

# Tropentag 2018

International Research on Food Security, Natural  
Resource Management and Rural Development

## **Global food security and food safety: The role of universities**

Book of abstracts

**Editor:** Eric Tielkes

**Reviewers/scientific committee:** Geert Baert, Jan Baetens,  
Veerle Fievez, Geert Haesaert, Bernhard Freyer, Carl Lachat, Roel Merckx,  
Eva Schlecht, Stijn Speelman, Barbara Sturm, Patrick Van Damme,  
Gilbert Van Stappen, Wouter Vanhove, Annelies Verdoolaege,  
Vladimir Verner, Kaat Verzelen, Ann Water-Bayers, Anthony Whitbread,  
Stephan Winter

**Editorial assistance:** Mercedes Gotterbarm, Laetitia Samuel

# Impressum

## **Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Nationalbibliothek**

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über <http://dnb.ddb.de> abrufbar.

Tropentag 2018: Global food security and food safety: the role of universities  
Tielkes, E. (ed.) 1. Aufl. - Weikersheim: Margraf Publishers GmbH, 2018

© Margraf Publishers GmbH, Weikersheim  
Kanalstraße 21, 97990 Weikersheim  
Telefon: 07934 - 3071  
Telefax: 07934 - 8156  
[www.margraf-publishers.eu](http://www.margraf-publishers.eu)

Alle Rechte vorbehalten. Ohne ausdrückliche Genehmigung des Verlages ist es nicht gestattet, das Buch oder Teile daraus auf fotomechanischem Weg (Fotokopie Mikrokopie) zu vervielfältigen.

The authors of the articles are solely responsible for the content of their contribution. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means without prior permission of the copyright owners.

ISBN: 978-3-8236-1760-0

Online-Version: <http://www.tropentag.de/>



**Margraf Publishers GmbH**

## Let There Be Light: Light Interception Method Update for Oil Palm (*Elaeis Guineensis* Jacq.) Canopies

CLAUS GREGOR<sup>1</sup>, REINOUT IMPENS<sup>2</sup>, XAVIER BONNEAU<sup>3</sup>,  
PATRICK VAN DAMME<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ghent University, Department of Plants and Crops, Belgium

<sup>2</sup>Ghent University, Dept. of Plant Production - Lab. for Tropical Agronomy, Belgium

<sup>3</sup>Agricultural Research Centre for International Development (CIRAD), Performance of Tropical Production & Processing Systems (Persyst), France

Light interception (photosynthetically active radiation, PAR) experiments in oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.) plantations are scarce and often performed decennia ago, in Southeast Asia and without a clear methodology. This is a great opportunity for research with recent planting material in West-African growing conditions. Therefore a light interception experiment was performed in Nigeria. The first objective of this study was to put forward a recommendation regarding PAR interception assessment under oil palm canopies. Therefore a fixed and mobile PAR interception sampling method were compared. The second objective was to reveal PAR interception distribution under an oil palm canopy. In this study, PAR interception was monitored in oil palm plots of five different planting densities (128, 143, 160, 180 and 205 palms ha<sup>-1</sup>), eleven years after oil palm planting. During the fixed sampling, PAR was measured on sixteen equidistant locations below canopy with a quantum sensor (QS5 Quantum Sensor, Delta-T Devices). During mobile sampling, measurements were carried out by walking along two regular paths while holding the quantum sensor by hand. PAR above canopy was measured simultaneously during sampling below canopy. This study found no significant differences between the fixed and mobile PAR interception sampling methods ( $p > 0.99$ ), and this in the five different planting densities. Furthermore it was discovered that four regular fixed sampling locations below an oil palm canopy are sufficient for an exact assessment of PAR interception. This study showed also that PAR interception was randomly distributed under the canopy without a decreasing trend of PAR interception away from the oil palm trunk. Finally, there was no significant difference in PAR interception due to the shifting position of the sun between 10 a. m. and 2 p. m. ( $p > 0.78$ ). It is recommended to assess PAR interception with a fixed sampling method considering its ease, although data from both mobile and fixed methods are comparable. The standardisation of the fixed PAR interception method is easier due to the lower probability of human error compared to the mobile PAR interception method.

**Keywords:** Canopy, *Elaeis guineensis* Jacq., light interception, Nigeria, oil palm, PAR, photosynthetically active radiation, quantum sensor, West Africa

---

**Contact Address:** Claus Gregor, Ghent University, Department of Plants and Crops, Coupure Links, 9000 Ghent, Belgium, e-mail: gregor.claus@ugent.be