Improving rice models for more reliable prediction of responses of rice yield to CO₂ and temperature elevation

 $\frac{T. \operatorname{Li}^{1,\dagger}-X. \ \operatorname{Yin}^{2,\ddagger,*}-T. \ \operatorname{Hasegawa}^{3,\ddagger,*}-K. \ \operatorname{Boote}^{4,\ddagger}-Y. \ \operatorname{Zhu}^{5,\ddagger}-M. \ \operatorname{Adam}^{6,21,22}-J. \ \operatorname{Baker}^{7}-B. \ \operatorname{Bouman}^{1}-S. \ \operatorname{Bregaglio}^{8}-S. \ \operatorname{Buis}^{9}-R. \ \operatorname{Confalonieri}^{8}-J. \ \operatorname{Fugice}^{10}-T. \ \operatorname{Fumoto}^{2}-D. \ \operatorname{Gaydon}^{11}-S. \ \operatorname{N.} \ \operatorname{Kumar}^{12}-T. \ \operatorname{Lafarge}^{6}-M. \ \operatorname{Marcaida}^{1}-Y. \ \operatorname{Masutomi}^{13}-H. \ \operatorname{Nakagawa}^{14}-D. \ \operatorname{N.} \ \operatorname{L.} \ \operatorname{Pequeno}^{4}-A. \ \operatorname{C.} \ \operatorname{Ruane}^{15}-F. \ \operatorname{Ruget}^{9}-U. \ \operatorname{Singh}^{10}-L. \ \operatorname{Tang}^{7}-F. \ \operatorname{Tao}^{16}-D. \ \operatorname{Wallach}^{17}-L. \ \operatorname{T.} \ \operatorname{Wilson}^{18}-Y. \ \operatorname{Yang}^{18}-H. \ \operatorname{Yoshida}^{14}-Z. \ \operatorname{Zhang}^{19}-J. \ \operatorname{Zhu}^{20}$

¹International Rice Research Institute, Los Baños, Philippines; ²Centre for Crop Systems Analysis, Wageningen University, the Netherlands; ⁵National Institute for Agro-Environmental Sciences, Japan; ⁴University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA; 5 National Engineering and Technology Center for Information Agriculture, Jiangsu Collaborative Innovation Center for Modern Crop Production, Nanjing Agricultural University, China; ⁶CIRAD, UMR AGAP, F-34398 Montpellier, France; ¹⁷United States Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Big Spring, Texas, USA; ⁸University of Milan, Cassandra lab, Italy; ⁹INRA, UMR1114 EM-MAH, F-84914 Avignon, France; ¹⁰International Fertilizer Development Center, Muscle Shoals, Alabama, USA; ¹¹CSIRO Agriculture Flagship, Brisbane, Australia; ¹² Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, 110012, India; 13 Ibaraki University, College of Agriculture, Ibaraki-ken, Japan; 14 National Agriculture and Food Research Organization, Japan; ¹⁵NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies, New York, USA; ¹⁶Chinese Academy of Sciences, Institute of Geographical Sciences and Natural Resources Research, China; ¹⁷INRA, UMR1248 Agrosystèmes et Développement Territorial, F-31 326 Castanet-Tolosan, France; ¹⁸Texas A&M AgriLife Research & Exten^^66^^sion Center at Beaumont, Beaumont, Texas, USA; ¹⁹State Key Laboratory of Earth Surface Processes and Resource Ecology, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China; ²⁰State Key Laboratory of Soil and Sustainable Agriculture, Institute of Soil Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanjing 210008, China; ²¹CIRAD, UMR AGAP, INERA/PV, BP171, Bobo Dioulasso, Burkina Faso; ²²ICRISAT-WCA, Resilient Dryland Systems, BP 320, Bamako, Mali

- * Corresponding Author, E-mail: Xinyou.Yin@wur.nl & thase@affrc.go.jp
- [†] The leader of AgMIP Rice Team.
- * Members of leading group of AgMIP Rice Team.

Introduction

Increased CO₂ concentration and air temperature are two very important variables associated with global warming and climate change. Assessing the putative impacts of these factors on rice production is crucial for global food security due to rice being the staple food for more than half of the world population. Rice crop models are useful for predicting rice productivity under climate change. However, model predictions have uncertainties arisen due to the inaccurate inputs and the varying capabilities of models to capture yield performance. A series of modeling activities were implemented by the AgMIP Rice Team (consisting of 16 rice models currently) to improve the model capability for reducing the uncertainties of model prediction.

Materials and Methods

The simulation exercise and model improvement were implemented in phase-wise. In the first modelling activities, the model sensitivities were evaluated to given CO_2 concentrations varying from 360 to 720 μ mol mol⁻¹ at an interval of 90 μ mol mol⁻¹ and air temperature increments of 0, 3, 6 and 9 °C (Li et al., 2015). In the second phase, in order to improve model response to CO_2 elevation, rice models were tested against

Free-Air CO_2 Enrichment (FACE) measurements and individual model groups conducted essential modifications on the quantification of model response. The models were firstly calibrated with the data under ambient CO_2 concentration and were then tested against the evaluated CO_2 FACE data. Further simulation exercises and model modifications were undertaken to improve response to CO_2 and temperature elevation using data from chamber experiments.

Results and Discussion

The quantified enhancement of rice grain yield varied from 2 % to 38 % when the CO_2 increased from 360 to 540 μ mol mol⁻¹, and 4 to 68 % if it was doubled from 360 to 720 μ mol mol⁻¹. Model predictions of grain yield changes significantly varied from +68 % to -75 % with 3 °C temperature increase, and from +30 % to -98 % with 6 °C increase, although the averages of all model predictions showed a 20 % and 40 % decreases with 3 and 6 °C increase which is close to literature reports. The large variations among models are due to fundamental differences in model algorithms that describe CO_2 fertilization and temperature effects on plant development, biomass accumulation and yield formation (Confalonieri et al., 2016, under review).

Models differed in simulated yield enhancement ranging from 1 % to 19 % with ~200 μ mol mol $^{-1}$ CO $_2$ elevation after models were calibrated to ambient CO $_2$ condition in FACE experiments. Calibration reduced model-to-model variation, and the average grain yield enhancement over all model estimations agreed with field measurements from FACE experiments conducted at two field sites.

The results of simulation exercises with chamber experiments show the models captured the CO_2 fertilization and temperature effects on above-ground biomass with low variation among models, but less agreement among models on predicted CO_2 effects on grain yield. Many models overestimated the grain yield gains per unit CO_2 elevation on higher CO_2 conditions. Most models also underestimated the grain yield decline due to increased air temperature, which indicates a need to improve model functions related to grain-set and grain growth at elevated temperatures.

References

- Confalonieri, R., S. Bregaglio, M. Adam, F. Ruget, T. Li, T. Hasegawa, X. Yin, Y. Zhu, K. Boote, S. Buis, T. Fumoto, D. Gaydon, M. Marcaida III, H. Nakagawa, P. Oriol, A.C. Ruane, B. Singh, U. Singh, L. Tang, F. Tao, J. Fugice, H. Yoshida, Z. Zhang, L.T. Wilson, J. Baker, Y. Yang, Y. Masutomi, D. Wallach, B. Bouman (2015) A taxonomy-based approach to shed light on the babel of mathematical analogies for rice simulation. Submitted to Environmental Modelling & Software.
- Li T., T. Hasegawa, X. Yin, Y. Zhu, K. Boote, M. Adam, S. Bregaglio, S. Buis, R. Confalonieri, T. Fumoto, D. Gaydon, M. Marcaida III, H. Nakagawa, P. Oriol, A.C. Ruane, F. Ruget, B. Singh, U. Singh, L. Tang, F. Tao, P. Wilkens, H. Yoshida, Z. Zhang. B. Bouman (2015) Uncertainties in predicting rice yield by current crop models under a wide range of climatic conditions. Global Change Biology 21: 1328-1341.