water response (Blum 2009). This would
also avoid dividing an already rather imprecise variable (NU) by
an even more imprecise one (available N). Yet, environmental
and economic issues are different in NUE where minimizing the
loss of fertilizer applied (e.g. by leaching) and maximizing N
uptake for increasing grain protein concentration lead to a focus
also on NuPE. Moreover, not to account for N available to the
crop would imply using genotype-dependent methods (e.g. repeated
controls) to compare varietal behaviour between different
stress intensities or to characterize genotype \times stress interac-
tions, if confounding effects need to be eliminated.

Criticisms of the initial WUE equation have heavily con-
tributed to the identification and to the prioritization of
approaches and traits. The first has been to recognize that the
three terms of the equation are clearly not independent (Blum
2009, Tardieu 2013). Typically, as WU increases, WUE
decreases because WU scales to biomass (Blum 2009), as does
Consequently, an excessively narrow focus on WUE may be
counterproductive (Blum 2009). Although the underlying physio-
logical reasons for this are very different between nitrogen and
water, framing the nitrogen community in much the same way
as the water community could help in placing the focus on NU
and on systematically accounting for the total biomass when
evaluating NU, as advocated by Sadras and Lemaire (2014).

As in water-using ecologist communities, research on NUE
could also be disconnected from the NUE definition of Moll
et al. (1982) and focus on a dynamic approach. Indeed, NuPE
and NuE are calculated at the end of the crop cycle. However,
total N in the plant varies during the cropping season and has a
critical interaction with HI: once grains are growing, they
become an N sink, and growers, breeders and the wheat industry
have to manage the contradictory objectives of high yields and
high protein contents (Feil 1997, Jefffrey et al. 2002, Oury and
Godin 2007). First of all, pre-anthesis and post-anthesis phases
should be clearly separated. Regarding the post-anthesis phase,
the grain protein deviation (GPD; deviation from the yield-pro-
tein linear regression) criterion suggested by Monaghan et al.
(2001) and Oury and Godin (2007) allows breeders specifically
to select for high protein content without the associated yield
penalty. Bogard et al.’s (2010) analysis of GPD showed that this
metric was tightly related to the deviation between pre-anthesis
N uptake and post-anthesis N uptake, meaning the obvious: crops
that are both high yielding and high in protein content absorb
large quantities of nitrogen. In other words, the analysis of Bog-
ard et al. (2010) places NU as a key factor without focusing on
NuPE. Looking at the pre-anthesis phase has the advantage of
not having to deal with the yield-protein trade-off. Studying N
impacts on yield, grain number per area can become the criterion to target instead of yield. Indeed, it removes the grain weight elaboration, which occurs postanthesis. And as suggested by Meynard (1987), at least in western European situations, N will essentially have an impact on grain number per area, and kernel weight will often add noise due to other stresses. This would also mean that HI would essentially be replaced by a fertility index, implying complex phenotyping although it may allow for a better characterization of N response regarding the phenologic stage.

Traits Influencing N-Uptake Efficiency

Root size and morphology

Nitrate is readily leached through the soil profile. Consequently, the primary root traits to improve for enhanced N capture include rooting depth and rooting density, especially for postanthesis N uptake (Foulkes et al. 2009). A deeper relative distribution of roots could comprise part of an ideotype to maximize N capture, and further improvements in root architecture could focus on root proliferation at depth in wheat (Carvalho and Foulkes 2011). Indeed, root length density (root length per unit volume of soil) is often below a critical threshold of 1 cm/cm³ (Barraclough et al. 1989, Gregory and Brown 1989) for potential nitrate capture at lower depths in the rooting profile (Ford et al. 2006, Reynolds et al. 2007).

Genetic variation in root system size has been widely reported in wheat (e.g. O’Toole and Bland 1987, Hoad et al. 2001, Ehdaie and Waines 2003, Ford et al. 2006), but root distribution varies strongly with soil characteristics, nutrient availability and mechanical impedance. In wheat, the use of synthetic wheat derivatives, incorporating genes from the diploid wild species Triticum tauschii (D genome) with deeper rooting systems (Reynolds et al. 2007), may help in the development of cultivars with relatively deeper rooting systems. In addition, the wheat–rye translocation in ‘Kavkaz’ for the short arm of chromosome 1 (1RS) has been observed to have increased root biomass at depth (Ehdaie et al. 2003). And tall landraces from China and Iran have larger root biomass than semi-dwarf cultivars descended from CIMMYT breeding material (Ehdaie et al. 1991, Ehdaie and Waines 1993, 1997, Ehdaie 1995). It may also be possible to increase root length density at depth without extra carbon input by modifying specific root length (root length per root biomass; Carvalho et al. 2014). Although it is well established that plants respond to N deficiency by increasing the ratio of root biomass to total plant biomass (root dry weight ratio; RDWR) due to the functional equilibrium between the growth of the root and shoot (Barraclough et al. 1989, Drecrer et al. 2000, Robinson 2001), there are to date no reports of genetic variation in the dynamic responses of RDWR to N supply.

Direct selection for root system architecture traits (length, biomass, density, lateral root dispersion) has been associated with improved water and/or nutrient uptake in wheat (Hurd 1964), upland rice (Price et al. 2002) and maize (Lynch 2007). Indirect selection for lower canopy temperatures may also be taken as an indication of a greater root uptake capacity, but higher stomatal conductance would produce a similar signal (Reynolds et al. 2009). Root hairs provide another potential mechanism to maximize N capture, and two genes for root hair elongation, RTH1 and RTH3, have been identified in maize (Hochholdinger and Tuberosa 2009). Root architecture and root function are likely to be multigenic and hence much more difficult to select for (Hall and Richards 2013). Therefore, breeding for root characteristics has seldom been implemented to date, principally because of the difficulties of scoring root phenotypes directly and the absence of suitable proxy measurements. Nevertheless, marker-assisted selection may be especially useful to pyramid multiple traits, such as root angle, root length, root weight and root-to-shoot ratio, which are associated with main effect of quantitative trait loci (QTL) in wheat (Sharma et al. 2011, Hamada et al. 2012, Bai et al. 2013, Atkinson et al. 2015), even if a better understanding of the biology of these traits and the potential synergies and trade-offs between traits is required (Lynch 2007). For example, the expression of length and density of root hairs may be synergistic (Ma et al. 2001), and there may be antagonistic interactions between biomass allocation to different root classes due to competition for assimilates (Walk et al. 2006).

Root N transporter systems

In most countries, the commercial mineral forms of N commonly applied to crops are anhydrous ammonia, urea, ammonium sulfate and ammonium nitrate (Robertson and Vitousek 2009, Andrews et al. 2013). In addition, farmyard manure is also able to supply a considerable amount of N fertilization (Hooda et al. 2000, Körschens et al. 2013). Mineral N fertilizers are particularly soluble for easy assimilation by crops. Both urea and ammonia are converted to nitrate (NO3⁻) at different rates depending on the nature of the soil and of the climatic conditions (Jarvis et al. 2011). Thus, NO3⁻ is the main source of N for most crop species, whether inorganic or organic N is provided to the plant (Nasholm et al. 2009, Gioseffi et al. 2012). Ammonium (NH4⁺) is the ultimate form of inorganic N available to the plant. Most of the NH4⁺ incorporated by the plant into organic molecules originates from NO3⁻ reduction, although metabolic pathways such as photorespiration, phenylpropanoid metabolism, utilization of N transport compounds and amino acid catabolism can generate NH4⁺ (Lea and Milfin 2011). In cultivated soil, NH4⁺ concentration is generally ten times lower than NO3⁻ concentration (Nieder et al. 2011), but substantial amounts of ammonium (NH4⁺) can remain despite active nitrification by soil microorganisms. Both NO3⁻ and NH4⁺ enter the root apoplast mostly by diffusion or mass flow, respectively (Crawford and Glass 1998). Then, there are taken up via an active transport system by means of proteins termed high- and low-affinity transporters and located in the root cell plasma membrane (Loqué and von Wirén 2004, Glass 2009, Dechorgnat et al. 2011).

In higher plants, there are basically three different NO3⁻ transport systems that operate depending on the NO3⁻ concentration in the surrounding root environment. The first one is an inducible high-affinity transport system (iHATS) that is induced in the presence of low concentration of NO3⁻ in the range of 1 to 200 µM depending on the plant species ( Pace and McClure 1986, Siddiqi et al. 1990). In wheat, it was reported that the iHATS has a Michaelis constant (Km) value of approximately 27 µM and requires 10 h for full induction by NO3⁻ (Goyal and Huffaker 1986). The second one is a constitutively expressed high-affinity transport system (cHATS) that is present even in the absence of NO3⁻. Both systems exhibit a typical Michaelis–Menten saturation profile when the external NO3⁻ concentration reaches a certain threshold. The third one is represented by a non-saturable low-affinity transport system (LATS) that dominates when NO3⁻ in the external medium exceeds 250 µM, operating in the 0.5–1 mM concentration range (Siddiqi et al. 1990, Von Wirén et al. 1997).
Recent studies showed that NO$_3^-$ transport systems can also play versatile roles in sensing NO$_3^-$ in plant development, pathogen defence and stress response (Wang et al. 2012a). Although NH$_4^+$ ions can be passively taken up by plant roots, different root NH$_4^+$ transporter systems (Ludwig et al. 2007) allow the direct uptake of NH$_4^+$ ions and operate across a wide range of NH$_4^+$ concentrations (Loqué and von Wirén 2004). However, it is likely that in agricultural soils, NH$_4^+$ uptake operates mainly through the low-affinity transport system (LATS), which is part of the NH$_4^+$ permeases in the ammonium transporter/methylammonium permeases/Rhesus (AMT/MEP/Rh) family (Von Wirén and Merrick 2004). The $K_m$ values for NH$_4^+$ influx in different species range between 1 and 200 $\mu$m (Bradley and Morris 1991, Wang et al. 1993), fitting with the average NH$_4^+$ soil concentration, which rarely rises beyond 50 $\mu$m (Marshner 1995). In wheat, it was reported that the iHATS has a $K_m$ value of approximately 50 $\mu$m and requires 6 h for full induction by NH$_4^+$ (Goyal and Hufnaka 1986).

NO$_3^-$ transporters in higher plants are represented by two main gene families, namely the NRT1 PTR (nitrate transporter, peptide transporter) family (NPF), which now regroups the previous NRT1/PTR genes, and the NRT2 family also called the major facilitator superfamily (MFS; Lérán et al. 2014). An excellent review describing the different members of the NO$_3^-$ and NH$_4^+$ transporters and the regulatory mechanisms affecting root N-uptake systems, especially on the model species Arabidopsis, has recently been published by Nacry et al. (2013). This review emphasizes that expression and activity of most N-uptake systems, even those on the model species Arabidopsis, are regulated both by the concentration of their substrate and by a systemic feedback control of metabolites representative of the whole-plant N status. In cereals in general and wheat in particular, there is far less information on root NO$_3^-$ and NH$_4^+$ transport systems and their regulations. This is mainly because most of the pioneer work was conducted using the model plant Arabidopsis, due to the ease of obtaining mutants and transgenic plants altered in the expression of the different NO$_3^-$ and NH$_4^+$ transporters (Miller and Smith 1996, Von Wirén and Merrick 2004, Miller et al. 2007, Garnett et al. 2009, Xu et al. 2012). Nevertheless, gene structure and phylogeny of high- or low-affinity transport systems have been studied in a number of grasses including rice, maize, sorghum, Brachypodium and wheat (Yin et al. 2007, Plett et al. 2010, Girin et al. 2014). Moreover, a comprehensive overview of the complex phylogeny and gene expression patterns of 16 members of the NPF family in wheat has been recently published (Buchner and Hawkesford 2014). This study highlighted the complex pattern of expression of the nitrate transporters, mainly due to the presence of multiple co-orthologous genes that are differentially expressed according to the plant tissue, NO$_3^-$ availability and leaf senescence during the N assimilation and N remobilization processes. In the wheat NO$_3^-$ HATS system, earlier studies have also demonstrated that five genes (TaNRT2.1, TaNRT2.2, TaNAR2.3, TaNAR2.1 and TaNAR2.2) are induced by abscisic acid when NO$_3^-$ is not present (Cai et al. 2007). In contrast to the inhibitory effect of glutamine generally observed in other species, glutamine was able to induce the expression of NRT2 genes in the absence of NO$_3^-$ (Cai et al. 2007).

In addition, it has also to be considered that under agronomic conditions, both efficiency and the regulation of NO$_3^-$ uptake systems may be enhanced by the presence of mycorrhizal associations (Hawkins and George 2001), humic substances (Cacco et al. 2000), allelopathic compounds such as coumarin (Abe-navoli et al. 2001) and plant root growth-promoting bacteria (Mantelin and Touraine 2004) or inhibited when the CO$_2$ concentration is rising in the atmosphere (Bloom et al. 2014). Therefore, when studying the genetic basis of inorganic N uptake, environmental interactions must be taken into account together with the capacity of the plant to capture and transport NO$_3^-$ or NH$_4^+$. This implies that in combination with modelling approaches (Bertheloot et al. 2011), further research is required to obtain an understanding of the regulation of the NO$_3^-$ and NH$_4^+$ HATS and LATS throughout the entire plant developmental process (Kong et al. 2013). It will also be necessary to evaluate the contribution of direct NH$_4^+$ uptake to the wheat N economy, as the available information on the NH$_4^+$ transport systems at both the molecular and physiological levels remains fragmentary in wheat (Caussin and Barneix 1993, Segard et al. 2009) and in other cereals such as maize (Gu et al. 2013) and rice (Gaur et al. 2012). However, for wheat that preferentially uses NO$_3^-$ instead of NH$_4^+$ as the main N source, an increase in NH$_4^+$ uptake may not be beneficial to the plant when the ion is applied to the soil (Angus et al. 2014).

Another field of investigation is the use of urea as a synthetic fertilizer in conventional agriculture (Andrews et al. 2013, Kambaros et al. 2014). Indeed, to date, urea is mainly used as a source of N fertilizer (as converted forms through soil mineralization after application) and the contribution of plant urea uptake and metabolism as an intact molecule in a physiological and agricultural context has not been thoroughly investigated. Nevertheless, it is well known that plants possess leaf and root transporters to absorb urea and can hydrolyse and use it very efficiently (Witte 2011). Two distinct transport processes for urea have been identified in rice exhibiting a linear or a Michaelis–Menten kinetics (Wang et al. 2012b). Moreover, it is encouraging to note that when a rice urea transporter was overexpressed in Arabidopsis, a positive effect was observed both on urea uptake at low concentration and on plant growth (Wang et al. 2012b). In wheat, compared to other inorganic N sources, urea uptake was very low. Moreover, its kinetics of uptake was difficult to measure (Criddle et al. 1988). However, in some cases when applied at an optimum timing after anthesis, an increase in grain protein content or yield has been observed (Gooding and Davies 1992, Rawluk et al. 2000). More recently, in spring wheat, it has been shown that seed yield and N uptake were generally greater with polymer-coated urea than with urea alone (Malhi and Lemke 2013). Even if the efficiency of foliar application of urea in wheat and other cereals remains questionable, it is attractive in terms of environmental benefit. Thus, more research is required both at physiological and at the molecular levels.

**Interaction with micro-organisms**

Plant roots, including those of wheat, release a variety of organic substrates (e.g. organic acids and sugars), exudates and other rhizodeposits (Nguyen 2003). This creates a particular fraction of soil in contact with roots named rhizosphere and favourable to the development of microorganisms. Plant rhizosphere is largely colonized by soil microorganisms, at levels of typically $10^{9}$ to $10^{10}$ bacteria per gram of rhizosphere soil and 1 to 1.5 $\mu$m of fungal filaments per cm$^2$ of root surface (Moënne-Loccoz et al. 2014). This microbial community contains a broad range of taxa differing from bulk soil community due to the selective effects of species of roots (Buée et al. 2009). Some of them, including pathogens as well as non-pathogenic microorganisms, may enter roots and reside within intercellular space or even within plant cells (Behl et al. 2012, Moënne-Loccoz et al. 2014). This also occurs in wheat (Germida and Siciliano 2001).
The composition and physiological activities of root-associated microbial communities are influenced by many factors, such as soil characteristics, farming practices, climatic conditions and wheat genotypes (Mazzola et al. 2004). Indeed, rhizodeposition can differ between wheat cultivars (Wu et al. 2001) leading to differences in various aspects of the rhizosphere microbial ecology (Germida and Siciliano 2001). Therefore, it would be of prime interest to develop breeding strategies tailored both to suppress root pathogens and to promote root colonization by plant–beneficial microbial partners (Hetrick et al. 1995, Lammerts van Bueren et al. 2011), especially those with the potential to enhance (i) N availability in the rhizosphere, (ii) root system and architecture, (iii) systemic plant metabolism and (iv) microbial phytoprotection (Fig. 1). This is all the more relevant because breeding is typically carried out under optimal conditions. Thus, phenotypic traits involved in interaction between plant and growth-promoting rhizobacteria may have been neglected (Den Herder et al. 2010).

Soil microorganisms in the rhizosphere are major players in the availability of N for plant roots (Richardson et al. 2009). On the one hand, N availability for roots may be reduced by microbial competition as various soil bacteria and fungi use ammonium and nitrate as N sources (Nelson and Mele 2006) and/or transform nitrate to gaseous N by denitrification (Herold et al. 2012). Nevertheless, plants can limit denitrification by releasing inhibitory secondary metabolites (Bardon et al. 2014), but so far this property is not documented in cultivated cereals. Attempts are currently made to introduce into wheat a chromosome of *Leymus racemosus*, a wild relative of wheat, containing the ability for biological nitrification inhibition (Subbarao et al. 2007, Ortiz et al. 2008). On the other hand, N availability is enhanced by microbial mineralization of organic N yielding ammonium in the rhizosphere. This entails the proliferation of bacterial and fungal decomposers, as well as protozoan predators (Bonkowski 2004) and mycorrhizal fungi (Atul-Nayyar et al. 2009). In wheat, this priming effect reaches higher levels at the flowering stage (Cheng et al. 2003), and root colonization by mycorrhizal fungi as well as positive mycorrhizal effects on plant nutrition and yield is genotype dependent (reviewed in Behl et al. 2012). N availability for roots is also improved by N fixation. Thus, the community of N fixers (functional group) plays a key role for plant N nutrition (Hsu and Buckley 2009). Unlike in legumes, in wheat and in other cereals, conversion of N₂ into NH₃ does not entail root-nodulating rhizobia, but it can be performed by other non-nodulating N-fixing bacteria and part of the N fixed may be acquired by the plant (Behl et al. 2012). N-fixing bacteria occur naturally in soils including in the wheat rhizosphere (Nelson and Mele 2006, Venieraki et al. 2011), and inoculation with N fixers may enhance wheat yield (Kapulnik et al. 1987, Hungria et al. 2010, Behl et al. 2012, Neiverth et al. 2014). Their diversity and activity fluctuate with both plant species (Perin et al. 2006, Reddon et al. 2014) and cultivar (Coelho et al. 2009) including in wheat (Christiansen-Weniger et al. 1992, Manske et al. 2000, Venieraki et al. 2011). For example, the N-fixing bacterium *Klebsiella pneumonia* strain 342 can relieve N deficiency and enhance plant N levels (Iniguez et al. 2004) depending on cultivar (Manske et al. 2000).

Enhanced acquisition of water and mineral nutrients can be expected if the root system colonizes soil more extensively. Under *in vitro* conditions, wheat inoculation with rhizosphere bacteria may enhance root number and/or length, as well as root hair elongation (Dobelaere et al. 1999, Combes-Meynet et al. 2011). These inoculation effects on root system architecture and biomass have been also evidenced in soil-grown wheat (Baldani and Baldani 2005, Veresoglou and Menexes 2010). Indeed, many bacteria and fungi modify root system architecture by manipulating plant hormonal balance, in particular by producing phytohormones such as auxins (Ortiz-Castro et al. 2009), cytokinins (Cassán et al. 2009, Moubayidin et al. 2009) or gibberellins. Gibberellins are produced by several rhizosphere bacteria and fungi (Bottini et al. 2004), including wheat strains (Upadhyay et al. 2009), thereby promoting primary root elonga-
tion and lateral root extension. For example, the wheat bacterium *Azospirillum brasilense* Sp245 synthesizes abscisic acid, which modifies lateral root development, and inoculation resulted in higher abscisic acid concentration in *Arabidopsis* (Cohen et al. 2008). Other root-branching signals especially 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol (Brazelton et al. 2008) and nitric oxide (Creus et al. 2005) may also be implicated, including in wheat (Pothier et al. 2008, Couillert et al. 2011). Their effects appear to take place via an auxin signal transduction pathway (Brazelton et al. 2008, Molina-Favero et al. 2008). Microbial interference with ethylene metabolism in roots may also be responsible for modifying wheat root system architecture (Upadhyay et al. 2009) by a direct microbial production of ethylene (Graham and Linderman 1980), or a reduction in ethylene concentration in plant roots by the deamination of ethylene precursor 1-aminocyclopropane carboxylic acid (Prigent-Combaret et al. 2008), thereby diminishing ethylene-mediated root growth repression (Glick 2005).

Microorganisms can induce systemic changes in plant physiology. For instance, a wide range of *Arabidopsis* genes displayed different expression levels upon inoculation with the plant-beneficial bacterium *Pseudomonas putida* (Srivastava et al. 2012). Microbial inoculation may also modify plant proteomic profiles (Mathiesius 2009) and metabolomics profiles, both for primary metabolites (including rice shoot contents in amino acids; Curzi et al. 2008) and for secondary metabolites in maize (Walker et al. 2012) and wheat (Fester et al. 1999). There are also indications that some rhizosphere bacteria may directly affect N metabolism in plants. Oil seed rape (*Brassica napus* L.) roots inoculated with *Achromobacter* strain U80417 displayed enhanced net influx rates of NO\textsuperscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} (Bertrand et al. 2000). Added to that, genes coding for two nitrate transporters (NRT2.5 and NRT2.6) were expressed at higher levels in *Arabidopsis* upon inoculation with *Phyllobacterium brassicacearum* STM196 (Mantelin et al. 2006). Tomato exposure to the bacterial metabolite 2,4-diacetylphloroglucinol increased the net root efflux of amino acids (Phillips et al. 2004). In wheat, nitrate reductase activity of *Azospirillum brasilense* Sp245 inside roots is thought to contribute to N assimilation (Baldani and Baldani 2005). However, information is scarce, and relevance for wheat remains to be further investigated.

A range of root-associated microorganisms promote plant health, by inhibiting root pathogens and/or triggering systemic induction of plant defence mechanisms (Couillert et al. 2011, Almario et al. 2013). For instance, wheat inoculation with the bacterium *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Q8r1-96 resulted in cultivar-dependent, defence-related transcript accumulation in roots (Maketon et al. 2012). Thus, microbial phytoprotection effects are also important to consider and investigate.

### Traits Influencing N Utilization Efficiency

#### Nitrate assimilation

After being taken up by the roots, nitrate [NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−}] is then reduced to nitrite [NO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{−}] in the cytosol through the reaction catalysed by the enzyme nitrate reductase (NR; EC 1.7.1.1) using NAD(P)H as electron donors. The NR enzyme represents the first step in the pathway of NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} assimilation. The NR enzyme is positively regulated by NO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{−} and light at the transcriptional level and is down-regulated at the post-transcriptional level by reversible phosphorylation during the dark period (Kaiser et al. 2011). In hexaploid wheat, two genes encoding NADH-NR have been identified (Boisson et al. 2005). NO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{−} reduction is followed by the reduction of NO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{−} to NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} catalysed by the enzyme nitrite reductase located in the plastids (NiR; EC 1.7.7.1; Sétif et al. 2009). NiR forms a complex with ferredoxin that provides electrons for the reduction of NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} to NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} (Sakakibara et al. 2012). NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} is then incorporated into the amino acid glutamate through the action of two enzymes. The first reaction catalysed by the glutamine synthetase (GS; EC 6.3.1.2; Lea and Miflin 2011) is considered as the major route facilitating the incorporation of inorganic N into organic molecules in conjunction with the second enzyme glutamate synthase (GOGAT; EC 1.4.7.1; Suzuki and Knaff 2005), which recycles glutamate and incorporates C skeletons in the form of 2-oxoglutarate into the cycle. Then, the amino acids glutamine and glutamate are used as amino group donors to all the other N-containing molecules, notably other amino acids used for storage, transport and protein synthesis and to nucleotides used as basic molecules for RNA and DNA synthesis (Lea and Miflin 2011, Fig. 2).

In higher plants, including wheat, several isoenzymic forms of GS and GOGAT exist which are located in different cellular compartments and differentially expressed in organs or cell types according to the developmental stage. Indeed, the GS exists as a cytosolic form (GS1) present in a variety of organs and tissues such as roots, leaves, phloem cells, and a plastidic form (GS2) is located in chloroplasts and in plastids of roots and etiolated tissues. The relative proportions of GS1 and GS2 at protein level vary within the organs of the same plant and between plant species, each GS isoform playing a specific role in a given metabolic process, such as photosynthetic ammonia assimilation, nitrate reduction, N translocation and recycling (Lea and Miflin 2011). In wheat and other C3 cereals, both at the transcriptional and at enzyme activity levels, GS2 predominates throughout the entire plant developmental cycle, although its activity can decrease by half after the flowering period. One GS1 isoenzyme is constitutively expressed in the phloem, while others are generally induced in the cytosol of senescing leaves (Kichey et al. 2005, Christiansen and Gregersen 2014, Yamaya and Kusano 2014). Detailed analyses of gene expression and cellular localization of the different wheat GS isoenzymes were performed in developing and senescing leaves as well as in a number of reproductive tissues (Kichey et al. 2005, Bernard et al. 2008). These studies highlighted that the complex GS isoenzyme pattern of expression was not only due to the hexaploid nature of the wheat genome, but also to the morphological complexity of leaves. In order to clarify the function of the different GS isoenzymes, a phylogenetic approach was taken, due to the lack of mutants or transgenic plants. This allowed for the clustering of the different genes encoding GS into different classes of biological functions, which were not necessarily conserved between C3 and C4 cereals (Thomsen et al. 2014). In the same way, GOGAT also exists in two forms that have specific roles during primary N assimilation or N recycling. A ferredoxin-dependent isoenzyme (Fd-GOGAT) is mainly involved, in conjunction with GS2, in the reassimilation of photosynthetic and non-photosynthetic organs or tissues, to sustain plant growth and development (Lea and Miflin 2011).

Glutamate can also be generated by the incorporation of ammonia into 2-oxoglutarate by the glutamate dehydrogenase (GDH; EC 1.4.1.2; Lea and Miflin 2011). However, a number of experiments using 15N-labelling techniques and mutants deficient in GS and GOGAT have demonstrated that over 95% of the ammonia available to the plant is assimilated via the GS/GOGAT pathway (Lea and Miflin 2011). Subsequently, it was
clearly shown that GDH operates in the direction of glutamate deamination to provide organic acids, notably when the root and leaf cells are carbon limited (Labboun et al. 2009, Fontaine et al. 2009), but also the level of downstream and upstream carbon and N metabolites through the changes in its hetero-hexameric structure, has been put forward (Tercé-Laforgue et al. 2013). This function, which may also have a signalling role at the interface between C and N metabolism, may be of importance when there is a shortage of C under stress conditions or during several phases of plant growth and development. Moreover, transgenic studies performed on a number of model and crop species (Tercé-Laforgue et al. 2013) as well as quantitative genetic approaches performed on maize (Dubois et al. 2003) and wheat (Fontaine et al. 2009) strongly suggest that the reaction catalysed by NAD(H)-GDH is involved in the control of plant growth and productivity. Thus, further research is required to validate the function of GDH in crops such as wheat.

Over the last two decades, our knowledge of the various pathways involved in the synthesis of amino acids, particularly those derived from glutamate and glutamine, has been increased through the use of mutant and transgenic plants in which amino acid biosynthesis was altered. Amino acid biosynthesis is also of major importance for cereal growth and productivity (Howarth et al. 2008), and there are excellent reviews that extensively describe the current knowledge of this complex pathway and its regulation (e.g. Lea and Azevedo 2007, McAllister et al. 2012).

**Leaf and canopy photosynthesis per unit N**

Up to 75% of N in wheat leaves is located in mesophyll cells and is involved in photosynthetic processes, mainly as the chloroplastic enzyme Rubisco (Evans 1983). Thus, responses in N-limited crops often include reductions in total leaf area, leaf expansion and duration, leaf N and chlorophyll content, leaf stomatal conductance and photosynthesis per unit of leaf area (Sylvester-Bradley et al. 1990, Monneveux et al. 2005). These responses reduce radiation interception and radiation-use efficiency (above-ground biomass per unit radiation interception; RUE) and hence biomass (Foulkes et al. 2009) and yield. Canopy and leaf processes affecting photosynthesis per unit of N uptake include (i) radiation interception per unit of N uptake, (ii) optimizing vertical N distribution in relation to light in the canopy and (iii) leaf photosynthesis per unit of leaf N.

For a radiation interception of 95%, assuming a light extinction coefficient ($K$) value of 0.5, a green area index (green canopy area per unit of ground area; GAI) of 6 is required. Indeed,

$$
K = -\ln(I/I_0)/L,
$$

where $I_0$ is the incident radiation and $I$ is the amount of radiation not intercepted by a canopy having a GAI $= L$.

At anthesis, modern wheat cultivars produce canopies with GAI values around 6 and hence achieve full interception at this stage (e.g. Moreau et al. 2012, Gaju et al. 2014). The only realistic way to increase fractional interception in the pre-anthesis phase is to increase fractional interception at the start of the stem elongation phase. However, in wheat, it is already around 60–70% (Shearman et al. 2005, Moreau et al. 2012). Thus, only marginal improvement seems possible. Physiological avenues for increasing fractional interception specifically under low N supply may be possible through an increased specific leaf N area (leaf area per unit leaf N; SLN) and/or a higher light extinction coefficient. Genetic variation in SLN has been associated with embryo size (López-Castañeda et al. 1996) and earlier canopy closure (Rebetzke and Richards 1999). The light extinction coefficient is mainly influenced by leaf angle. For modern wheat cultivars, light extinction is approximately 0.55 for photosynthetically active radiation (Thorne et al. 1988, Abbate et al. 1998, Moreau et al. 2012). These values are associated with semi-erect to erect leaf angles, which help to reduce light saturation in the upper canopy leaves boosting RUE. A higher value of $K$ seems unlikely to be desirable due to the trade-off with RUE. Although desirable, more prostrate leaves during early vegetative growth and more upright leaves during later vegetative growth may be difficult to achieve in practice. In summary, although genetic gains in radiation interception per unit of N uptake may be possible during stem elongation, these gains seem likely to be small.

N distribution in canopies in relation to light attenuation also affects photosynthesis per unit of N uptake. Considering that the leaf N gradient is ‘optimal’ in accordance with the ‘optimization theory’ (Field 1983, Hirose and Werger 1987, Anten et al. 1995, Moreau et al. 2012), theoretical studies indicated that leaf N maximizes canopy photosynthesis when it parallels the light gradient, that is when the light ($K_L$) and N ($K_N$) extinction coefficients are equal. In wheat, observed N gradients are generally less steep than predicted with the ‘optimization theory’; however, they do demonstrate that SLN follows an exponential gradient with vertical depth in the canopy (Critchley 2001, Pask 2009, Moreau et al. 2012). Possible reasons for this discrepancy have been discussed in detail by Kull (2002). There is relatively little information on genetic diversity in the vertical distribution of N in relation to light in the canopy. Nevertheless, Bertheloot et al. (2008) demonstrated with two French winter wheat cultivars (Apache and Isengrain) that the vertical distribution of N at anthesis was close to the optimum, as defined in the ‘optimization theory’, and only differed significantly at the end of grain filling. Similarly, genetic differences were not found for
five spring wheat genotypes grown in the Netherlands (Bindyban 1999). Moreau et al. (2012) analysed the vertical distribution of leaf N and light at anthesis for 16 wheat cultivars experiment in field trials in France and the United Kingdom (UK) in two seasons under two N levels. The N extinction coefficient with respect to light ($K_N$, $K_L$) varied with N supply and cultivar. A scaling relationship was observed between ($K_N$, $K_L$) and the size of the canopy for all the cultivars in the different environmental conditions. Interestingly, the scaling coefficient of the ($K_N$, $K_L$ – green area) index relationship differed among cultivars, suggesting that cultivars could be more or less adapted to low N environments.

Photosynthesis rate per unit of N affects NUtE. In C3 cereals such as wheat, the net light-saturated rate of leaf photosynthesis (Amax) typically increases to 20–30 μmol CO$_2$/m$^2$/s at leaf N concentrations of 2 g N/m$^2$. Assuming an asymptotic relationship between Amax and leaf N concentration (Evans 1983, Sinclair and Horie 1989), there may be scope to decrease SLN while maintaining Amax. Indeed, because leaves of modern wheat genotypes typically accumulate more than 2.0 g N/m$^2$ under favourable conditions (Critchley 2001, Pask et al. 2012), NUtE could be increased by selecting for lower specific leaf N (leaf N content per unit leaf area: SLN) to decrease the transient ‘storage’ N components of leaves. A sensitivity analysis using the wheat Sirius crop model predicted that decreasing SLN in the range of 1.4–2 g/m$^2$ increased NUE by 10–15% when N was limiting (Semenov et al. 2007). However, under well-fertilized conditions, decreasing SLN below 2 g/m$^2$ may not be beneficial because the SLN required for maximal RUE in field-grown winter wheat in the UK and New Zealand was estimated to be 2.1 g/m$^2$ (Pask et al. 2012). Alternatively, increasing SLN above current values of 2–3 g/m$^2$ seems unlikely to be advantageous overall for NUtE as leaves may operate well below light saturation in the canopy (Reynolds et al. 2000), mesophyll cell size, leaf size and light interception may be reduced (Austin et al. 1982) and many chloroplasts may end up in a light-limited state due to intraleaf shading in thick leaves. Genetic variability in SLN amounts to 1.4–2.6 g/m$^2$ for 144 durum wheat genotypes (Araus et al. 1997), 2.1–2.4 g/m$^2$ for 17 durum wheat cultivars (Giunta et al. 2002) and 1.4–2.2 g/m$^2$ for 16 bread wheat cultivars (mean over a high and low N treatment, Moreau et al. 2012). SLN heritability in wheat is largely unknown. However, it is encouraging that the heritability for straw (leaf lamina, leaf sheath and stem) N at anthesis for winter wheat was >0.60 under low N (Laperche et al. 2006b), indicating that selection should be possible.

Rubisco catalyses a wasteful reaction with oxygen that leads to the release of previously fixed CO$_2$ and NH$_3$ and the consumption of energy during photorespiration. Consequently, at the metabolic level, there are several avenues to increase photosynthetic efficiency. These include (i) relaxing the photo-protected state more rapidly, (ii) reducing photorespiration through ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco) with decreased oxygenase activity, (iii) improving Rubisco activity, (iv) faster regeneration of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP) and (v) introducing carbon-concentrating mechanisms associated with C4 photochemistry into C3 plants (see recent reviews by Reynolds et al. 2000, Parry et al. 2003, 2011, Long et al. 2006, Murchie et al. 2009, Zhu et al. 2010). These strategies all require modification of the photosynthetic components, which can only be achieved through genetic manipulation. Potential improvements in C3 cereals available from reduced photorespiration were estimated around 30% and those from other mechanisms in the 15–22% range (Long et al. 2006).

Alternatively, it may be possible to increase Amax by decreasing respiration in crops, although this has received less attention than photosynthesis partly due to difficulties in measurement. Respiration may consume 30% to 80% of the carbon fixed (Atkin et al. 2005) and is commonly divided into growth and maintenance components, each exerting differing effects. Respiration, increasing with temperature and depending on phenological stage (McCullough and Hunt 1993, Foulkes and Murchie 2011), may be positively but nonlinearly related to photosynthesis. High respiration rates (especially at night) can increase reactive oxygen species, leading to cell damage and affecting pollen viability (Prasad et al. 1999). Recent work highlighting the importance of increased night-time temperature with climate change on productivity in wheat (Tester and Langridge 2010, Lizana and Calderini 2013) and the high sensitivity of respiration to temperature in general suggests that the environmental responses of crop respiration to temperature changes is an important area on which to focus.

### Post-anthesis N remobilization and senescence dynamics

In wheat, 35–42% of the N in the above-ground crop at anthesis is in the leaf lamina, 14–20% in the leaf sheath, 20–31% in the true stem and 16–23% in the ear under optimal N supply (Pask et al. 2012, Barraclough et al. 2014, Gaju et al. 2014). Under low N conditions, the proportion of the N in the ear increases relative to that in the other plant components (Barraclough et al. 2014, Gaju et al. 2014). In field experiments in the UK and New Zealand, on winter wheat, the accumulation and remobilization of structural, photosynthetic and reserve N was estimated in crop components under high N and low N conditions (Pask et al. 2012). At anthesis, reserve N accounted for 44% of above-ground N in optimally fertilized crops and was principally located in the true stem, but was observed in all crop components in non-limiting fertilizer N treatments. The efficiency of postanthesis N remobilization of true stem reserve N in the true stem was low (48%) compared to the leaf sheath (61%) and leaf lamina (76%), and in well-fertilized crops, significant quantities of non-remobilized reserve N remained in true stem at harvest.

A high capacity to absorb N in the true stem before flowering could theoretically favor a high maximum rate of N uptake and hence higher NUtE (Foulkes et al. 2009). In addition, favouring a greater capacity to store N in non-photosynthetic organs (i.e. stem internodes) may enable the translocation of a larger amount of N to grains without reducing plant photosynthetic capacity (Bertheloot et al. 2008), although the respiratory cost of maintaining a large non-photosynthetic pool of storage N is unclear. In wheat, genetic variation in stem N content at anthesis is reported (Triboi and Ollier 1991, Critchley 2001, Pask 2009, Barraclough et al. 2014, Gaju et al. 2014), as well as in postanthesis N remobilization efficiency from the stem (Kichey et al. 2007, Pask 2009, Gaju et al. 2014). In maize, studies reported an early remobilization of N from the stem before the leaf lamina (Beauchamp et al. 1976, Friedrich and Schrader 1979). Thus, high stem N remobilization efficiency would potentially favour high NUtE through delayed senescence of the leaf lamina.

‘Stay-green’ phenotype refers to the capacity of a genotype to retain green leaf area for longer than a standard genotype during grain filling (Thomas and Smart 1993). Although under optimal conditions, wheat crops are, in general, little limited by the assimilate supply during grain filling (Dreccer et al. 2000, Borras et al. 2004, Calderini et al. 2006); under low to moderate N fertilizer levels, there is evidence that yields can be
limited by postanthesis assimilate supply (Bogard et al. 2011, Gaju et al. 2011). ‘Stay-green’ phenotypes and broader genetic variation in senescence have been reported in hexaploid wheat (Silva et al. 2000, Verma et al. 2004, Joshi et al. 2007, Christopher et al. 2008, Chen et al. 2010, 2011. Bogard et al. 2011, Gaju et al. 2011, Derksen et al. 2012, Nuruoka et al. 2012). N dynamics are an important factor in the maintenance of green leaf area in sorghum, with ‘stay-green’ in sorghum hybrids linked to changes in the balance between N demand and supply during grain filling resulting in a slower rate of N translocation from the leaves to the grain (Borrell and Hammel 2000, Van Oosterom et al. 2010a,b). The latter study showed that the onset and rate of leaf senescence were explained by a supply-demand framework for N dynamics, in which individual grain N demand was sink-determined and was initially met through N translocation from the stem and rachis, and then if these N pools were insufficient, from leaf N translocation. A correlation between postanthesis N remobilization efficiency and the onset of the rapid phase of canopy senescence was reported under low N conditions among 16 wheat varieties grown at sites in the UK and France (Gaju et al. 2014). A transcription factor (NAM-B1) accelerates senescence and increases N remobilization from leaves to grains in wheat (Uauy et al. 2006). Candidate regulatory genes that were members of the WRKY and NAC transcription factor families were related to senescence in controlled environment conditions (Derksen et al. 2012). In a winter wheat doubled-haploid mapping population, QTLs affecting leaf senescence and grain yield and/or grain protein concentration were identified associated with QTLs for anthesis date, showing that the phenotypic correlations with leaf senescence were mainly explained by flowering time influencing postanthesis N availability (Bogard et al. 2011).

These results suggested that a better understanding of the mechanisms determining postanthesis N remobilization and senescence associated with environmental characterization, particularly on their N availability during the postanthesis period, would offer scope to raise grain yield and/or grain protein content in wheat cultivars.

**Optimizing grain protein concentration and composition**

Structural and metabolic proteins are present in the starchy endosperm cells of the grain, and the predominant protein fraction in this tissue is the gluten storage proteins, comprising a mixture of monomeric gliadins and polymeric glutenins. These groups of proteins are present in approximately equal amounts and together account for about 60–70% of the total N in the endosperm tissue. The gluten proteins confer viscoelastic properties to dough crucial for processing wheat into baked food such as bread, pasta and noodles. A precise balance between gliadin and glutenin proteins is also required, as glutenins are predominantly responsible for dough elasticity (strength) required for bread making and gliadins for dough viscosity and extensibility required for making biscuits and cakes. The qualitative composition of the grain protein is a genetic characteristic, caused in part by differences in protein synthetic capacity (Shewry and Halford 2002, Ravel et al. 2009), while the rate, duration and grain protein quantitative composition (i.e. the ratio between the different protein fractions; Martre et al. 2003) can be modified by environmental conditions.

An inverse relationship exists between the grain protein concentration and grain yield (e.g. Kibite and Evans 1984, Simmonds 1995, Ourry et al. 2003, Ourry and Godin 2007, Bogard et al. 2010), making the simultaneous genetic improvement of yield quantity and bread-making quality a difficult task. The physiological basis of this inverse relationship relates to competition between carbon and N for energy (Munier-Jolain and Salon 2005) and an N dilution effect by carbon-based compounds (Acreche and Slafer 2009). The grain protein deviation (GPD) is the deviation from the regression between grain yield and grain protein concentration (GPC). GPD can be used to identify genotypes having higher GPC than expected from their GY (Monaghan et al. 2001) and wheat lines that have a positive GPD among groups of wheat lines (Oury et al. 2003, Bogard et al. 2010, 2011). Genetic variability in GPD has been related to postanthesis N uptake (Monaghan et al. 2001, Bogard et al. 2010, 2011), which is in part associated with anthesis date (Bogard et al. 2011). Because the majority of grain N originates from remobilization (Pask et al. 2012, Gaju et al. 2014), rather than from postanthesis uptake, mechanisms to enhance reserve N accumulation in the canopy and efficiency of N remobilization should also be addressed in the genetic improvement of GPD (Hakwesford 2014). This may be the case using the already mentioned NAM-B1 allele (Uauy et al. 2006) that increases N remobilization efficiency. An alternative to develop high-quality and N-efficient wheat lines is to modify grain protein composition to maintain dough strength and elasticity parameters with a lower GPC. In this sense, Guarda et al. (2004) observed that grain quality of cultivars introduced in Italy from 1900 to 1994 was increased although GPC was decrease.

For wheat grown for feed, distilling and biofuel markets (high ratio of starch to protein required), a higher NUE will be associated with a lower GPC. The minimum GPC reported is in the range 6.8–7.2% (Martre et al. 2006, Kindred et al. 2008, Bogard et al. 2011), equivalent (assuming a conversion ratio of 5.7 between GPC and grain N %) to 1.2–1.3% grain N %. It is not certain whether it is possible to decrease the % of N below as there may be a minimum obligatory (approximately 1.5%; Sinclair and Amir 1992) for the synthesis of essential amino acids and structural and metabolic proteins.

**Phenotyping for NUE**

**Root phenotyping methods**

The lack of high-throughput and large-scale phenotyping methods for root traits remains a bottleneck to gene discovery and selection for such traits in breeding programmes (Fiorani and Schurr 2013). Progress in root measurement methodology has enhanced our ability to visualize, quantify and conceptualize root system architecture traits and their relationship to plant productivity (Lynch 1995). However, laboratory screens have focused mainly on seedlings, with seedlings growing on germination paper or in growth pouches (e.g. Hund et al. 2009, Bai et al. 2013, Atkinson et al. 2015). Thus, although several screening tests have been designed to generate accurate and robust data from seedlings grown under artificial conditions, these phenotypes have only rarely been extrapolated to field conditions, partly because of the pronounced plasticity of root growth and development processes. Laboratory-based methods can be limited in their ability to reproduce field-like conditions (Passioura 2006, 2010, Poorter et al. 2012). For example, soil environment × genotype interactions significantly affect the root length of wheat cultivars grown in sandy soil compared to agar plates (Wojciechowski et al. 2009). Encouragingly, seedling root traits based on paper-based germination screens were shown to be...
linked to mature plant traits such as height and yield in recent studies on a Savannah × Rialto DH winter wheat population (Atkinson et al. 2015); seedling root traits were associated with plant height in a winter wheat Avalon × Cadzenia DH population (Bai et al. 2013). At an intermediate scale, the use of soil-filled root observation chambers (rhizotrons or clear-pot) (e.g. Lobet et al. 2011; Nagel et al. 2012, Richard et al. 2015) and non-destructive digital imaging techniques offer some promises (Manschadi et al. 2006, 2010), as X-ray computed tomography (Gregory et al. 2003, Lontoc-Roy et al. 2006, Hargreaves et al. 2009, Mooney et al. 2012, Mairhofer et al. 2013), magnetic resonance imaging (Metzner et al. 2015) and mini-rhizotrons (Lontoc-Roy et al. 2006, MacFall and Johnson 2012, Pooter et al. 2012, Vamerali et al. 2012).

Field phenotyping methods for roots in cereals were reviewed by Manske and Vlek (2002) and Polomski and Kuhn (2002), including the use of rhizotrons, mini-rhizotrons and assessments of root parameters from soil cores (root washing and root counts/image analysis). There are two relatively high-throughput field phenotyping techniques: the core break method (Köpke 1979) and shovelomics (Trachsel et al. 2011). In the core break method, a root auger is used to take soil root cores from the field, the cores are then broken transversely and the roots on the exposed cross-sections counted (Manske et al. 2001). The number of roots visible is then used to estimate root length density and mass from established calibrations. A field study in Australia on a range of genotypes (cultivars, near-isogenic lines and recombinant inbred lines) by Wasson et al. (2014) indicated that the core break method can directly identify the variation in deep root traits to speed up selection. Shovelomics involves the excavation and visual scoring of crown roots extracted from the field. Results in maize have been shown to be well correlated with total plant depth and root system total length (Trachsel et al. 2011). Finally, soil coring, root washing and scanning have been successful in describing root system architecture traits of adult plants in the field and in controlled environment conditions and have been widely used as a standard technique to compare new methods against (Metzner et al. 2015). The measurement of the root system architecture traits from images is carried out using appropriate software. The most commonly used are the commercial WinRHIZO (Regent Instruments, Quebec, Canada) and the public domain IMAGEJ (Schneider et al. 2012).

The development of methods that measure changes in the root DNA concentration in soil could eliminate the need for separation of roots from soil and permit large-scale phenotyping of root genotypes and responses to environmental stresses in the field (Huang et al. 2013).

Canopy phenotyping methods

A major limitation to improving yield and N stress tolerance in wheat is obtaining high-throughput accurate phenotypes on thousands of breeding lines. Promising technologies for high-throughput field phenotyping include spectral reflectance to estimate biomass, canopy size and N content. Spectral reflectance indices (SRI) are based on the capacity of canopies to absorb and reflect specific wavelengths of solar radiation according to their structural and physiological characteristics. Currently, the most widely applied SRI are based on the relative reflectance in the visible (400–700 nm) and in the near infrared (700–1100 nm) due to the absorption of light by chlorophyll and associated pigments [e.g. the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) (Araus et al. 2001)]. Using ground-based spectroradiometers, SRI have been developed to estimate crop biomass (Barar et al. 2006), green canopy area (Aparicio et al. 2002), leaf chlorophyll (Barar et al. 2006), ‘stay-green’ (Lopes and Reynolds 2012), grain yield (Gutierrez-Rodriguez et al. 2004, Gutierrez et al. 2010a,b) and grain protein content (Apan et al. 2006, Freeman et al. 2007). The recent development of field-portable spectroradiometers measuring wavelengths up to 2500 nm increases the capacity to phenotype wheat performance under N stress environments. In this sense, associations have been established between SRI measured during grain filling and grain yield and C isotope discrimination of the grain (Lobos et al. 2014). The challenge in the development of such techniques is to reach high-throughput both for data acquisition and for processing as well as to derive metrics that are meaningful with regard to canopy structure and function.

Alongside spectral reflectance, promising remote-sensing technologies for field-based phenotyping include chlorophyll fluorescence imaging to measure photosynthesis (Romera et al. 2011, Murchie and Lawson 2013) and infrared thermometry as a proxy for canopy photosynthesis (Olivares-Villegas et al. 2007, Saint Pierre et al. 2010). To date, the latter has been mainly applied under heat-stressed or water-stressed environments. Another remote-sensing technique that is now being adopted for field-based phenotyping in cereals to survey directly the 3D distribution of canopies is laser imaging detection and ranging (Lidar). This technology provides accurate estimates of crop height, cover, canopy structural properties (Lefsky et al. 2007, Omasa et al. 2007, Hosoi and Omasa 2009), crop biomass and N content (Eitel et al. 2014). Furthermore, laser scanning coupled with fluorescence has potential to evaluate photosynthetic performance (Romera et al. 2011). Additional techniques relevant to NUE field-based phenotyping are stereo- and colour imaging to determine canopy structure and ear density (Berger et al. 2010) and near infrared spectroscopy to measure protein and N content using calibrations derived from N combustion analyses (White et al. 2012). A full review of the above phenomics technologies is beyond the scope of this article. Fortunately, recent reviews of such phenomics methodologies are available (Furfaro and Tester 2010, White et al. 2012, Araus and Cairns 2014).

Challenges that can limit the potential of ground-based sensor platforms (e.g. tractor-mounted sensors, phenomobiles) include the non-simultaneous measurement of different plots and vibrations resulting from uneven field surfaces. Some of these limitations can be addressed using high-resolution and low-altitude aerial platforms such as small unmanned aerial vehicles. The availability of unmanned aerial vehicles has rapidly increased in recent years, and several types, ranging from multicopters and helicopters to fixed wing, are now available (Lelong et al. 2008, Zhang and Kovacs 2012, Araus and Cairns 2014). These aerial platforms have an advantage over ground-based sensing platforms in generating surface maps in real time and measuring plant parameters from several plots at a time. However, high-quality camera systems often still exceed the payload of available drones. Automation of data processing and difficulties in the extraction of meaningful parameters are other reasons that presently restrict fast methodological advances. Satellites platforms, on the other hand, are currently limited by the frequency of measurements and spatial resolution.
Breeding for NUE

Estimation of genetic progress

Grain yield and the N demand to maximize yield evolved simultaneously (Guarda et al. 2004, Sylvester-Bradley and Kindred 2009), leading to an equal NUE of old and recent cultivars at their respective N optimum (Sylvester-Bradley and Kindred 2009). But when old and recent varieties are compared in the same N conditions, a significant genetic improvement of NUE was measured in various studies at different N levels (Table 1).

Ortiz-Monasterio et al. (1997) reported an NUE genetic progress of +0.4–1.1% per year depending on the N levels in spring CIMMYT varieties cultivated between 1962 and 1985. Sylvester-Bradley and Kindred (2009) also reported a significant trend between +0.35–0.58% per year comparing an old group of varieties (1977–1987) to a recent one (2001–2007) to two N levels (without N applied and with 200 kg/ha N applied). In the same way, Cormier et al. (2013) estimated genetic progress at +0.30–0.37% per year between 1985 and 2010 using 195 European elite winter varieties at optimal and suboptimal N levels. Only Muurinen et al. (2006), studying 17 spring wheat cultivars released between 1901 and 2000, observed a poorly significant genetic improvement of NUE (P = 0.055).

NUE is an integrative trait, and thus, its improvement could be the result of modification on several components. An increase in N harvest index (NHI) was assessed at +0.15% per year by Brancourt-Hulmel et al. (2003) and at +0.12% per year by Cormier et al. (2013). This improvement is independent of the semi-dwarf allele introgressions (Goody et al. 2012) and is associated with a decrease in N content in straw at maturity (Cormier et al. 2013). It may result from a better translocation (portion of N absorbed after anthesis and allocated to the grain) and/or a better N remobilization. In summary, these results highlighted a breeding impact on N utilization. An increase in N uptake was also observed (Ortiz-Monasterio et al. 1997, Guarda et al. 2004, Sylvester-Bradley and Kindred 2009). Nevertheless, this conclusion has to be balanced as Foulkes et al. (1998) who studied in UK 27 cultivars released from 1969 to 1988 concluded that at zero N input, N offtake in grain decreased. Moreover, Cormier et al. (2013) could not conclude on this point due to a genetic variance for N uptake that was too low in a variety panel of 214 recent European elites.

To conclude, both N uptake and N utilization may have been increased by breeding with a relative efficiency affected by the N levels (Ortiz-Monasterio et al. 1997, Le Gouis et al. 2000). We should point out that this improvement is an indirect effect of breeding for grain yield at a constant N level as no specific targeted selection for NUE has been conducted.

Impact of G × N interactions on direct/indirect selection efficiency

In wheat, varieties are commonly selected and registered under high N conditions. Thus, genetic progresses in low N condition result from an indirect selection. Numerous studies detected significant G × N interactions for agronomic traits (e.g. Ortiz-Monasterio et al. 1997, Le Gouis et al. 2000, Laperche et al. 2006a, Barracough et al. 2010, Cormier et al. 2013), meaning that the genetic values of varieties differ between N levels. Significance of G × N interactions directly affects the correlations of genetic variances between N levels, and hence, the best varieties at high N may not be the best at low N. In other words, when G × N interactions are significant, indirect selection efficiency (ISE) is reduced. Nevertheless, selecting at high N for low N can be efficient when heritabilities in high N are higher than in low N. Indeed, a balance between the ability to select (heritabilities) and the genetic correlation between the environment used to select and the one where varieties will be tested is required. This balance is easy to understand when looking at the ISE formula (Falconer and Mackay 1996):

\[
ISE = r_{G12} \times h_2/h_1,
\]

where varieties are tested in condition 1, but selected in condition 2; \( h_1 \) and \( h_2 \) are the respective square roots of the heritability in the two conditions; and \( r_{G12} \) is the genetic correlation between conditions, considering an equal selection intensity in both conditions.

In wheat, studies reported both genetic variance decrease and environmental variance increase at low N compared to HN. Thus, heritabilities are usually lower under low N conditions (Brancourt-Hulmel et al. 2005, Laperche et al. 2006a), and indirect selection at high N can be an effective strategy to breed for low N conditions. However, few studies directly quantified this indirect selection efficiency (Brancourt-Hulmel et al. 2005, Przyszlak et al. 2008, Annicchiarico et al. 2010, Cormier et al. 2013, Sarcevic et al. 2014). These studies have to be compared regarding N stresses and the number of genotypes used (Table 2). Using 270 breeding lines tested during 2 years in the same environment (northern France), Brancourt-Hulmel et al. (2005) assessed an ISE of 0.65–0.99 for grain yield with an N stress, which implied a mean yield reduction of 35% and genetic correlations between 0.83 and 0.89. Cormier et al. (2013) tested 225 commercial varieties. Comparing high N and low N, the mean yield reduction was 20% and traits heritabilities were stable. Thus, ISE was mainly dependent on genetic correlation. For grain yield, it was estimated at 0.78. For the other investigated agronomic traits, ISE was between 0.25 and 0.99. The other studies used fewer genotypes. In Sarcevic et al. (2014), 19 varieties were tested and yield reduction was only 10%, promoting high genetic correlations. Moreover, genetic correlations were allowed

Table 1: Assessment of yearly percentage genetic gain in nitrogen-use efficiency (NUE) from direct comparison of old and modern cultivars

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Genotypes</th>
<th>N level (kg N/ha)</th>
<th>NUE (% per year)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1962–1985</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>Ortiz-Monasterio et al. (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977–2007</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>Sylvester-Bradley and Kindred (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985–2010</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>Cormier et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Efficiency of selection in high N environment for low N environment (indirect selection efficiency – ISE) regarding yield reduction between high and low N trials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotypes</th>
<th>Yield reduction (%)</th>
<th>ISE</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>270</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.65–0.99</td>
<td>Brancourt-Hulmel et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-188</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.86–1.02</td>
<td>Przyszlak et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>225</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>Cormier et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>Sarcevic et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
to exceed 1. In result, ISE for grain yield was high (1.04), as for grain N yield (1.34) and most grain quality rheological parameters (0.81–1.00). Using data sets from seven European countries comparing organic and non-organic cropping systems, Przystalski et al. (2008) found an ISE ranging from 0.86 to 1.02 for grain yield (calculated from the published results) under a N stress inducing a mean yield reduction of 27%. However, this result seems overestimated regarding the unbalanced data set and the number of varieties used. Annicchiarico et al. (2010) studied three data sets containing 7, 11, and 13 genotypes under two production systems (organic and conventional). Yield reduction ranged from 14% to 28% and ISE genotypes under two production systems (organic and conventional). Yield reduction ranged from 14% to 28% and ISE ranged from 0.89 to 1.20 for grain yield, but there were no consistent genotype × production system interactions, and/or heritabilities in organic system were lower than in conventional systems mostly due to higher experimental error.

When data set size is sufficient to properly estimate genetic correlation and N stress is substantial, ISE for grain yield is high, but may not exceed one. Consequently, regarding breeder financial issues, indirect selection is efficient in moderate N stresses, but it does not overpass direct selection in low N conditions. This was already observed in maize (Zea mays), for which selection under high N for performance under low N was predicted significantly less efficient than direct selection under low N when the relative yield reduction due to N stress exceeded 43% (Bänziger et al. 1997). Concerning varieties recommendation, the approach is different as the goal is not to increase a trait mean value but to advise wheat growers, and hence to predict the top ranking varieties, meaning that we should focus on variety rankings between high N and low N conditions. Here again, to apply results from high N to low N experiments is not an easy task. Indeed, even with a high genetic correlation between high N and low N conditions, the probability to predict the top varieties in low N from high N ranking is low (0.55 for a genetic correlation of 0.8 in the simulation study of Przystalski et al. (2008)).

Molecular breeding

Molecular breeding can be defined as the use of molecular information to develop new genotypes. This molecular information can arise at different levels of the metabolic process: from genes through proteins to metabolites. In complex traits such as NUE, several regulation pathways occur at different levels (e.g. transcription factor, post-transcriptional modification, allosteric regulation). These pathways depend on N levels (Howarth et al. 2008, Ruuska et al. 2008, Wan et al. 2013), organs (Ruuska et al. 2008), genotypes (McIntyre et al. 2011, Tenea et al. 2012) and developmental stage (Ruuska et al. 2008, Wan et al. 2013). In the development of genetically modified crop, this complexity makes promoter choice critical. Reviews of transgenic efforts to improve NUE in plant were published by Pathak et al. (2011) and McAllister et al. (2012). Using the example of research on alanine aminotransferase (AlaAT), a successful transgenic approach to increase NUE in oil seed rape (Good et al. 2007), in rice (Shrawat et al. 2008) and currently tested in wheat, the authors concluded that enzymes and proteins other than those involved in primary N uptake and assimilation may be good targets, potentially due to less post-transcriptional controls.

Indeed, it has been believed for a long time that due to their strategic position along the N assimilatory pathway, NR, NiR, GS and GOGAT enzymes were major checkpoints controlling plant NUE. But, the first results of modifications of these genes have not produced completely relevant NUE phenotypes. However, there is some evidence that increasing NR activity improves NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} assimilation in Arabidopsis (Takahashi et al. 2001). Moreover, it seems that wheat genotypes exhibiting a higher NR activity have a greater potential for N utilization under non-limiting N supply with a well-coordinated system of N uptake and assimilation (Vouillot et al. 1996, Anjana et al. 2011). Recently, it was reported that overexpression of a tobacco NR gene in wheat increased the seed protein content, without the need for increased N fertilization (Zhao et al. 2013). Such an interesting finding could rekindle the possibility of using NR as a breeding target to improve wheat NUE, yield and grain quality.

Indirect evidence of the role of the GS enzyme in the control of NUE was also provided in wheat through correlation studies that suggested that the leaf enzyme activity could be used as a marker to monitor plant N status (Kichey et al. 2007). In addition, a number of quantitative trait loci (QTL) related to grain yield and grain protein content colocalizing with structural genes encoding either cytosolic GS1 (Habash et al. 2007, Fontaine et al. 2009, Guo et al. 2012, Gadaleta et al. 2014) or plastidic GS2 (Gadaleta et al. 2011, Bordes et al. 2013) were identified. However, functional validation of these candidate genes will be necessary to demonstrate their impact on wheat productivity (Swarbeek et al. 2011).

Following the discovery that in rice mutants deficient in one of the two forms of NADH-GOGAT there was a considerable reduction in spikelet number (see Yamaya and Kusano 2014 for a review), studies on the wheat enzyme were also undertaken. Based on a quantitative genetic study in which colocalization between QTL for NUE and NADH-GOGAT was observed (Qurashi et al. 2011), it was proposed that in wheat and in other cereals, this gene could be used to improve grain filling either using genetic manipulation or by selecting the best alleles (Salse et al. 2013). In durum wheat, it was also found that there is a strong correlation between NADH-GOGAT gene expression and grain protein content (Nigro et al. 2013), thus indicating that unlike in a C4 plant such as maize (Martin et al. 2006), it is not cytosolic GS1, but NADH-GOGAT that is one of the major checkpoints controlling NUE in C3 cereals. Such a finding reinforces the current concept that NUE control may be specific, depending not only on the species examined but also on the genetic variability within the species (Hirel et al. 2007, Simons et al. 2014).

Regarding marker-assisted selection, to deal with N pathway complexity of regulation, the easiest screening might be based on protein or metabolite. Kusano et al. (2011) wrote a good review on metabolic approaches focusing on N metabolism. In wheat, Howarth et al. (2008) assessed the impact of N supply on amino acid content during senescence. Moreover, various proteomic studies were performed at different growing stages and organs (Bahran et al. 2004a,b, 2005, Altenbach et al. 2011, Tétard-Jones et al. 2013). Nevertheless, these approaches are limited to the exploration of a narrow genetic diversity (Table 3). In fact, due to affordable cost (time and price), most molecular information available is at the genome level as genetic molecular markers. This information was used in association mapping studies on NUE-related traits (Table 4) mostly using biparental design such as doubled haploids (DH) populations (An et al. 2006, Laperche et al. 2006a,b, 2007, 2008, Habash et al. 2007, Fontaine et al. 2009, Li et al. 2010, Zheng et al. 2010, Bogard et al. 2011, 2013) or recombinant inbred line
### Table 3: List of ‘omics studies’ related to nitrogen-use efficiency in wheat

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References</th>
<th>Genotypes</th>
<th>N levels</th>
<th>Organs</th>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Data points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proteomic</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahrman et al. (2004a)</td>
<td>2 (Arche, Recital)</td>
<td>0; 2; 8; and 20 mg N/plant/day</td>
<td>Leaf</td>
<td>60 days</td>
<td>2D gel electrophoresis</td>
<td>524 spots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahrman et al. (2004b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altenbach et al. (2011)</td>
<td>1 (Butte 86)</td>
<td>0.5 and 3.0 mg NO$_3^-$</td>
<td>Root</td>
<td>2nd node</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetard-Jones et al. (2013)</td>
<td>1 (Malacca)</td>
<td>0 and 30 mg N/plant/DAP</td>
<td>Grain</td>
<td>Maturity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Flag leaf</td>
<td>Ear emergence, anthesis, kernel milk stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Anthesis, 9 DPA</td>
<td>cDNA microarray</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36 000 sequences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transcriptomic</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruuska et al. (2008)</td>
<td>1 (Janz)</td>
<td>1 mg KNO$_3$ and 2 mg KNO$_3$ + 3 mg Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$</td>
<td>Lower leaves and stem, flag leaf, penult internode</td>
<td>Leaves 2 and 3</td>
<td>Senescence, Anthesis</td>
<td>GeneChip Affymetrix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howarth et al. (2008)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McIntyre et al. (2011)</td>
<td>8 (Seri × Babax pop)</td>
<td>0; 44; 60 and 172 kg N/ha</td>
<td>Stem</td>
<td>Senescence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenea et al. (2012)</td>
<td>3 (Tommi, Centenaire, Cubus)</td>
<td>Organic, conventional</td>
<td>Flag leaf</td>
<td>Senescence</td>
<td>Kernel milk stage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wan et al. (2013)</td>
<td>6 (Cordiale, Hereward, Istabraq, Malacca, Marksmen and Xi 19)</td>
<td>100; 200 and 350 kg N/ha</td>
<td>Caryopsis</td>
<td>14, 21, 28 and 35 DPA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Metabolomic</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howarth et al. (2008)</td>
<td>1 (Hereward)</td>
<td>48 and 192 kg N/ha</td>
<td>Leaves 2 and 3</td>
<td>Senescence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4: List of association mapping studies related to nitrogen-use efficiency in wheat

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References</th>
<th>Pop. Genotypes</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>Map (cM)</th>
<th>Env</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Traits</th>
<th>QTL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>An et al. (2006)</td>
<td>DH 120</td>
<td>Hanxuan 10 × Lumai 14</td>
<td>395 (AFLP, SSR, EST)</td>
<td>3904</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>LN=HN-150 kg N ha</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li et al. (2010)</td>
<td>Panel 260</td>
<td>Core collection</td>
<td>3 TaGS2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LN HN</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+DH +120</td>
<td>Hanxuan 10 × Lumai 14</td>
<td>1719 (AFLP, SSR, EST)</td>
<td>3702</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>LN=HN-150 kg N ha</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+RIL +142</td>
<td>Xiaoyan 54 × Jing 411</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>LN=HN-150 kg N ha</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guo et al. (2012)</td>
<td>RIL 131</td>
<td>Chuan 35050 × Shannong 483</td>
<td>719 (DArT, SSR, EST)</td>
<td>4008</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>LN=HN-150 kg N ha</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sun et al. (2013)</td>
<td>RIL 182</td>
<td>Xiaoyan 54 × Jing 411</td>
<td>555 (SSR, EST, Glu loci)</td>
<td>4706</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>LN HN</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xu et al. (2013)</td>
<td>RIL 222</td>
<td>Arche × Recital</td>
<td>190 (SSR, GLU-1A/1D, Rht-B1)</td>
<td>2640</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>LN=HN-150 kg N ha</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laperche et al. (2007)</td>
<td>RIL 222</td>
<td>SPA, Fd-gogat-D1, VRN-A1, B1</td>
<td>2164</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>LN=HN-150 kg N ha</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laperche et al. (2006a)</td>
<td>RIL 222</td>
<td></td>
<td>2164</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>LN=HN-150 kg N ha</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zheng et al. (2010)</td>
<td>RIL 222</td>
<td>182 SSR</td>
<td>2164</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>LN=HN-150 kg N ha</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fontaine et al. (2009)</td>
<td>RIL 137–221</td>
<td></td>
<td>197 (SSR)</td>
<td>3285</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>LN HN</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habib et al. (2007)</td>
<td>RIL 91</td>
<td>CS × SQ1</td>
<td>494 (SSR + GS loci)</td>
<td>3522</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>LN HN</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garcia-Suarez et al. (2010)</td>
<td>RIL 114</td>
<td>W7984 × Opata85</td>
<td>475 (DArT, SSR, SNP)</td>
<td>2344</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>LN=HN-150 kg N ha</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bogard et al. (2011)</td>
<td>RIL 140</td>
<td>Toisondor × CF9107</td>
<td>475 (DArT, SSR, SNP)</td>
<td>2344</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>LN=HN-150 kg N ha</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bogard et al. (2013)</td>
<td>3 DH 80</td>
<td>Toisondor × Quebon</td>
<td></td>
<td>741</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>LN=HN-150 kg N ha</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+80 +140</td>
<td>Toisondor × CF9107</td>
<td></td>
<td>2510</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>LN=HN-150 kg N ha</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bordes et al. (2013)</td>
<td>Panel 196</td>
<td>Core collection</td>
<td>899 (DArT, SSR, SNP)</td>
<td>3667</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>LN=HN-150 kg N ha</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cormier et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Panel 214</td>
<td>Commercial varieties</td>
<td>23 603 SNP</td>
<td>3667</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>LN=HN-150 kg N ha</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HN, high nitrogen; LN, low nitrogen.
Exploiting heterosis

F1 hybrid wheat cultivars have been regularly registered in Central Europe, which represents more than half of the world’s hybrid wheat production (Longin et al. 2012). Commercial hybrids may be produced with chemical hybridizing agents, which induce male sterility when applied at the right stage, but also based on photoperiod sensitivity or on cytoplasmic male sterility. Limits to the use of F1 hybrids are the cost of the seed, related to the difficulty to produce them on a regular basis, coupled with the absence of high heterosis for yield.

However, hybrids may show particular characteristics for abiotic stress tolerance and NUE. Limited but consistent best parent heterosis has been reported for grain yield under high yielding conditions, for example +4.3% for 10 hybrids (Borgh et al. 1988), +7.3% for 17 hybrids (Brels et al. 1988), +3.6% for 430 hybrids (Morgan et al. 1989) in experiments conducted in field plots. On average, in Europe, in five studies, Longin et al. (2012) reported mid-parent heterosis around 10%, ranging from 3.5% to 15.0%. It was also reported that the hybrids are more stable than pure lines (Mühläsen et al. 2014), indicating a higher tolerance to abiotic stresses.

Perezin et al. (1998) and Oury et al. (1994, 1995) reported either a higher grain protein content of the hybrids for the same yield or the same protein content despite a higher grain yield. These results suggest a higher NUE and N uptake for hybrids compared to pure lines. Some studies also showed that best parent heterosis was higher at low N level than at high N level (Le Gouis and Pluchard 1996, Le Gouis et al. 2002). This was, however, not confirmed by Kindred and Gooding (2005) who used four commercial hybrids and observed a significant heterosis only at high N level. Le Gouis et al. (2002) observed a best parent heterosis for total N at anthesis and harvest, meaning a better N uptake, while Kindred and Gooding (2004) reported only little heterosis for total above-ground N, but an increased N utilization efficiency. Mid-parent heterosis for N uptake at flowering and maturity could be related to a more efficient root system. Indeed, heterosis was shown for different root characteristics such as root length, root dry matter and root surface area (Kraljevic-Balalic et al. 1988, Wang et al. 2006).

Conclusion

NUE is complex and is determined by a wide diversity of physiological traits. Consequently, breeding for enhanced NUE can be achieved through selection on several components. However, compensations and regulations are numerous and dependent on the N regimes, genotypes and developmental stage, leading to difficulties to create efficient NUE phenotypes. Nevertheless, ‘omics and association studies’ provided interesting results allowing to prioritize routes for improvement. Moreover, high-throughput genotyping combined with the development of high-throughput phenotyping methods will accelerate research in a wide diversity of environments and genotypes.
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