

INCO COTONBIOMAT - WP5

Characterization of films obtained by dry technologies



Joël Grevellec, <u>Laurent Ferry</u>, *Ecole des Mines d'Alès* Alain Crespy, *Université de Toulon et du Var* Christian Aymard, Jérôme Lecomte, Catherine Marquié, *CIRAD Montpellier*



Outlines

- **1. Introduction**
- 2. Methodology
- 3. Processing
- 4. Study of plasticization
- 5. Hygroscopicity
- 6. Permeability
- 7. Mechanical properties
- 8. Conclusions



Introduction

Objectives

- Manufacturing of biodegradable materials from cottonseed cakes
 - Using dry technologies : extrusion, injection-moulding
 - Applications :
 - Films (mulching, silage,greenhouse)
 - Bags
 - Pots or other containers...
- Understanding of molecular mechanisms governing processing, structure and properties of the materials

According to S. Guilbert GFP Volume 13, Chapître 8

Introduction

Protein processing







Methodology









→ Delipidated flours / Delipidated flours + shells

→ Delipidated flours / Partially delipidated flours

→Glandless delipidated flours / glanded delipidated flours

Processing

Cakes or flours preparation

- Grinding
- Sieving at 400 µm
- Plasticization
 - 3 plasticizers
 - Glycerol (C₃H₈O₃)
 - Triethanol amine (C₆H₁₅NO₃)
 - Polyethylene glycol (HO-(CH₂CH₂O)₄-H)
 - 0, 10, 20% w/w
 - Internal mixer
 - Incorporation of plasticizers at room temperature
 - Progressive heating up to 90°C
- Film processing
 - Thermocompression
 - P = 50 bars
 - T = 120°C





92 g/mol 149 g/mol 194 g/mol



Processing



Processing

• Raw matter



Flours





Thermocompressed films







Results on cotton protein isolate



 Plasticization is necessary to obtain a large processing range



10

Study of plasticization

Influence of plasticizer content



- Plasticizing effect increases in the sense PEG, TEA, glycerol
- Evolution of glass transition well described by Couchman-Karasz relation

$$Tg = \frac{x_1 \Delta Cp_1 Tg_1 + x_2 \Delta Cp_2 Tg_2}{x_1 \Delta Cp_1 + x_2 \Delta Cp_2}$$



Influence of the plasticizer type



- Plasticization is directly related to the number of hydroxyl groups supplied by the plasticizer
- The native structure of proteins being stabilized by hydrogen bonds, its disorganization implies OH groups



Influence of lipids





unplasticized

Plasticized by 20% glycerol

No noticeable plasticizing effect related to the presence of lipids



Influence of humidity



- Presence of water
 - Due the polar feature of cottonseed protein
 - Contributes to plasticization
 - Non ideal plasticizer because water content varies with RH and T



- Experimental Set up
 - Films are ground and dried
 - Conditioning in humidity-controlled hermetic container
 - Saturated solution of LiCl 13% HR
 - Saturated solution of MgCl₂ 33% HR
 - Saturated solution of SrCl₂ 73% HR
 - Weighing of samples versus time







Influence of plasticizer



- Hygroscopicity increases with increasing plasticizer content
- Hygroscopicity increases in the sense PEG, TEA, glycerol



• Influence of plasticizer



- Hygroscopicity is directly related to the amount of hydroxyl groups supplied by the plasticizer
- Use of another family of plasticizers ?



Influence of lipids



Hygroscopicity decreases when lipid content increases

Permeability to water vapor





Permeability=

$\Delta W(g) \times e(m)$ A(m²) x t(s) x $\Delta P(Pa)$





Permeability to water vapor

Influence of lipids





Film from non delipidated flours (35%lipids)



Influence of plasticizers



DMTA

Tensile test

- Tensile strength decreases
- Elongation at break increases



Influence of plasticizers

Conditioning RH= 56%



- When plasticizer content increases
 - E decreases
 - Tensile strength decreases
 - Elongation at break increases







- When RH increases :
 - E decreases
 - Tensile strength decreases
 - Elongation at break is not strongly affected but remains lower than 30%



Influence of lipids



- In the presence of lipids
 - Low value of elasticity modulus → Poor cohesion



- Influence of lipids
 - ESEM observations



- Films are porous due to a phase separation (lipid-protein) induced by T and P during processing
 - Low elastic modulus
 - High permeability



Influence of shells



 Above 2%, shells cause a decrease of mechanical properties



Influence of shells



- Shells induce weak points where the fracture is initiated
- Mechanism similar to that observed with mineral fillers in synthetic polymers



Influence of processing conditions

P = 50 bars



- Above 90°C, cohesion of films is better
- At 140°C; elongation at break decreases



Evolution of protein structure during processing

- Temperature and Pressure play a role in protein unfolding
- IR spectroscopy may highlight protein structure changes
 - Amide I band is sensitive to globule-coil transition



Smeller L. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta, 1595 (2002) 11-29



Torrent J., Rubens P., Ribo M. Heremans K., Vilanova M., Protein Science Vol. 10, (2001)725–734 **28**



Relation structure-processability

Results



 Necessity to combine pressure and temperature to obtain films with a good cohesion

Conclusion



- Processing cotton protein films using dry technologies
 - Destructuration of proteins using plasticizers
 - Efficiency of plasticizers depends on the number of OH groups supplied by the plasticizer
 - Glycérol > TEA > PEG 200
 - No evident plasticizing effect of lipids
 - Necessity to combine pressure and temperature

Functional properties

- Hygroscopicity
 - Increases with the amount of OH groups supplied by the plasticizer
 - Glycérol > TEA > PEG 200
 - Is reduced in the presence of lipids
- Mechanical properties
 - When plasticizing efficiency increases, E decreases and Ar decreases
 - The presence of lipids induces a poor cohesion in films
 - Elongation at break remains low compared to LDPE