

Photo 1.

Covered dock, made with wooden poles in radiata pine (*Pinus insignis*) treated with CCA (Chromated Copper Arsenate), Nouméa, New Caledonia, France. Photo K. Candelier.

033: Scotes pine (Pinus sylvestris) after 1 year 025: Acaria (Minguartia guianensis) after 22 years

072: Azobe/Ekki (Lophira alata) after 8 years 322: Angelique (Dicorynia guianensis) after 6 years

Photo 2.

Tropical woods tested in a marine environment, since 1999 and in agreement with the guidelines of EN 275 (1992), at the Kristineberg marine research station in Sweden (Westin and Brelid 2022). Photo M. Westin & P. L. Brelid.

Photo 3.

Use of tropical woods in hydraulic works: installation of an Azobe lock gate. Photo Wijma company (Deventer, Netherlands), from Gérard & Groutel (2020).

Tropical woods in hydraulic works and marine constructions

Wood structures used for marine applications are exposed to harsh environments in coastal areas (Tsinker 1995). These woods are often subjected to severe degradation conditions caused by significant mechanical loads (weight, waves, shock of fragments, etc.), abrasion, and especially by numerous biological agents that degrade wood (Treu et al. 2019). Whether in contact with saltwater, brackish water (estuaries, lagoons) or freshwater, and depending on their level of immersion, woods are subjected to many attacks from pathogens such as bacteria, fungi, insects, and marine borers (Oevering et al. 2001; Cragg et al. 2007; Can and Sivrikaya 2020). In salt or brackish waters, mollusks and marine borers are the main agents of degradation for wood used in submerged structures (Fouquet 2009). Despite its biodegradability, wood is a material of interest for marine construction due to its renewable nature, resilience, favourable strength-to-weight ratio, shock-absorbing ability, and flexibility in manufacturing, design and repair (Williams et al. 2005). In this sense, the use of wood in marine environments competes with other materials such as steel or concrete.

In the past, chemical treatments were applied to wood to obtain a product covering use class 5 (EN 335 2013; EN 350 2016), to protect it against biotic attacks and extend its lifespan in marine environments (photo 1). However, the negative impact of these biocidal treatments, based on creosote or chromated copper arsenate (CCA), on human health and the environment (Mercer and Frostick 2012, Martin et al. 2021) has led to their ban in Europe and their extended restriction in the United-States of America since 2003^{1, 2}. Many research efforts have focused on alternative treatment solutions based on alkaline copper quaternary (ACQ) (Hellkamp 2012; Humar et al. 2013), 1,3-dimethylol-4,5-dihydroxyethylene urea (DMDHEU), methylated melamine resin (MMF), acetic anhydride, formaldehyde-based phenolic resin (PF), or furfuryl alcohol (Klüppel et al. 2014; Westin et al. 2016, Galore et al. 2023). However, currently available wood modification technologies mainly concern niche products that are costly, limiting their use to higher value-added products (Treu et al., 2019). As of now, no wood preservation product is approved in Europe for marine applications. New methods must meet efficiency requirements against degrading organisms while avoiding harmful side effects.

Some tropical species are traditionally used in port works in tropical and/or temperate areas, because they are considered resistant to marine borers, naturally covering use class 5 (wood regularly or permanently immersed in salt water, sea water or brackish water): Angelim Vermelho, Azobe, Greenheart, Okan, Wallaba³.... However, markets for some of these species appear strained with irregular supply that encourages turning to new species (photo 2) with at least

¹ Official Journal of the European Communities Commission, Directive 2003/2/EC of 6th January 2003, Clause (3).
² United States Environment Protection Agency, https://www.epa.gov/ingredients-used-pesticide-products/c

² United States Environment Protection Agency, https://www.epa.gov/ingredients-used-pesticide-products/chromated-arsenicals-cca, consulted the 02/10/2024.

³ Respectively *Dinizia excelsa*, *Lophira alata*, *Chlorocardium rodiei*, *Cylicodiscus gabunensis*, and *Eperua* p.p.

5

equivalent properties. The natural resistance of new species to marine borers must be validated through laboratory experiments or real-use conditions to positively contribute to the use of tropical woods in marine structures.

Lesser-known tropical wood species are difficult to promote due to the lack of reliable test data on their performance, particularly their natural durability. The resistance of these new species to marine borer attacks now needs to be checked in the laboratory or through experiments under real conditions of use, to contribute positively to the use of tropical woods in marine structures (photo 3).

There is also an observed evolution in the attacks on wood materials by marine borers migrating northward in relation to warming sea waters and an expansion of the natural area of these microorganisms (supposedly linked to global warming, Zarzyczny et al. 2023) (figure 1). This evolution impacts the behaviour of traditionally used woods in marine environments, some species considered highly durable are proving to be less resistant than others so far disregarded for this type of use (Palanti et al. 2015; Williams et al. 2018).

Current knowledge about wood resistance against biological attacks in marine context is therefore partially called into question. This natural resistance is thought to be linked to some characteristics (Gérard and Groutel 2020), such as (1) fine grain coupled with high specific gravity; (2) high silica content; (3) presence of repellent chemical compounds (= secondary metabolites) in wood.

In fact, most woods used for hydraulic structures in the marine environment have an average specific gravity of over 0.75, and this average specific gravity is most often over 0.85 (figure 2).

There is still a need (i) to better understand how and why marine woodborers attack wood, and (ii) to focus more closely on the different species of woodborer and their degradation ways in relation to the nature of the woods tested. Setting up permanent and temporary test sites would make it possible to monitor the pressure and distribution of marine species and the evolution of related risks for wood materials.

Jean Gérard1*, Marie-France Thévenon1, Emmanuel Groutel2 , Kévin Candelier1****

* Scientific Editor, ** Editors-in-Chief of the journal *Bois et Forêts des Tropiques*.

¹ Cirad, UPR BioWooEB, ² Wale, Wood And Logistics Expert. DOI: https://doi.org/10.19182/bft2024.360.a37571

Figure 1.

Geographical areas where "tropicalisation" has been identified. The red upward arrow indicates an increase in tropical marine species and the blue downward arrow means a reduction in temperate species (Zarzyczny et al. 2023).

References

Can A., Sivrikaya H., 2020. Evaluation of Marine Wood Boring Organism's Attack on Wood Materials in the Black Sea Coastal Region. Bioresources, 15 (2): 4271-4281. https://doi.org/10.15376/ biores.15.2.4271-4281

Cragg S. M., Danjon C., Mansfield-Williams H., 2007. Contribution of hardness to the natural resistance of a range of wood species to attack by the marine borer *Limnoria*. Holzforschung, 61 (2): 201-206. https://doi.org/10.1515/HF.2007.035

EN 275, 1992. Standard Current Wood preservatives. Determination of the protective effectiveness against marine borers. European Standardization System, Bruxelles, Belgium, 25 p.

EN 335, 2013. Durability of wood and wood-based products – Use classes: definitions, application to solid wood and wood-based products. European Standardization System, Belgium, 17 p.

EN 350, 2016. Standard Current Durability of wood and wood-based products - Testing and classification of the durability to biological agents of wood and wood-based materials. European Standardization System, Belgium, 69 p.

Fouquet D., 2009. Durabilité naturelle et préservation des bois tropicaux. Éditions Quae, Collection Guide pratique, 128 p.

Galore E. L. D., Norton J., Zahora A., 2023. Long-term Performance of Treated Timbers in a Sub-tropical Marine Exposure. IRG54 annual conference, 05-28/06-01, Cairns, Australia, IRG/WP 23-11015. https://irg-wp.com/irgdocs/details. php?2b215f31-0afa-2040-0c9d-5b7a226d386d

Gérard J., Groutel E., 2020. Timber for hydraulic structures [In French]. France, ATIBT, 18 p. https://www.atibt.org/files/ upload/technical-publications/publications-bois-tropical/14-LES-BOIS-POUR-OUVRAGES-HYDRAULIQUES.pdf

Hellkamp S., 2012. Wood in marine structures – Fouling organisms in sea water, T*eredo* ssp. and *Limnoria* ssp. Live cycle, attack pattern, and consequences for wood structures [In German]. Deutsche Holzschutztagung [German Wood Protection Symposium], Cuvillier, Germany, 46-51.

Humar M., Petric M., Adamek J., Lesar B., 2013. Performance of untreated wood and wood impregnated with copper-ethanolamine

based preservative solutions in Northern Adriatic Sea. IRG44 annual conference, 16-20/06, Sweden, IRG/WP 13-30623. https://irg-wp. com/irgdocs/details.php?3548ce15-1f03-34bd-b291-6f4c3eb5cff2

Klüppel A., Mai C., Militz H., Cragg S., 2014. Performance of some wood modification treatments against marine borers. IRG45 annual conference, 11-15/05, St George, Utah, USA, 14-40668. https://www.irg-wp.com/irgdocs/details. php?4275c5e2-aef4-4a06-324d-afca136ecdec

Martin L. S., Jelavić S., Cragg S. M., Thygesen L. G., 2021. Furfurylation protects timber from degradation by marine wood boring crustaceans. Green Chemistry, 23: 8003-8015. https://doi. org/10.1039/D1GC01524A

Mercer T. G., Frostick L. E., 2012. Leaching characteristics of CCAtreated wood waste: A UK study. Science of The Total Environment, 427-428: 165-174. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.04.008

Oevering P., Matthews B. J., Cragg S. M., Pitman A. J., 2001. Invertebrate biodeterioration of marine timbers above mean sea level along the coastlines of England and Wales. International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation, 47 (3): 175-181. https://doi. org/10.1016/S0964-8305(01)00046-4

Palanti S., Feci E., Anichini M., 2015. Comparison between four tropical wood species for their resistance to marine borers (*Teredo* spp and *Limnoria* spp) in the Strait of Messina. International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation, 104: 472-476. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2015.07.013

Treu A., Zimmer K., Brischke C., Larnoy E., Gobakken L.R., Aloui F., Cragg S.M., et al., 2019. Durability and protection of timber structures in marine environments in Europe: An overview. Bioresources, 14 (4): 10161-10184. https://doi.org/10.15376/biores.14.4.Treu

Tsinker G. P., 1995. The dock-in-service: Evaluation of load carrying capacity, repair, rehabilitation. Marine Structures Engineering: Specialized Applications, Springer, USA, 1-104. https://doi. org/10.1007/978-1-4615-2081-8

Westin M., Brelid P. L., Nilsson T., Rapp A. O., Dickerson J. P., Lande S., et al., 2016. Marine Borer Resistance of Acetylated and Furfurylated Wood - Results from up to 16 years of Field Exposure. IRG47 annual conference, 15-19/05, Lisbon, Portugal, IRG/WP 16-40756. https://www.irg-wp.com/irgdocs/details. https://www.irg-wp.com/irgdocs/details. php?3d10b0b4-411a-32f2-b392-0d9aa4644a09

> Westin M., Brelid P. L., 2022. Field tests of different tropical wood species in sea water. IRG54 annual conference, 29-05/02-06, Bled, Slovenia, IRG/WP 22-30773. https:// irg-wp.com/irgdocs/details.php?61d6cc04 f1b3-d893-b370-26ea38c48614

> Williams J. R., Sawyer G. S., Cragg S. M., Simm J., 2005. A questionnaire survey to establish the perceptions of UK specifiers concerning the key material attributes of timer for use in marine and fresh water engineering. Journal of the Institute of Wood Science, 17 (1): 41-50. https://doi. org/10.1179/wsc.2005.17.1.41

> Williams J. R., Sawyer G. S., Cragg S. M., Icely J. D., Simm J., Meaden M., et al., 2018. Evaluating less-used timber species for marine construction. Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers - Construction Materials, 171 (4): 134-148. https://doi. org/10.1680/jcoma.15.00065

> Zarzyczny K. M., Rius M., Williams S. T., Fenberg P. B., 2024. The ecological and evolutionary consequences of topicalization. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 39 (3): 267-279. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.tree.2023.10.006

0.6 0.65 0.7 0.75 0.8 0.85 0.9 0.95 1 1.05 1.1 Determa Teak Makore Opepe Basralocus Garapa African Padauk Bagasse Angelin Itauba Moabi Wallaba Yellow balau Okan Wacapou Mukulungu Greenheart Ipe Alep Ekki Angelim vermelho Congotali Bulletwood **Specific gravity (at 12% moisture content)**

Figure 2.

Distribution of specific gravity of the main commercial woods naturally covering use class 5 (wood immersed in salt water on a regular or permanent basis), source: Tropix (Gérard & Groutel 2020).