

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Data in brief





Data Article

Life cycle inventory data on French organic waste treatments yielding organic amendments and fertilisers



Angel Avadí ^{a, b, c, *}, Lynda Aissani ^{d, e}, Marilys Pradel ^f, Aurélie Wilfart ^g

- a CIRAD, UPR Recyclage et risque, F-34398, Montpellier, France
- ^b Recyclage et risque, Univ Montpellier, CIRAD, Montpellier, France
- ^c ELSA, Research Group for Environmental Life Cycle Sustainability Assessment, Montpellier, France
- ^d Irstea, UR OPAALE, 17 Avenue de Cucillé, CS 64427, 35044 Rennes Cedex, France
- e Université Bretagne Loire, France
- ^f Université Clermont Auvergne, Irstea, UR TSCF, Centre de Clermont-Ferrand, Domaine des Palaquins, 40 route de Chazeuil, 03150 Montoldre, France
- g UMR SAS, INRA, Agrocampus Ouest, Rennes, France

ARTICLEINFO

Article history: Received 13 November 2019 Received in revised form 3 December 2019 Accepted 6 December 2019 Available online 16 December 2019

Keywords: Chemical composition Life cycle inventories Organic amendments Organic fertilisers

Organic waste treatments

ABSTRACT

To inform the modelling of organic waste treatments yielding organic amendments and fertilisers in France, published as "Screening LCA of French organic amendments and fertilisers" [1], we compiled data pertaining to the chemical characteristics of both raw and treated organic residues, as well as inventory data on the most common organic waste treatments. The majority of these life cycle inventory data was obtained from reports and other literature, but primary data was also compiled, notably for commercial organic fertiliser production. The data presented here can be used by future life cycle assessment studies on organic waste treatments, as well as to inform agricultural modelling.

© 2019 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

^{*} Corresponding author. CIRAD, UPR Recyclage et risque, F-34398, Montpellier, France. E-mail address: angel.avadi@cirad.fr (A. Avadí).

Specifications Table

Subject	Environmental Science
Specific subject area	Life cycle modelling of treatment activities on organic waste streams yielding organic amendments and fertilisers.
Type of data	Table
How data were acquired	The vast majority of the data was obtained from grey and scientific literature, as well as directly from researchers (unpublished data). The data pertaining the commercial production of organic amendments and fertilisers was obtained by means of direct questioning of technical responsible of the concerned processes in the context of field visits. The interviews relied on structured questionnaires. The two commercial organic fertilisers producers preferred to remain anonymous.
Data format	Raw
	Analysed
	Filtered
Parameters for data collection	Secondary data was collected only from reputable sources: scientific literature or project/expertise reports by recognised French institutions (technical institutes, national research institutions and researchers from said institutions, national and regional statistics, national standards). Primary data consisted of recalls from technical personnel of commercial organic production firms, which were confirmed <i>in situ</i> by consulting internal corporate reports. Data quality was assessed based on expert opinion (see list of consulted experts in Acknowledgements).
Description of data collection	Secondary data collection was based on literature review (followed by data treatment) and interviews with experts. Primary data collection was carried out by means of interviews involving fact-checking. Data quality (pedigree) was determined based on expert opinion, following a common practice regarding life cycle inventory databases.
Data source location	Institution: ADEME, AFAÏA, ARVALIS, CIRAD, IDELE, IFIP, INRA, Irstea City/Town/Region: National presence Country: France
Data accessibility	With the article
Related research article	Angel Avadí
	Screening LCA of French organic amendments and fertilisers
	The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment
	DOI: to be assigned (submission JLCA-D-19-00175R1 under final review by editor)

Value of the Data

- The data may be useful to inform studies using life cycle approaches to describe organic waste treatments.
- The data may be useful to inform featuring the modelling of agricultural systems that include organic fertilisers as inputs.
- $\bullet\,$ The data has European relevance, and originates mainly from France.
- Any life cycle or agricultural modeller may benefit from these data.
- The data is intended to be used as default references in the absence of primary data, or to fill data gaps.
- The aggregation and consolidation of disperse data informing life cycle inventories on key treatment processes, adds value by centralising it and providing reference values.

1. Data

To inform the modelling of organic waste treatments yielding organic amendments and fertilisers in France, published as "Screening LCA of French organic amendments and fertilisers" [1], we compiled data pertaining to the chemical characteristics of both raw and treated organic residues, as well as inventory data on the most common organic waste treatments.

Table 1 presents the criteria currently applied in France for the classification of products from organic residues treatments as amendments or fertilisers. These criteria are related to the products' composition.

The data (see the Supplementary Material), consists of tables presenting the mean composition of a large list of organic waste treatment products, as well as the life cycle inventories for the concerned organic waste treatments.

Composition data is presented per general product category, and includes uncertainty data (e.g. a range of values). For each parameter, three values are presented: the first value of each table cell is the

 Table 1

 Criteria, relative to composition, for the classification of products from organic residues treatments as amendments or fertilisers, in France.

Products explicitly classified in French standards	French standard	N (%)	Organic N (%)	$NO_3 + NH_4 + ureaic N (%)$	P ₂ O ₅ (%)	K ₂ O (%)	$N + P_2O_5 + K_2O$ (%)	C/N	Organic matter (%)	Dry matter (%)
Organic amendments		Condit	tions to be met							
Manure (solid)	NFU 44-051	<3	_	<33 of N	<3	<3	<7	>8	>20	>30
Composted manure and litter (except poultry litter)	NFU 44-051	<3	_	<33 of N	<3	<3	<7	>8	>20	>30
Composted green waste	NFU 44-051	<3	_	<33 of N	<3	<3	<7	>8	>20	>30
Composted biowaste	NFU 44-051	<3	_	<33 of N	<3	<3	<7	>8	>20	>30
Composted animal and/or vegetal matter	NFU 44-051	<3	_	<33 of N	<3	<3	<7	>8	>20	>30
Vegetal and/or animal materials, raw or treated	NFU 44-051	<3	_	<33 of N	<3	<3	<7	>8	>25	>30
Composts and digestates containing dewatered sewage sludge ¹	NFU 44-095	<3	_	-	<3	<3	<7	-	-	_
Organic fertilisers		Condit	tions to be met							
Press cakes	NFU 42-001	>3	_	<1	_	_	_	_		_
N organic fertiliser of animal and/or vegetal origin	NFU 42-001	>3	_	<1	-	_	_	-		_
Poultry droppings (dried)	NFU 42-001	>3	>1	_	>2.5	_	>7	_		>75
Slurry-based products (phase separated, composted, digested)	NFU 42-001	>1.5	>1	_	>3	_	>6	-		>40
Composted poultry litter	NFU 42-001	>2	>1	_	>2	>2	>7	_		>50
NPK organic fertiliser of animal and/or vegetal origin	NFU 42-001	-	>1	_	-	_	>7	-		_
N organo-mineral fertiliser	NFU 42-001	>3	>1	-	_	_	_	_		_
NPK organo-mineral fertiliser	NFU 42-001	>2	>1	-	>2	>2	>7	_		-

Source [2-4].1

Table 2 Headers of data tables in the Supplementary Material.

Table numbering	Title	Fields
Table A	Composition of organic	Residual organic amendments and fertilisers, C/N, DM (%), NTK (g/
Table B	fertilisers Trace elements in organic fertilisers	kg), N–NH4 (g/kg), P (g/kg), K (g/kg), C (g/kg), MFE, KeqN, Sources Residual organic amendments and fertilisers, Cd (mg/kg), Cr (mg/kg), Cu (mg/kg), Hg (mg/kg), Ni (mg/kg), Pb (mg/kg), Zn (mg/kg), As (mg/kg), Se (mg/kg)
Table C	Composition of French commercial organic	6 ingredients (expressed as percentages of the total product mass) for 7 products: 1 organic amendment, 3 organic fertilisers and 3
Table D	fertilisers Abridged life cycle inventories for stocking of	organo-mineral fertilisers Infrastructure, energy, chemicals and emission factors associated with 10 systems:
	organic residues, per 1 t fresh mass input	 Liquid sewage sludge, in silo Solid sewage sludge, in concreted area Swine slurry, in silo
		Swine slurry, in concrete pit
		Cattle slurry, in siloCattle slurry, in concrete pit
		Cattle starry, in concrete pit Cattle manure, in concreted area or pit
		Poultry manure, in concreted area or pit
		Swine manure, in heap
m 11 n	41 . 1 . 1 . 1 . 1	Digestate, agricultural, stocked in silo
Table E	Abridged life cycle inventories for dewatering/	Infrastructure, energy, chemicals and emission factors associated
	phase separation of organic	with 5 systems: • Digestate, by centrifugal decanter
	residues, per 1 t of dry	Swine slurry, by centrifugal decanter
	matter in input	Sewage sludge, by centrifugation
		 Sewage sludge, by belt filter
		Sewage sludge, by filter press
Table F	Abridged life cycle inventories for composting organic residues, per 1 t	Infrastructure, energy, chemicals and emission factors associated with 8 systems: • Sewage sludge + green waste
	fresh mass input	Green waste
	_	• Biowaste + green waste
		• Biowaste
		Solid fraction of agricultural digestate
		Solid fraction of mixed slurry Swipp clurry ctraw
		 Swine slurry + straw Cattle manure + wool + press cakes
Table G	Abridged life cycle	Infrastructure, energy, chemicals and emission factors associated
	inventories for anaerobic digestion of organic	with 8 systems: • Sewage sludge
	residues, per 1 t fresh mass	 Swine slurry + solid fraction of swine slurry
	input	Cattle slurry
		 Mixed manure + swine slurry Maize silage
		Maize silage Maize silage (5%) + swine slurry (95%)
		• Maize slage (19%) + swine slurry (81%)
		• Biowaste
Table H	Life cycle inventories for	Raw materials, energy and water associated with 7 systems,
	processed agricultural	including mass and economic allocation keys for coproducts:
	residues (in fresh mass)	Coffee processingCocoa processing
		Olive processing
		Pomace processing
		Greasy wool scouring
		Greasy wool skirting
		Rendering of animal by-products
Table I	Emission factors for	Emission factors for CO2, CH4, N2O, NH3, and VOC associated with
	composting processes (per 1 t fresh mass input)	the composting systems listed in Table F. Uncertainty data is provided as a recommended value plus a minimum and maximum values.

Table 3Life cycle inventory questionnaire for commercial amendment and fertilisers producers.

Guiding questions	Expected response type
What products and types/categories of products are produced in your facility?	Narrative numeric data (production volumes and proportions)
Please describe the site's infrastructure, including built area, main equipment and machinery, installed capacity, life span of all items, etc.	Narrative with a list of items and numeric data
Please describe the characteristic processes resulting in the main product types.	Narrative, flow diagram including numeric data (masses, durations)
Do you measure any direct emissions? If so, please provide historic or representative measurements.	Narrative with numeric data
Please provide detailed data per process and per product type, including raw materials, waste streams including wastewater, products, energy consumption, water consumption, chemicals consumption, transported distances of all inputs.	Tables of numeric data, assumption on allocation of energy and water, formulation of multi-input product types
Please describe the wastewater and waste treatment pathways	Narrative with numeric data
Please describe frequency and interventions associated with site maintenance.	Narrative with numeric data

recommended value, the second the minimum reported and the third the maximum reported. Missing values are indicated by a dash (-). Parameters presented include those of agricultural relevance (e.g. nutrients) as well as trace elements.

Composition and trace element content data is expressed (except for certain indicated exceptions) per unit of fresh mass. We chose to express all data in terms of fresh mass to prevent bias and uncertainty associated with expressing composition data in terms of dry matter, because the water content of organic amendments and fertilisers is distributed along a wide range.

The composition (in terms of ingredients) of commercial organic fertilisers is presented. These compositions are representative of French practices, simpler cattle manure composts were also used to compute the minimum range of KeqN values in the composition data.

Life cycle inventories of specific treatment processes, namely i) stocking of organic residues, solid and liquid, ii) dewatering/phase separation of liquid organic residues, iii) composting of organic residues, including substrate mixtures, iv) anaerobic digestion of organic residues, including substrate mixtures, v) processing of agricultural residues into inputs to organic fertilisers, and vi) additional emission factors for composting processes, including uncertainty data; are presented.

Life cycle inventory data is predominantly presented per unit of fresh mass input to a process, except for certain cases in which data is presented per unit of dry mass (i.e. dewatering/phase separation processes on substrates such as sludge and slurries). In all cases, the relevant functional/reference unit is indicated.

All data table headers are presented in Table 2. All background data was sourced from ecoinvent v.3.5.

2. Experimental design, materials, and methods

The data on the criteria currently applied in France for the classification of products from organic residues treatments as amendments or fertilisers was obtained via literature review, where a handful of sources [2,4,5] contributed the bulk of up to date data.

The data on commercial organic amendments and fertilisers were obtained by means of interviews with two anonymous French producers (two of the largest organic producers among ~50 producers

¹ Technically "materials of agronomic interest from wastewater treatment", referred to among French institutions and researchers as "matières d'intérêt agronomique issues du traitement des eaux" (MIATE).

Table 4Quality assessment of collected secondary data for life cycle inventories.

Data	Pedigree score	Key sources ^a	Comments
Product composition data	2-2-3-2-1	[6,7,9–11]	The majority of sources were published after 2010. The majority of sources are specific to the French context, but a few present data of European validity.
Dominant treatment routes	1-2-3-1-2	[7,9,11-14]	Routes are France-specific, but undoubtedly also common in Europe
Treatment processes: Substrate stocking	2-2-3-2-2	[14,15]	The majority of emission factors were sourced from Ref. [15], which is a recent collection of emission factors from organic waste stoking, in France and Europe.
Treatment processes: Dewatering/phase separation of substrates	2-2-3-2-2	[9,11,14]	Data is representative of the French context.
Treatment processes: Composting of substrates	2-3-3-3-2	Processes [14,16]: Emissions [17,18]:	Some process and emission data represent European conditions.
Treatment processes: Anaerobic digestion of substrates	2-3-3-3-2	Processes [14,19]: Emissions: expertise IRSTEA ^b	Some process and emission data represent European conditions.
Substrate processes: Processing of agricultural residues	2-3-3-3-2	[20]	Data represent European conditions.

^a The full list of data sources is presented in the Supplementary Material.

associated with the Union of Fertilisation Industries - UNIFA, https://www.unifa.fr/qui-sommes-nous/les-adherents-de-lunifa), based on the structured questionnaire depicted in Table 3. The annual output of one of the two surveyed producers represents ~18% of the total French production of commercial organic amendments and fertilisers.

Data on composition of treatment products and on treatment processes were sought and obtained, predominantly representing French conditions, yet generally valid at the European level. When specific French data was not found for specific processes, other central European data were retained.

The product composition data was also obtained via literature review, and occasionally adjusted to harmonise units. Sources for basic composition included technical, research and commercial product reports, as well as theses and scientific publications. Two detailed reports associated with large research projects provided all data for trace element contents [6,7]. Data sources for informing life cycle inventories were also retrieved from grey and scientific literature.

Table 4 presents a quality assessment of the collected secondary data, following the ecoinvent pedigree approach, consisting of a decreasing 1–5 ranking of data reliability, completeness, temporal correlation, geographical correlation and further technological correlation [8].

Acknowledgments

This work, which is a deliverable of the ACV-MAFOR project (https://ur-recyclage-risque.cirad.fr/principaux-projets/acv-mafor), was partly supported by the French Environment and Energy Management Agency (ADEME), under the AGRIBALYSE 2 research programme (https://rebrand.ly/agribalyse). The authors moreover thanks the following colleagues for their valuable contributions: Amandine Foulet (IRSTEA), Armelle Gac (IDELE), Aurélie Perrin (ESA), Aurélie Tailleur (ARVALIS),

b https://www.irstea.fr/fr/recherche/unites-de-recherche/opaale.

Aurore Vigan (INRA), Blaise Leclerc (ITAB), Christian Bockstaller (INRA), Hayo van der Werf (INRA), Jean-Marie Paillat (CIRAD), Laurent Largant (AFAÏA), Mélynda Hassouna (INRA), Paul Robin (INRA), Sabine Houot (INRA), Sandrine Espagnol (IFIP), Vincent Colomb (ADEME), Virginie Parnaudeau (INRA), and two anonymous representatives of the organic fertiliser industry.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2019.105000.

References

- [1] A. Avadí, Screening LCA of French organic amendments and fertilisers, Int. J. Life Cycle Assess. (2019) in press.
- [2] SATEGE, Fiche 2: Seuils réglementaires des normes, Chambre d'agriculture du Nord-Pas de Calais. https://nord-pas-de-calais.chambre-agriculture.fr/fileadmin/user_upload/Hauts-de-France/028_Inst-Nord-Pas-de-Calais/Telechargements/Recyclage/fiche2-seuils-reglementaires-fixes-par-les-normes.pdf, 2016.
- [3] A. Colin, A. Thivolle, Disponibilités en bois d'origine forestière à l'horizon 2035. Tome 1: rapport, ADEME/IGN/FCBA, Paris, 2016.
- [4] S. Houot, M.N. Pons, M. Pradel, Valorisation des matières fertilisantes d'origine résiduaire sur les sols à usage agricole ou forestier, in: Impacts agronomiques, environnementaux, socio-économiques, 2014.
- [5] ADEME, Epandage, Fiche technique, Angers: ADEME, direction Economie Circulaire et Déchets/Service Mobilisation et Valorisation des Déchets. https://www.ademe.fr/sites/default/files/assets/documents/fiche-technique-epandage-201608. pdf, 2016.
- [6] P. Koch, T. Salou, AGRIBALYSE ®: Rapport Méthodologique Version 1.3, ART, INRA, ADEME, 2016.
- [7] P. Benoît, H. Brugère, M. Casellas, P. Dabert, J. Fuchs, L. Giamberini, D. Patureau, M.-N. Pons, A.-M. Pourcher, E. Topp, Chapitre 2. Caractéristiques physico-chimiques et biologiques des Mafor, INRA-CNRS-Irstea. https://www6.paris.inra.fr/ depe/content/download/3807/36278/file/ESCoMafor rapport_Chap2_oct2014.pdf, 2014.
- [8] A. Ciroth, S. Muller, B.P. Weidema, Refining the pedigree matrix approach in ecoinvent: Towards empirical uncertainty factors. http://lca-net.com/p/1653, 2012.
- [9] Solagro-IRSTEA, Etat de l'art des digestats et de leurs procédés de post-traitement, Solagro Irstea, 2012.
- [10] ADEME, Qualité agronomique et sanitaire des digestats, ADEME, Angers, 2011. https://www.ademe.fr/sites/default/files/assets/documents/79519_qualite_digestat_rf_octobre_2011.pdf.
- [11] IFIP, Gestion et traitement des digestats issus de methanisation, IFIP, Chambre d'agriculture de Bretagne, Idele, Trame, Ministère de l'agriculture de l'agroalimentaire et de la forêt, 2017.
- [12] P. Levasseur, Compte rendu final du projet METERRI: Conforter l'autonomie énergétique des zones à forte densité d'élevage par des projets de méthanisation agricole durables, en harmonie dans leur territoire, Le cas de la Bretagne, IFIP -Institute du Porc, 2017.
- [13] M. Richard, M. Pradel, Les filières de traitement et de valorisation des boues d'épuration en France: Rapport d'enquête, Irstea-ONEMA, 2014.
- [14] M. Pradel, Guide pour la réalisation d'Analyses du Cycle de Vie pour les filières de traitement et de valorisation des boues d'épuration urbaine. Rapport final, Irstea-ONEMA, 2016.
- [15] A. Vigan, M. Hassouna, N. Guingand, C. Brameç, N. Edouard, T. Eglin, S. Espagnol, M. Eugène, S. Génermont, S. Lagadec, E. Lorinquer, L. Loyon, P. Ponchant, P. Robin, Development of a database to collect emission values for livestock systems, J. Environ. Qual. 48 (2019) 1899–1906, https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2019.01.0007.
- [16] D. Oudart, Modélisation de la stabilisation de la matière organique et des émissions gazeuses au cours du compostage d'effluents d'élevage, INSA de Toulouse, 2013. https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-00935691v1/document.
- [17] ADEME, Programme de recherche de, l'ADEME sur les émissions atmosphériques du compostage. Connaissances acquises et synthèse bibliographique, ADEME, Angers, 2012. https://www.ademe.fr/sites/default/files/assets/documents/20120701_ programme-de-recherche-de-l-ademe-sur-les-emissions-atmospheriques-du-compostage_ademe.pdf.
- [18] C. Déchaux, M. Pradel, LCA applied to residual organic fertilizing materials an overview of emission inventory data at the spreading operation, in: U. Arena, T. Astrup, P. Lettieri (Eds.), Life Cycle Assess. Other Assess. Tools Waste Manag. Resour. Optim. ECI Symp. Ser., 2016. http://dc.engconfintl.org/lca_waste/49.
- [19] F. Ardolino, F. Parrillo, U. Arena, Biowaste-to-biomethane or biowaste-to-energy? An LCA study on anaerobic digestion of organic waste, J. Clean. Prod. 174 (2018) 462–476, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.10.320.
- [20] C.H. Gooding, Data for the carbon footprinting of rendering operations, J. Ind. Ecol. 16 (2012) 223–230, https://doi.org/10. 1111/j.1530-9290.2011.00430.x.