

Tropentag 2012

International Research on Food Security, Natural
Resource Management and Rural Development

Resilience of agricultural systems against crises

Book of abstracts

Editor: Eric Tielkes

Reviewers/scientific committee: Renate Bürger-Arndt, Andreas Bürkert,
Claus Peter Czerny, Alexandra zum Felde, Jóska Gerendás, Oliver Hensel,
Dirk Hölscher, Christian Hülsebusch, Brigitte Kaufmann, Christoph Kleinn,
Ronald Kühne, Christian Lambertz, Björn Niere, Zbynek Polesny,
Eva Schlecht, Anthony Whitbread, Meike Wollni

Editorial assistance: Mareike Aufderheide, Clemens Voigts

Impressum

Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Nationalbibliothek

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über <http://dnb.ddb.de> abrufbar.

Die Deutsche Bibliothek — Cataloguing in Publication-Data (CIP)

Tropentag 2011, Book of Abstracts

Biophysical and Socio-economic Frame Conditions for the Sustainable Management of Natural Resources: International research on food security, natural resource management and rural development, Hamburg.

Hrsg.: Eric Tielkes

ISBN: 978-3-9801686-7-0

Online-Version:

<http://www.tropentag.de>

Satz:

L^AT_EX 2 ϵ

Verlag:

© DITSL GmbH, Witzenhausen, Germany / <http://www.ditsl.org>
German Institute for Agriculture in the Tropics and Subtropics

Druck:

Print & Mail (PRIMA), Allendeplatz 1, 20146 Hamburg

Oktober 2011 - 1. Auflage

Alle Rechte vorbehalten. Ohne ausdrückliche Genehmigung der Hrsg. ist es nicht gestattet, das Buch oder Teile daraus auf fotomechanischem Weg (Fotokopie, Mikrokopie) zu vervielfältigen.

The authors of the articles are solely responsible for the content of their contribution. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means without prior permission of the copyright owners.

Adoption Potential of Conservation Agriculture in Sub-Saharan Africa

HYCENTH TIM NDAH¹, JOHANNES SCHULER¹, SANDRA UTHES¹, PETER ZANDER¹, KARIM TRAORE², MPHATSO-S GAMA³, ISAIAH NYAGUMBO⁴, BERNARD TRIOMPHE⁵, MARC CORBEELS⁵

¹*Leibniz Centre for Agricultural Landscape Research (ZALF), Institute of Socio Economics, Germany*

²*Institut de l'Environnement et de Recherches Agricoles (INERA), Production Ecology and Resources Conservation, Burkina Faso*

³*Ministry of Agriculture and Food Security, Malawi*

⁴*International Maize and Wheat Improvement Centre (CIMMYT), Zimbabwe*

⁵*Centre de Coopération Internationale en Recherche Agronomique pour le Développement (CIRAD), France*

In a continent facing a fast increasing population, smallholder farming in Africa is exposed to double challenge: 1) to increase food production and, 2) to preserve natural resources. While conventional tillage-based agriculture has been held accountable for soil degradation, Conservation Agriculture (CA) based on minimal or no-tillage is increasingly seen as a promising alternative for highly productive and sustainable farming. Despite its potential, CA adoption rates in Africa, compared with other continents, have remained extremely low. While literature on adoption constraints is abundant, comprehensive, holistic frameworks and tools for explaining or predicting adoption are still lacking. In particular, such frameworks and tools could help in assessing systematically under which ecological, socio-economic and institutional conditions CA is best suited for smallholder farming in Africa and for its scaling up. The objective of this contribution therefore is to demonstrate how a newly developed Qualitative expert-based Assessment Tool (QAToCA) was applied in case studies across Malawi, Burkina Faso, and Zimbabwe; 1) to determine the Relative Adoption Potential (RAP) of CA, 2) to assess the institutional, agro-ecological, socio-economic and cultural influences on the RAP of CA, and 3) to determine the site-specific hindering and supporting factors to the RAP of CA for the different case studies. Results show that for the two south African case studies, Malawi has a high RAP for CA while Zimbabwe has a much lower potential. On the other hand the two case studies in south western and northern Burkina Faso both showed a relatively high adoption potential of CA. Major differences in adoption potential are explained by economic market incentives, prevailing institutional arrangements as well as some biophysical incentives.

Keywords: Adoption potential, Burkina Faso, conservation agriculture, Malawi, Zimbabwe

Contact Address: Hycenth Tim Ndah, Leibniz Centre for Agricultural Landscape Research (ZALF), Institute of Socio Economics, Eberswalder Strasse 84, 15374 Müncheberg, Germany, e-mail: ndah@zalf.de