


WORLD COTTON RESEARCH CONFERENCE-3



COTTON PRODUCTION FOR THE NEW MILLENNIUM



9 - 13 MARCH 2003 • CAPE TOWN, RSA



Monsanto is proud to be associated with WCRC-3



Cape Town, South Africa, 9-13 March 2003

Chief editor
A Swanepoel

Scientific editors

Dr Samuel Alabi	Nigeria	Breeding
Dr Sarel Broodryk	South Africa	Entomology
Dr Roy Cantrell	USA	Breeding
Dr Greg Constable	Australia	Breeding
Dr John Gorham	UK	Physiology/Biochemistry
Dr Kater Hake	USA	Biotechnology
Dr Rory Hillocks	UK	Plant pathology
Dr Lawrence Hunter	South Africa	Fiber quality
Dr Geoff McIntyre	Australia	Irrigation/Water stress
Dr Jodi McLean	Australia	Agronomy
Dr Mustafa	Sudan	Breeding
Dr Bruce Pyke	USA	Extension
Dr Derek Russell	UK	Entomology
Dr Shuki Saranga	Israel	Agronomy
Ms Jeannie Van Biljon	South Africa	Nematology

Managing editor
A Swanepoel



Cataloging in Publication Entry

World Cotton Research Conference (3rd: 2003: Cape Town, South Africa)

Proceedings of the World Cotton Research Conference-3:

Cotton production for the new millennium: Submitted papers. Cape Town, South Africa, 9-13 March, 2003.

Chief editor: A. Swanepoel

1. Cotton – Research – Conference
- I. Swanepoel, A. (Annette)

Printed in Pretoria, South Africa, May 2004.

Publisher: Agricultural Research Council - Institute for Industrial Crops

Layout and design: D.Comm

Print: D.Comm

In preparing the proceedings of the World Cotton Research Conference-3, the editors have made a good faith effort to avoid any errors, omissions or other editing mistakes in the process of converting presentations and papers into these proceedings. However, the editors cannot ensure against all such errors.



Cape Town, South Africa, 9-13 March 2003

ORGANISING COMMITTEE

International organizing committee

Dr Terry P Townsend (Chairman)	Executive Director of the International Cotton Advisory Committee
Dr Jean-Philippe Deguine	Deputy Director, CIRAD-CA, France
Peter Griffie	Plant Production and Protection Division, FAO, Italy
Dr Francisco Davila-Ricciardi	President, CONALGODON, Columbia
Dr Andrew Jordan	Technical Director, National Cotton Council of America, USA
Dr Joe CB Kablssa	General Manager, Tanzanian Cotton Lint and Seed Board, Tanzania
Dr Abdusattor Abdukarimov	Director General, Institute of Genetics & Plant Exp. Biology, Uzbekistan
Mr Ralph Schulze (Chairman WCRC-1)	Executive Director, Cotton Research & Development Corporation, Australia
Dr Kiratso Kosmidou-Dimitropoulou (Chairman WCRC-2)	Director, Hellenic Cotton Board, Greece
Dr Deon Joubert (Chairman WCRC-3)	Director, ARC Institute for Industrial Crops, South Africa

National organizing committee

Chairman	Dr Deon Joubert, Director ARC Institute for Industrial Crops
Secretary	Ms Jeannie van Biljon, Snr Researcher, ARC Institute for Industrial Crops
Members	Mr Hennie Bruwer, CEO Cotton SA Mr Hein Schroder, Quality Control Cotton SA Mr Chris Nolte, Clark Cotton



SPONSORS

ABSA
Agricultural Research Council
CIRAD-CA
Clark Cotton
Cotton SA
CTA
D&PL International
Danida
deNim
FAO
Frame Textiles
GTZ
ICAC
Monsanto
Rockefeller Foundation
SA Cotton Trust
SACTMA
SBH Cotton Mills



Scientific Committee

Prof Lawrence Hunter	Divisional Fellow and Leader: Scientific and Technical Excellence, Division of Manufacturing and Materials Technology of the CSIR and Professor Extraordinary and Head of the post-graduate Department of Textile Science , University of Port Elizabeth
Prof Sakkie Pretorius	Professor and chairperson – Department of Plant Sciences, University of the Free State
Ms Annette Swanepoel	Senior researcher – ARC-Institute for Industrial Crops
Dr Martie Botha	Senior researcher – ARC-Institute for Industrial Crops
Dr Frans Weitz	Plant systematist – Department of Biodiversity and Conservation Biology, University of Western Cape
Dr Deon Joubert	Director – ARC-Institute for Industrial Crops
Dr Chris Steenkamp	Consultant
Dr Sarel Broodryk	IPM Advisor
Prof Maryke Labuschagne	Professor, Department of Plant Sciences, University of the Free State
Dr Graham Thompson	Assistant Director, ARC-Vegetable and Ornamental Plants Institute
Mr Jean-Luc Hof	Researcher – Department of Plant Production and Soil Science, University of Pretoria
Prof Charles Reinhardt	Professor and Head of the Department – Plant Production and Soil Science, University of Pretoria



**Plant population model versus
average plant model in discrete
process simulation: The case of
cotton fruits abscission by
COTONS® model**

M. Cretenet¹, P. Martin¹, E. Jallas¹, R. Sequeira² and J. Jean¹.

¹ CIRAD, Montpellier FRANCE

² USDA-APHIS-CPHST, Raleigh NC U.S.A

Correspondence author michel.cretenet@cirad.fr

ABSTRACT

Sink-source balance for carbohydrate at the cotton plant level involves the fruit shedding process as the main mean for the cotton plant to adjust his demand to the offer. Obviously cotton crop simulation in a material balance approach needs to take in account the fruiting sites abscission process. The COTONS® model simulates cotton plant squares, blooms and bolls shedding as (i) a discrete process in a "plant population" simulation mode, and as (ii) a continuous process in an "average plant" simulation mode. This study compares field observations with the output data respectively in "average plant" and in "plant population" simulation modes. The model "is late" in both simulation modes: later model growth cut-out compared to observed data. This results from an over estimation of square abscission and under estimation of boll abscission by the model. In preferring square abscission the model favors vegetative growth because of higher sink force for boll compared to square. This is mainly the case in plant average simulation mode with the right simulated number of open bolls; even the green bolls simulated number is widely under estimated. At the opposite, the total number of squares and bolls abscissions in plant population simulation mode is well estimated in spite of a widely over estimated number of open bolls. Simulating the abscission process as a discrete process in plant population simulation mode seems to decrease the differences in cut-out delay, in green bolls number and in abscission number estimations. To improve cotton growth and development simulations, especially in fruit abscission process, the COTONS® model needs to be calibrable in plant population simulation mode respecting the discrete character of the simulated process.

Introduction

Sink-source balance for carbohydrate at the cotton plant level involves the fruit abscission process as the main mean for the plant to adjust his instantaneous "demand" to the offer. The "choice of the organs to be dropped" for demand adjustment to the amount of available assimilates, occurs according to a scale of fruit sensitivity for shedding which is variety specific. Obviously cotton crop simulation in a material balance approach needs to take into account fruiting sites abscission process. The COTONS® model (Jallas *et al.*, 1999) simulates cotton plant squares, blooms and bolls shedding as (i) a discrete process in the "plant popula-

tion" simulation mode, and as (ii) a continuous process in the "average plant" simulation mode. In the second mode of simulation, the sink force assessment for a fruiting site takes into account the cumulative reductions of the potential growth due to carbon stresses affected previously to this fruit. Whereas the seed-cotton weight simulated for a specific site is interpreted as a percentage of plants having still an open boll on this position, by comparison with the potential boll weight. This study compares field observations with the output data respectively in "average plant" and in "plant population" simulation modes for the COTONS® model.

Experimental procedure

Data were collected from an experimental design at Montpellier (42°60' N; 3°90' E; 2000 season). Daily meteorological data include solar radiation, minimum and maximum air temperatures, rainfall and wind speed. Soil analysis data (by layer up to 2 m depth) concern soil texture (for hydraulic properties estimation), mineral nitrogen content (NO_3^- ; NH_4^+), carbon content and soil humidity. Plant data were collected from 2 x 32 m² plots sown on 19 May with DES 119 cotton variety. Two times five tagged plants were mapped two times each (15 days interval) from 16 August (85 DAE) to 22nd September (122 DAE). Data collected by plant mapping consist of plant height, number of main stem nodes, number of vegetative branches, number of fruiting branches, position and vein length of each leaf (for plant leaf area estimation based on allometric relationship), status (abscised, square, bloom, green boll and open boll) of each fruiting site. Number, weight and position of bolls harvested 181 DAE complemented the 10 tagged plants dataset, but did not participate in model calibration. Five-point moving averages ("observed data" in all figures) were calculated from time series corresponding to the 20 plant mappings (85 to 122 DAE). An eight variables x by 10 moving averages dataset is used for COTONS® model calibration, i.e. determination of 35 (variety specific) parameters values, by running a genetic algorithm which maximize the fitness between simulated ("average plant" mode) and observed data (moving averages).

Results and Discussion

The model "is late" in both simulation modes: squares and bolls appear later in simulations than in reality (Figures 2 and 3). This delay in simulating corresponds also to later cut-out in growth by the model: late increase of fruiting sites number compared to observed data (Figure 1). This could result from over estimation of square abscission and under estimation of boll abscission by the model. In preferring square abscission the model favors vegetative growth because of higher sink force for boll compared to square.

This is mainly the case in plant average simula-

tion mode with the right simulated number of open bolls in November; even the simulated number of green bolls in September is widely under estimated (Figure 3). At the opposite, the total number of squares and bolls abscissions in plant population simulation mode is well estimated even at the end of the season (Figure 4) in spite of a widely over estimated number of open bolls in November (Figure 3). The main differences between simulated and observed data seem to result from model's over estimation of square abscission. Simulating the abscission process as a discrete process in plant population simulation mode seems to decrease the differences in cut-out delay (Figure 1), in green bolls number (Figure 3) and in abscission number (Figure 4).

lations, especially in fruit abscission process, the COTONS® model needs to be calibrable in plant population simulation mode respecting the discrete character of the simulated processes.

References

- Jallas, E., Martin, P., Sequeira, R., Turner, S., Cretenet, M. and Gérardaux, E. (1999). Virtual COTONS®, the Firstborn of the Next Generation of Simulation Model. In Proceedings of 1999 Beltwide cotton Conferences National Cotton Council of America, Memphis. Pp 393:396.

Conclusion

To improve cotton growth and development simu-

Figure 1.
Plant leaf area dm^2 (in black) and plant fruiting sites number (in gray), plant population mode (solid line), average plant mode (dotted line) and observed data (symbols).




Figure 2.
Plant squares number. Plant population mode (solid line); average plant mode (dotted line) and observed data (symbols).




Figure 3.
Plant bolls number.
Plant population mode (solid line), average plant mode (dotted line) and observed data (symbols).




Figure 4.
Plant abscissions number. Plant population mode (solid line), average plant mode (dotted line) and observed data (symbols).

