



AGRICULTURES
ET DÉFIS DU MONDE
Collection Cirad-AFD

Living territories to transform the world

P. Caron, E. Valette,
T. Wassenaar,
G. Coppens d'Eeckenbrugge,
V. Papazian,
Coordinators



éditions
Quæ

Living territories to transform the world

Patrick Caron, Élodie Valette, Tom Wassenaar,
Geo Coppens d'Eeckenbrugge, Vatché Papazian,
coordinators

Éditions Quæ

The *Agricultures et défis du monde* (Agriculture and Global Challenges) collection publishes a book every year that showcases Cirad's research into the sustainable development of tropical agricultural systems. It is co-published by Éditions Quæ, AFD and Cirad. The collection is coordinated by Cirad's Patrick Caron.

Cirad (Centre for International Cooperation in Agricultural Research for Development) is a French research centre which, in association with countries of the Global South, tackles international issues of agriculture and development. In partnership with these countries, it generates and disseminates new knowledge to support agricultural development and to inform the debates on the major global issues concerning agriculture, food and rural territories. Cirad has a global network of partners and regional offices from which it conducts joint operations with stakeholders in more than 90 countries.

Cirad
42 rue Scheffer, 75116 Paris
www.cirad.fr

AFD, the French Development Agency, is a public institution that has been working for 70 years to fight poverty and promote development in countries of the Global South and in French overseas departments and territories. AFD implements the French government's development aid policies.

With a presence in more than 50 countries and nine French overseas departments and territories, AFD funds and supports projects that improve the living conditions of local populations, promote economic growth and protect the planet. These projects concern education, maternal health, support for farmers and small businesses, water supply, preservation of tropical forests, the fight against global warming, etc.

Agence française de développement
5 rue Roland Barthes, 75598 Paris Cedex 12, France
www.afd.fr

Éditions Quæ
RD 10, 78026 Versailles Cedex
www.quae.com

© Éditions Quæ, 2017

ISBN: 978-2-7592-2731-0

Version française : « Des territoires vivants pour transformer le monde »

© Éditions Quæ, 2017

ISBN : 978-2-7592-2654-2

This book is published by Creative Commons Attribution 2.0 Licence. You may not use the material for commercial purposes. You may not distribute the modified material 2.0 France.



Diversity of crops, societies and territories

Christian Leclerc, Vanesse Labeyrie and Geo Coppens d'Eeckenbrugge

For studying the interactions between crops, societies and environments the territory can be viewed as an interface between social and biological sciences. Indeed, there is a correspondence between the diversity of crops and the linguistic, social and cultural diversity of farmers, since crops are both a product and an underlying support for the identity of human groups (Leclerc and Coppens d'Eeckenbrugge, 2012). At a continental scale, this correspondence has been confirmed for the main African cereal, as the spatial configuration of language families corresponds to that of sorghum races (Harlan and Stemler 1976; Westengen *et al.*, 2014). Similarly, at the village scale, dialectal groups are characterized by their varieties (Labeyrie *et al.*, 2014).

By considering crops as social markers of territories, researchers can identify new bridges between the social and biological sciences to design strategies for conserving the diversity of local genetic resources and promoting the sustainability and resilience of agrosystems (Altieri, 1999). Using examples from Kenyan family farming, this chapter describes the processes linking crop diversity, societies and territories, and their influence on the adaptive capacity of agricultural systems.

TERRITORIES AND AGROBIODIVERSITY

Labeyrie *et al.* (2014) studied the interaction between territories and crops on the eastern slope of Mount Kenya, in an inter-ethnic contact zone (Chuka, Tharaka and Mbeere) where climatic and edaphic conditions are uniform. Even at this very small scale (15 km²), the diversity of sorghum is linked to the respective territories of the Chuka, Tharaka and Mbeere. In particular, the double-season (ratoon) varieties, with specific genetic, morphological and agronomic characteristics, are present only in the Chuka territory. The distribution of varieties also reflects the social relationships between different groups. Thus, the *Mugeta* variety, which is only present in the Chuka and Tharaka territories, testifies to their alliance relationship (*gishiaro*). Even when a same morphological and genetic type is shared by all three groups, its denomination differs. For example, a well-defined sorghum variety with gray seeds is named

'*Ngirigacha*' by the Mbeere whereas the other two groups call it '*Murugue mbura imwé*' (Kamau *et al.*, 2016). Thus, a distinct nomenclature corresponds to distinct ethnic territories, with the territories including a cognitive component.

An analysis of seed sources and flows (Labeyrie *et al.*, 2016a) shows the existence of a structured network at both levels of the seed territories. Within *ntora* (residential units), 45% of the flows are observed between women and their in-laws. The centripetal orientation of the seed trade is even more pronounced outside *ntora*, with 72% of seed flows occurring amongst relatives, a proportion that must be seen in the context of the very high rate (86%) of intra-ethnic marriage.

TERRITORIES AND PLANT ADAPTATION

The interaction between the territory and crops has also been studied for its functional and adaptive value. Mwongera *et al.* (2014) highlighted social processes of adaptation to climate change by comparing seed losses for eight species cultivated by the Tharaka and Mwimbi. These two groups now occupy adjacent territories at altitudes of 950 m above MSL, on the eastern slope of Mount Kenya, but each has colonized this area following migrations in opposite directions. The Tharaka had to contend with an increase in rainfall and a decrease in temperature as they migrated up the slope. The Mwimbi, on the other hand, encountered new conditions that consisted of a warming of +0.8 °C to +1.09 °C and a decrease in annual rainfall of 26.7 to 32.9 mm per 100 m of descent (Camberlin *et al.*, 2014). This variation corresponds to that observed in general in Africa over the last 40 years (McCarty *et al.*, 2001). Climatic variations on this slope can thus be studied in the same way as climate variation over time (space-time substitution design).

Seed losses were analyzed as a function of the number of days without rain during seedling emergence. According to the hypothesis of Leclerc and Coppens d'Eeckenbrugge (2012), if social barriers limit seed flows between communities, Tharaka seeds, which are already adapted to arid conditions, should be less susceptible to erratic rains than those of the Mwimbi. Indeed, by accounting for possible confounding factors (sowing practices, variety selection, number of species and varieties per farmer), the study showed that the risk of seedling loss increased by up to three times for the Mwimbi than for the Tharaka. This difference can be linked to the origins of their genetic resources, and to the fact that seed circulation is centripetal (oriented towards the centre of each ethnic group and its territory). Seeds from the Tharaka have benefited from their adaptation to droughts, which are more frequent at low altitudes. In contrast, Mwimbi seeds have traditionally been exposed to less stringent hydric conditions at high altitudes.

Thus, the history of the communities and their territory is reflected in their seeds. Since intermarriage between Tharaka and Mwimbi is rare, endogamous marriages determine the orientation of seed flows within their respective territories. The Tharaka constantly renew the adaptability of their seeds to drought in a medium-altitude territory by way of marriages with people living at lower altitudes. In contrast, the Mwimbi do not improve the adaptability of their genetic resources to drier conditions, since they obtain seeds from their in-laws living at higher altitudes.

INTERCONNECTIONS OF TERRITORIES

The examples above show how patterns of diversity, determined by marriages and the centripetal orientation of exchanges, help delimit territories. However, 'the paradox of the territory is that it includes components that are not all found within the boundaries of the space attributed to it [...] and that it is driven by a dynamic whose motive force is precisely in the tension between the boundaries and the necessity to surpass them' (Fontanille, 2014).

Thus, territories on the eastern slope of Mount Kenya do not correspond to the spatial configuration of the linguistic groups alone. Interactions with economic and ecological factors also play a role. In fact, one third of the seed lots originate from markets that draw seeds from production basins in a radius of about 10 km (Labeyrie *et al.*, 2016b). The uses specific to each ethnic group and the adaptation to different agroecological zones determine which varieties farmers buy or exchange, as a function of their cultural preferences and their farming systems. The local ratoon varieties are clearly dominant in highland areas with a semi-humid climate (950 m to 1500 m) where the Meru ethnic groups (Igembe, Tigania, Mwimbi) live, whereas the single-season varieties are distributed over the rest of the area, in the plains inhabited by the Tharaka (750 m), as well as in the more arid mountainous areas inhabited by the Chuka and Mbeere. Instead of territories with defined borders, cropping patterns suggest that the boundaries of territories can be modified from one year to the next based on climatic variations, as was demonstrated in northern Cameroon through analyses of seed networks (Violon *et al.*, 2016). In the longer term, migration processes establish and change these territories, as in the case of Mount Kenya where ratoon varieties were probably introduced from a distant area by the Meru, the first agricultural populations in the area (Labeyrie *et al.*, 2016b).

The interaction between territories and crops is thus observed at several space and time scales, and it is this characteristic that gives it its practical significance. If the diversity of crops reflects the identity of human groups linked to a territory, strategies to preserve and enhance local genetic resources must be considered at different spatial levels in relation to social, economic and ecological processes. Since the configuration of territories is in a flux, special attention must be accorded to the maintenance of seeds within residential and sociological units, as such conservation determines the adaptation of plants to local environmental and utilization conditions.

References

- Altieri M.A., 1999. The Ecological Role of Biodiversity in Agroecosystems. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 74 (1-3), 19-31.
- Camberlin P., Boyard-Michaud J., Philippon N., Baron C., Leclerc C., Mwongera C., 2014. Climatic Gradients Along the Windward Slopes of Mount Kenya and their Implication for Crop Risks. Part 1: Climate Variability. *International Journal of Climatology*, 34 (7), 2136-2152.
- Fontanille J., 2014. Territoire : du lieu à la forme de vie. *Actes sémiotiques*, 117, online journal: <http://epublications.unilim.fr/revues/as/pdf/5239> (retrieved 7 April 2017).
- Harlan J.R., Stemler A., 1976. The Races of Sorghum in Africa. In: *Origins of African Plant Domestication* (Harlan J.R., de Wett J.M.J., Stemler A., eds.). The Hague, Mouton, 465-478.

Kamau J.I., Labeyrie V., Njoroge G.N., Wanjoya A.K., Wambugu P.W., Muthamia Z.K., Leclerc C., 2016. Dealing with Farmers' Ethnolinguistic Differences when Collecting Crop Diversity On-farm. *Plant Genetic Resources*, DOI: 10.1017/S147926211600006X.

Labeyrie V., Deu M., Barnaud A., Calatayud C., Buiron M., Wambugu P.W., Manel S., Glaszmann J.-C., Leclerc C., 2014. Influence of Ethnolinguistic Diversity on the Sorghum Genetic Patterns in Subsistence Farming Systems in Eastern Kenya. *PLoS One*, 9 (3), doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0092178.

Labeyrie V., Thomas M., Muthamia Z.K., Leclerc C., 2016a. Seed Exchange Networks, Ethnicity, and Sorghum Diversity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 113 (1), 98-103.

Labeyrie V., Deu M., Dussert Y., Rono B., Lamy F., Marangu C., Kiambi D., Calatayud C., Coppens d'Eeckenbrugge G., Robert T., Leclerc C., 2016b. Past and Present Dynamics of Sorghum and Pearl Millet Diversity in Mount Kenya Region. *Evolutionary Applications*, 9 (10), 1241-1257.

Leclerc C., Coppens d'Eeckenbrugge G., 2012. Social Organization of Crop Genetic Diversity: The G x E x S Interaction Model. *Diversity*, 4 (1), 1-32, doi:10.3390/d4010001.

McCarty J.J., Canziani O.F., Leary N.A., Dokken D.J., White K.S., 2001. *Climate Change 2001: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability (Contribution of Working Group II to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change)*. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.

Mwongera C., Boyard-Michaud J., Baron C., Leclerc C., 2014. Social Process of Adaptation to Environmental Changes: How Eastern African Societies Intervene Between Crops and Climate. *Weather, Climate and Society*, 6 (3), 341-353.

Violon C., Thomas M., Garine E., 2016. Good Year, Bad Year: Changing Strategies, Changing Networks? A Two-year Study on Seed Acquisition in Northern Cameroon. *Ecology and Society*, 21 (2), online journal: <http://www.ecologyandsociety.org/vol21/iss2/art34/> (retrieved 7 April 2017).

Westengen O.T., Okongo M.A., Onek L., Berg T., Upadhyaya H., Birkeland S., Khalsa S.D.K., Ring K.H., Stenseth N.C., Brysting A.K., 2014. Ethnolinguistic Structuring of Sorghum Genetic Diversity in Africa and the Role of Local Seed Systems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 111 (39), 14100-14105.