



# CARIBBEAN FOOD CROPS SOCIETY

52

Fifty-second  
Annual Meeting 2016

Le Gosier, Guadeloupe  
Volume LII

MEETING HOST:



©Caribbean Food Crops Society

**ISSN 95-07-0410**

Copies of this publication may be obtained from:

CFCS Treasurer  
Agricultural Experiment Station  
Jardín Botánico Sur  
1193 Calle Guayacán  
San Juan, Puerto Rico 00936-1118

CFCS Website: <http://cfcs.eea.uprm.edu/>

Mention of company and trade names does not imply endorsement by the Caribbean Food Crops Society.

The Caribbean Food Crops Society is not responsible for statements and opinions advanced in its meeting or printed in its proceedings; they represent the views of the individuals to whom they are credited and are not binding on the Society as a whole.



**PROCEEDINGS**  
**OF THE**  
**52<sup>nd</sup> ANNUAL MEETING**

Caribbean Food Crops Society  
52<sup>nd</sup> Annual Meeting  
July 10 – July 16, 2016

Hosted by the  
Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique  
Centre Antilles-Guyane

Karibea Beach Resort - Pointe de la Verdure  
Guadeloupe FWI

**“Engineering Ecological Modernization of Agriculture / Exploring the Potential of  
Tropical Biological Resources for Innovation / Towards a Bio-Economic  
Development of Caribbean Countries”**

Edited by  
Michel Naves, Valérie Angeon, Bérengère Merlot, Louis Fahrasmane,  
Jean Louis Diman, Patrick Labbé, Patricia Traffond,  
Wilfredo Colon and Harry Ozier Lafontaine

Published by the Caribbean Food Crops Society



## A YAM COLLABORATIVE SELECTION PLATFORM IN GUADELOUPE: A MODEL FOR EFFECTIVE MULTIPARTENARIAL AND PARTICIPATIVE PROGRAM

*Patrice Champoiseau<sup>1</sup>, Lévy Laurent<sup>1</sup>, Julian Osseux<sup>2</sup>, Dalila Petro<sup>3</sup>, Régis Tournebize<sup>3</sup>, Gemma Arnau<sup>4</sup>, Erick Maledon<sup>4</sup>, Elie Nudol<sup>4</sup> and Denis Cornet<sup>4</sup>*

<sup>1</sup> *Institut Technique Tropical (IT2), C/o CIRAD, Station de Neuf-Château, 97130 Capesterre-Belle-Eau, Guadeloupe, FWI*

<sup>2</sup> *Chambre d'agriculture de la Guadeloupe, Espace régional agricole de Convenance, BP35, 97122 Baie-Mahault, Guadeloupe FWI*

<sup>3</sup> *Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique (INRA), Domaine de Duclos, Prise d'Eau, 97170 Petit-Bourg, Guadeloupe, FWI*

<sup>4</sup> *Centre de Coopération Internationale en Recherche Agronomique pour le Développement (CIRAD), Station de Roujol, 97170 Petit Bourg, Guadeloupe, FWI*

**Keywords:** Yam selection, participative program, outreach & extension

### **Abstract**

Since the early years of 2002, INRA and CIRAD in Guadeloupe have implemented complementary yam breeding programs to develop highly performant and locally well-adapted yam hybrids to fit both producers and consumer's requirements, yielding to nearly 20 pre-selected innovative cultivars.

In 2012, a multi-local, multi-partenarial and participative field plot network was implemented to achieve evaluation of cultivar performance at field scale in contrasted geographical and productive environments throughout Guadeloupe. During two to three crop cycles, several agronomic and qualitative indicators selected by producers were followed, registers and combined in a collaborative database. Once formatted, data will be used to develop computer-based decision tools to help growers and technical advisors with selection of best-adapted cultivars to specific growing production or final use.

Though this collaborative platform, various actors such as research scientists, breeders, technical advisors and producers have experimented and optimized effective yam breeding network to serve as a model for evaluation of any other tuber crops in Guadeloupe.

### **Materials and methods**

Yam pre-selected cultivars were evaluated at the farm in 7 different contrasted yam-producing areas in Guadeloupe over 3 crop cycles, each approximately 10-to-12 months long. The list of cultivars, number of plants per cultivar (48), and distribution of cultivars within the experimental plot were pre-determined by the selection committee, and common to all experimental sites. Cultivation practices such as soil preparation, type and irrigation frequency, fertilization, choice for yam foliage support or associated crops were given free to each producer.

At each experimental site and for each crop cycle, several indicators were followed from planting to post-harvesting, as determined by the selection committee. Over 2 months after planting, number of germinated plants per cultivar was assessed bi-weekly and expressed as percent of germinated plants to assess germination rate and homogeneity. From 2 months after planting, foliage development rate per cultivar was determined by measuring weekly foliage soil covering in one-square-meter quadrats and expressed as quadrat total percent cover.

All along crop cycle, foliar diseases and disorders caused by anthracnose, curvularia or viruses were determined by evaluation of symptom intensity following a 1-to-5 scale.

At harvest and for each experimental site and each cultivar, number of yam tubers per harvested plant was determined and expressed as average number of plant tubers. Additionally, each harvested tuber was weighted individually and characterized regarding global shape and quality. Finally, weight and quality of lots of 7 tubers per cultivars were evaluated during 2 months after harvest to evaluate potential for post-harvest conservation.

At each crop cycle, several farm field-days were organized at selected experimental sites with producers and technical advisors located in the vicinity of the production site. Participants were invited to appreciate foliage development, cultivar estimated yield and tuber quality using evaluation sheets.

### **Main results**

Over 3 crop-cycles and in 7 experimental sites, over 100.000 individual data were collected and combined. As for instance 8097, 8832 and 5103 yam individual tubers weights were collected in 2013, 2014 and 2015, respectively. All data are currently being processed to evaluate cultivar performance in contrasted and heterogeneous environments and determine if there are statistically significant cultivar-environment interactions. However preliminary results already suggest that yam cultivar variability within each experimental site is not significantly different to variability between experimental sites.

**Conclusion**

Yam selection cultivars through multiple criteria analysis in contrasted geographic and pedoclimatic environments with various cultivation practices appears to be a complex and tremendous process. Thus, selection of a limited number of highly successful cultivars adapted to all yam production areas and markets in Guadeloupe seems not to be the preferred strategy. Indeed, feedbacks from yam evaluation field days have revealed that any of the evaluated cultivars could fit one's growers or consumer's requirements, indicating that expectation for a range of multiple and various cultivars is greater than for limited selected cultivars. Through continuous enhanced interactions within the collaborative platform between final consumers and growers, on one side, and yam breeders and actors of the selective process, on the other side, these results should help improving yam selection process in Guadeloupe to deliver cultivars best fitting local requirements.