



29th GENERAL MEETING

GRASSLAND AT THE HEART OF CIRCULAR AND SUSTAINABLE FOOD SYSTEMS

JUNE 26-30, 2022 • CAEN, FRANCE



Grassland at the heart of circular and sustainable food systems

Edited by

L. Delaby
R. Baumont
V. Brocard
S. Lemauviel-Lavenant
S. Plantureux
F. Vertès
J.L. Peyraud



Volume 27
Grassland Science in Europe

Grassland at the heart of circular and sustainable food systems

Grassland at the heart of circular and sustainable food systems

Proceedings of the 29th General Meeting of the
European Grassland Federation
Caen, France
26-30 June 2022

Edited by

L. Delaby

R. Baumont

V. Brocard

S. Lemauiel-Lavenant

S. Plantureux

F. Vertès

J.L. Peyraud



INRAE



UNIVERSITÉ
CAEN
NORMANDIE

Published by

The Organising Committee of the 29th General Meeting of the European Grassland Federation, INRAE, Paris, France

Copyright © 2022

All rights reserved. Nothing from this publication may be reproduced, stored in computerised systems or published in any form or any manner, including electronic, mechanical, reprographic or photographic, without prior written permission from the publisher.

The individual contributions in this publication and any liabilities arising from them remain the responsibility of the authors.

ISBN: 978-2-7380-1445-0 / EAN: 9782738014450

eISBN: 978-2-7380-1446-7 / eEAN: 9782738014467

Abstract submission and evaluation by



Editing and production by

Wageningen Academic Publishers
P.O. Box 220
6700 AE Wageningen
The Netherlands
www.WageningenAcademic.com



Distributed by

European Grassland Federation EGF
W. Kessler, Federation Secretary
Schachenweg 6
8908 Hedingen
Switzerland
E-mail: fedsecretary@europeangrassland.org



Using plant diversity to reduce vulnerability and increase drought resilience of permanent and sown productive grasslands

Lüscher A.^{1,2}, Barkaoui K.^{3,4}, Finn J.A.⁵, Suter D.¹, Suter M.¹ and Volaire F.⁶

¹Agroscope, Forage Production and Grassland Systems, 8046 Zurich, Switzerland; ²AGFF, Swiss

Grassland Society, 8046 Zurich, Switzerland; ³CIRAD, UMR ABSys, F-34398 Montpellier, France;

⁴ABSys, Univ Montpellier, CIHEAM-IAMM, CIRAD, INRAE, Institut Agro, Montpellier, France;

⁵Teagasc, Environment Research Centre, Johnstown Castle, Wexford, Ireland; ⁶Univ Montpellier, CEFE, CNRS, INRAE, EPHE, IRD, 1919 Route de Mende Montpellier, France

Abstract

Climate change associated with a greater variability of inter- and intra-annual droughts and the occurrence of extreme events, act in combination to present challenges for semi-natural and sown productive grasslands in Europe. Successful plant strategies under drought strongly depend on stress intensity. Drought resistance to maintain leaf growth under moderate stress exhibits trade-offs with drought survival after cessation of growth under life-threatening drought conditions. Substantial intra-specific variability exists in key forage grasses originating from the Mediterranean to the cool-temperate climates, and represents a great potential for adaptation of future ecotypes and cultivars to a larger range of drought intensities. Plant species diversity offers an opportunity to stabilize forage production in two ways. First, growth reduction under stress is significantly smaller for diverse compared to simple plant communities because diverse communities offer the opportunity to include drought-resistant (or drought-surviving) species. Second, positive interactions among species increase ecosystem functioning of more diverse plant communities under moderate drought, allowing them to compensate for drought-induced yield reductions. Currently, available cultivars of perennial forage species adapted to dry climate are still rare, and only a few forage species are used in productive systems. Thus, both intra- and inter-specific plant diversity should be better valued to reduce vulnerability and increase resilience of productive grasslands.

Keywords: drought stress severity, resistance, survival, insurance effect, ecosystem functioning, complementarity

The wide environmental range of global grassland distribution demonstrates its huge adaptation potential

Grasslands cover about 40% of the world's land area (White *et al.*, 2000) and are among the most important agroecosystems delivering services ranging from forage supply for ruminants and soil carbon storage to habitats of high biodiversity. Reflected by the pedo-climatic conditions, these grasslands include a large variety of ecosystems such as steppe vegetation, savannah, tundra, alpine grassland and temperate grasslands. Moreover, large areas of land across temperate regions that would otherwise be covered by shrubs and trees are maintained as grassland by regular cutting and/or grazing. In short, grasslands can thrive across a vast range of pedo-climatic conditions and extremes, where shrubs and trees cannot grow and/or other agricultural systems are not economically profitable. This strongly suggests that grasslands benefit from plant strategies and ecological processes that ensure that they can grow, survive, resist, recover from, and/or adapt to strongly differing mean environmental conditions and to a multitude of extremes of environmental conditions experienced at different locations. With global climate change, both the mean and variation in climatic conditions are predicted to change (Orlowsky and Seneviratne, 2012), which will give rise to a change in biotic (e.g. weeds, disease, pests) and abiotic stresses (e.g. timing of the seasons, increased incidence of severe weather events, such as summer drought, heatwave, extreme cold, waterlogging).

Objectives

Although climate change will also affect natural and semi-natural (managed at low intensity, less productive, often species-rich) grasslands, we focus here on the effects of drought stress on productive grasslands that are highly modified and generally fertilized to maximize the production of aboveground forage yield and quality. Studies of semi-natural grasslands are referenced to underpin ecological theory and to highlight some specificities of the productive grasslands. The productive grasslands are sown with a low diversity (or even monoculture) of selected species (and cultivars) or are permanent grasslands with a relatively low plant diversity, both offering adaptation through changes in (1) species and (2) genotype composition, as well as (3) their diversity. We focus on the whole range of drought stress, from severe and predictable under Mediterranean climates to moderate and less predictable in temperate to cool climates, suggesting that a range of adaptive strategies are required.

A diversity of plant strategies to face drought

Better understanding of the adaptive strategies of forage plants to face drought is crucial to efficiently manage grasslands and breed cultivars that enhance the resilience of grasslands, i.e. a sufficient post-stress recovery to achieve a comparable post- vs pre-drought productivity. The two major plant response strategies under moderate and severe water deficit are 'drought resistance', i.e. the maintenance of leaf growth and biomass production, and 'drought survival', i.e. the plant ability to survive after growth cessation due to severe life-threatening drought (Volaire, 2018). Drought resistance is more relevant under moderate drought stress while drought survival is key under severe drought stress (Figure 1), but they can both enhance post-drought recovery and therefore resilience of plant communities.

Regarding plant strategies (Figure 1), 'dehydration escape' allows plants to shorten and complete the reproductive cycle before the onset of drought, e.g. annuals overcome drought as desiccation-tolerant seeds. For plants subjected to water deficit, drought resistance is associated mainly with a 'dehydration avoidance' strategy that maximizes water uptake and/or minimizes water loss to maintain high leaf water content and turgor ensuring growth maintenance. In contrast, drought survival is associated with a 'dehydration tolerance' strategy, allowing plants to tolerate moderate tissue dehydration in leaves and meristems. In some cases, dehydration tolerance rests on 'summer dormancy', which is an endogenous controlled strategy that reduces or stops meristem activity to render it relatively insensitive to growth-promoting signals (Volaire and Norton, 2006). Finally, the 'embolism resistance' strategy prevents xylem conduits from becoming air-filled or embolized under negative pressure (hydraulic failure) and hence underpins plant survival as drought drastically intensifies.




Figure 1. Plant strategies to face increasing water deficit. Dehydration avoidance enhances drought resistance (maintenance of growth at moderate drought), while dehydration tolerance, dormancy and embolism resistance enhance drought survival (Volaire, 2018).

To grow or to survive: a drought resistance vs drought survival trade-off

Most strategies can be combined to some extent, depending on species and populations. Growth maintenance (dehydration avoidance) is associated with fast water use under moderate drought ('water spender' strategy), while plant survival after growth cessation (dehydration and embolism tolerance, dormancy) is associated with slow water use under severe drought ('water saver' strategy). To 'stay green' and keep growing in dry conditions may contribute to depleting soil water and thus make plants extremely vulnerable to an extended and extreme drought (Zhao *et al.*, 2017). Consequently, dehydration avoidance is a strategy that does not enable plant survival under severe drought (Yates *et al.*, 2019). 'Knowing when not to grow' or 'when to senesce' enhance survival in the face of potentially lethal conditions. This is the case for summer dormancy, which confers to genotypes of some grass species the endogenous ability to cease or reduce aerial growth and senesce irrespective of the water supply in summer (Gillespie and Volaire, 2017). Summer dormancy confers superior survival after severe and repeated summer droughts (Norton *et al.*, 2006a,b), revealing that the endogenous and programmed ability to stop growth (or strongly reduce growth) during the drought period is the most efficient response to maximize plant drought survival.

Decoupling plant responses, i.e. growth under favourable summers/winters and plant survival under harsh summers/winters (Keep *et al.*, 2021), showed a general trade-off between seasonal growth potential and seasonal dehydration survival in 385 European populations of ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.). Three groups of ryegrass populations were identified according to their origin and contrasting strategies to face seasonal stresses, revealing a trade-off between dehydration avoidance and dehydration tolerance strategies. Populations from northern sites, where low-to-moderate summer drought occurs, mostly had a dehydration avoidance strategy and could maintain growth during summer without being threatened by drought. In contrast, populations from the southern sites, where intense summer drought occurs, had a dehydration-tolerant or a dehydration-escape strategy and survived prolonged drought by reducing their growth potential. Endogenous reduced seasonal growth potentials are phenological adaptations that can be regarded as dormancy levels. They were also identified within European populations of cocksfoot, *Dactylis glomerata* L. (Bristiel *et al.*, 2017), raising a possible generalized adaptive seasonal pattern within herbaceous species. Thus, the balance between productivity and stress survival is becoming a central issue in plant breeding for drought (and frost) survival (Ergon *et al.*, 2018; Volaire *et al.*, 2014) and therefore grassland resistance and resilience.

Plant diversity offers opportunities to stabilize forage production under drought stress

Lessons from semi-natural grasslands illustrate the stabilizing effect of diversity

The role of diversity in promoting resistance and resilience of ecosystem function in the face of environmental disturbance is well-established in ecosystems and experiments based in semi-natural grasslands (e.g. Craven *et al.*, 2016). For example, a meta-analysis of 46 plant association experiments by Isbell *et al.* (2015) revealed that biomass of 'low-diversity communities with one or two grassland plant species changed by approximately 50% during [severe] weather events, whereas that of high-diversity communities with 16-32 species changed by only approximately 25%'. Which ecological processes underlie such benefits of diversity? The 'insurance effect' refers to multiple biological processes that result in a stabilising effect of biodiversity on ecosystem function when subjected to environmental disturbance. The insurance effect includes: (1) the 'portfolio effect' which arises from independent (or sufficiently decoupled) fluctuations in species' abundances over time; (2) beneficial effects of biodiversity on both the mean and the variability of ecosystem properties, and; (3) spatial variability between patches or locations in heterogeneous landscapes (adapted from Loreau *et al.*, 2021). A key question is: do insurance effects occur in productive grasslands?

Species diversity in productive grasslands enhanced ecosystem function under drought

In productive temperate grasslands, where primarily resistance to moderate drought stress is targeted, there are few manipulations of environmental levels to test the role of diversity in maintaining ecosystem function under stress. Under experimentally imposed drought, species diversity enhanced *yield stability* (Grange *et al.*, 2022; Haughey *et al.*, 2018), reflecting the insurance effects of diversity through reduced temporal variance or mean-to-variance ratio. There is some evidence that the stabilizing effect in more diverse communities was caused by asynchrony of species' growth (Haughey *et al.*, 2018). Enhanced yield stability of mixtures compared to monocultures was also found in the AgroDiversity experiment among 16 sites with different climates (Schaub *et al.*, 2020). In the meta-analysis by Isbell *et al.* (2015), 24 of the 46 experiments contained monocultures and mixtures of two grasses and two legumes, so their conclusion that diversity confers higher resistance and stability in biomass productivity is also relevant to simple mixtures in productive grassland communities.

Under drought, more diverse forage mixtures were associated with higher (or at least equal) *yield* than less diverse mixtures or monocultures (overyielding; Hofer *et al.*, 2016; Komainda *et al.*, 2020; Skinner *et al.*, 2004), reflecting that positive complementarity effects on biomass production also occur under drought. Some studies have even shown that these positive effects were so strong that drought-stressed mixtures at least attained the yield of the average of the *rainfed* monocultures (Finn *et al.*, 2018; Grange *et al.*, 2021; Hofer *et al.*, 2016). Thus, growing mixtures instead of monocultures can mitigate negative effects of (moderate) drought. The use of drought-resistant forage species in such mixtures helps to partly overcome the limitations in nutrient uptake arising as a consequence of soil water limitation. Resistance to moderate drought has been shown to occur by sustained symbiotic N₂ fixation in legumes (Hofer *et al.*, 2017) or by increased resource uptake from deeper soil layers (Hoekstra *et al.*, 2015). Importantly, both beneficial species interactions and species' asynchrony are not mutually exclusive and can act simultaneously to increase stability in more diverse communities (Haughey *et al.*, 2018), including under conditions of environmental disturbance.

Improved drought-resistance by mixing species can also occur through the 'portfolio effect'. If mixtures contain at least one species that contributes substantially to community yield and that can cope with stress-induced reductions in growth (decoupled from other species performances), the overall community performance under drought is improved. This may be an important yield stabilising process in mixtures of legumes which were found to be drought resistant and grasses which showed a strong recovery after drought stopped (Hahn *et al.*, 2021; Haughey *et al.*, 2018; Hofer *et al.*, 2016). Interestingly, the portfolio effect can arise solely through (statistical) averaging of species performances over time (Loreau *et al.*, 2021). This has been little studied in productive grasslands. One line of evidence for the occurrence of averaging would be switching in the rank order of monoculture yields over time (and/or space), and especially switching in the identity of the best-performing monoculture. Such switching effects have been demonstrated to be important in the AgroDiversity experiment, which was conducted across 31 different international locations and broad climatic gradients (Finn *et al.*, 2013). Importantly, given that many agronomic studies compare mixture performance against the best-performing monoculture (which is selected in retrospect), and if switching continues over multiple years (and sites), then the relative benefit of mixtures would be expected to increase in comparison to the highest-yielding monoculture over that time period (and spatial scale). In such retrospective comparisons, the selection of the 'best-performing' monoculture enjoys the benefit of hindsight; however, past performance is not always a good predictor of future performance, and even less so when the future has more variable conditions.

Finally, the positive effects of species diversity on productivity and stability are context-dependent and may weaken under severe drought stress. For instance, complementarity effects (Barry *et al.*, 2018) that

enable species-rich mixtures to achieve higher yields than monocultures during a moderate drought were not detected for the recovery and resilience of grass communities subjected to a severe drought (Barkaoui *et al.*, 2016). Low levels of soil water can make resource-partitioning among species inefficient. Most expectations are based on vertical segregation of root systems (Oram *et al.*, 2018), assuming that deep soil horizons represent an ‘unused’ pool of resources by shallow-rooted species, giving an opportunity to use additional water with deep-rooting species. However, deep soil horizons may completely dry out under a severe drought, making surface horizons the only ones with possible water recharge by episodic rainfall, therefore selecting shallow-rooted species only and limiting the complementarity effects. Similarly, facilitation, another facet of complementarity (Wright *et al.*, 2017), usually expected to positively affect productivity with increasing environmental severity (He *et al.*, 2013), may collapse among herbaceous species in areas prone to severe drought (Michalet and Pugnaire, 2016). Nevertheless, the portfolio effect should support the recovery capacity and resilience of species-rich mixtures subjected to severe drought (Kreyling *et al.*, 2017).

Saturation of diversity effects in semi-natural grassland experiments

The evidence given above suggests that species diversity is key to increase drought resistance and resilience of permanent and sown productive grasslands. In the following sections, we evaluate more closely the diversity-ecosystem function relationship. We discuss specific strategies to maximize the ‘performance-enhancing effect’ of diversity and the degree of diversity needed for adaptation of mixtures to drought stress.

Across a range of studies in semi-natural experimental grasslands, the yield benefits of adding species saturate at a relatively low number (Tilman *et al.*, 1997, 2014). Both theory (Tilman *et al.*, 1997) and empirical research (Hector *et al.*, 1999; Isbell *et al.*, 2017; Naeem *et al.*, 1994) have demonstrated a declining rate of increase in the overall diversity effect with increasing species richness. For example, in the BIODDEPTH experiment (Hector *et al.*, 1999), the average biomass increase from doubling the number of species was approximately 80 g m⁻². This means that adding one species to a monoculture increased yields by ca. 23%, yet, adding one species to a four-species mixture increased yields by only 5%, and adding a further species to an eight-species mixture improved yields by 2%. The same principle in the performance-diversity relationship was also shown in two of the largest and longest-running biodiversity experiments, which are at Jena (Scherber *et al.*, 2010; Weisser *et al.*, 2017) and Cedar Creek (Tilman *et al.*, 2001), and for ecosystem processes such as community respiration, plant material decomposition, nutrient and water retention (Naeem *et al.*, 1994; Tilman *et al.*, 2014). A first reason to explain saturation of overall performance is the ‘selection effect’. In the case of a random selection of species for the assembly of experimental communities, mixtures with a higher number of species have a higher likelihood of containing the most productive species, which shifts the performance towards the potential maximum (assuming that the most productive species becomes dominant in that community). A second reason for saturation comes from niche theory (Tilman *et al.*, 1997, 2014). Although more diverse communities have a higher chance for niche complementarity among particular species, the amount of unused resources gets increasingly smaller. Thus, the potential benefit of species interactions becomes smaller with increasing species richness. Moreover, species interactions can also be neutral or negative (e.g. Hofer *et al.*, 2016; Husse *et al.*, 2017), and the probability for the latter to occur might also increase with increasing species richness.

In productive grassland mixtures, diversity effects saturate even faster

Productive grasslands can show strong responses to diversity

Given the considerable differences between them, it is not necessarily the case that principles from semi-natural grasslands translate to productive grasslands. Over the past 20 years, however, research on forage

mixtures in productive grasslands has provided strong evidence that legume-based mixtures with up to five species improve grassland performance (or compare well) relative to the respective monocultures. This has been observed in several responses, including yield, weed suppression, nitrogen yield, yield stability, forage quality, nitrous oxide emissions intensity and overall multifunctionality (Cong *et al.*, 2018; Connolly *et al.*, 2018; Cummins *et al.*, 2021; Finn *et al.*, 2013; Küchenmeister *et al.*, 2012; Lüscher *et al.*, 2014; Suter *et al.*, 2015, 2017, 2021c). This performance-enhancing effect of diversity is one of the key insurance effects to generate a stabilising effect of diversity on ecosystem function in a fluctuating environment such as severe drought events (Haughey *et al.*, 2018; Hofer *et al.*, 2016). Most of the recent research on mixture benefits uses a modest number of species and less is known regarding mixture gains from more species-rich mixtures with >10 species (but see Jing *et al.*, 2017; Sanderson *et al.*, 2004; Tracy and Sanderson, 2004a).

In productive grassland mixtures the diversity response to yield saturates even faster than in low productive grasslands

In the applied context of production-oriented systems, the saturation of yield is expected to occur even faster than in semi-natural grassland communities. This is because forage mixtures can be designed according to the following principles: (1) selecting the best-performing species – generally evaluated in monoculture – for use in mixtures, which ensures high performance at a lower number of species; (2) targeting species that maximize complementarity for desired functions, which enhances performance without the need for many species; (3) selecting species that maintain a stable community composition over time or that respond to adaptive management to ensure this (Lüscher *et al.*, 2011). Indeed, saturation has been demonstrated for forage mixtures (including herbs), where there were no or only marginal yield increases beyond two species in mixtures compared to nine species (Grace *et al.*, 2018), three species compared to eight (Lorenz *et al.*, 2020), three species compared to nine (Sanderson, 2010), four species compared to five (Moloney *et al.*, 2020a), four species compared to six (Grange *et al.*, 2021), and six species compared to 15 (Tracy and Sanderson, 2004a). In line with the trend for rapid saturation, the average beneficial interaction effect in a six-species mixture containing herbs was only marginally greater than that of a four-species grass-legume mixture (Grange *et al.*, 2021). Contradictory results also show increases in yield from two species in a mixture compared to five (Skinner *et al.*, 2004), and from ten species compared to twelve (due to high-yielding lucerne in the twelve-species mixture, Jing *et al.*, 2017).

Importantly, all of these studies focused on yield alone, and, all else being equal, more species diversity is likely to be needed to simultaneously sustain multiple ecosystem functions: (1) other than yield, (2) over longer time scales, and (3) over more variable environmental conditions (Isbell *et al.*, 2011, 2015, 2017; Lefcheck *et al.*, 2015). Although forage yields often do not, or only marginally, differ between high-yielding grass-clover swards and more complex mixtures, intra-annual yield stability (Lorenz *et al.*, 2020), weed suppression (Tracy and Sanderson, 2004b), and resource availability to pollinators (Cong *et al.*, 2020) can be enhanced by higher diversity. Analyses of forage quality from more complex mixtures indicate that although it can be reduced compared to grass-legume stands (Jing *et al.*, 2017), there are multiple examples where complex mixtures have similar or higher forage quality regarding, amongst others, crude protein and digestibility (Grace *et al.*, 2018; Moloney *et al.*, 2020b; Sanderson, 2010). On grazed multi-species swards, dry matter intake, milk production and soil C accumulation were enhanced and N losses reduced compared to more simple swards (reviewed in Jaramillo *et al.*, 2021).

Compared to the scale of the challenge posed by climate change and the demand for more environmentally sustainable farming practice, the science underpinning the potential benefits of multi-species swards should become a stronger focus of future research. There is still plenty to learn about the extent to which mixture benefits are affected by specific combinations of species rather than species richness, management practices (especially grazing), cultivar diversity, as well as variation in environmental conditions, such as soil type, fertility and moisture level. Cultivar selection and adaptive management to promote persistence

in mixtures also deserve further attention. It is still not well established whether more, and how many, species in production-oriented grasslands are needed to simultaneously sustain multiple ecosystem functions, such as resistance and resilience to extreme weather events, soil C sequestration or conservation of faunal diversity. There is an indication that trade-offs can occur among different functions (Grange *et al.*, 2022), and that a distinct mixture and management can maximize either production or a variety of ecosystem services related to sustainability (Savage *et al.*, 2021).

For productive species with good forage quality, the range of traits for functional complementarity and drought adaptation is quite limited

A key to higher mixture performance is the targeted and designed combination of species with functional complementarity in terms of relevant traits with the aim to increase total resource acquisition and resource use efficiency (Frankow-Lindberg, 2012; Gross *et al.*, 2007; Mason *et al.*, 2020; Tilman *et al.*, 2014). In forage grassland, substantial yield gains can be achieved by the distinct combination of grasses that have efficient resource uptake, in particular of N, and legumes with their ability for symbiotic N₂ fixation (Frankow-Lindberg and Dahlin, 2013; Nyfeler *et al.*, 2011; Pirhofer-Walzl *et al.*, 2013). A further way of achieving complementarity has been identified in the different temporal development of species over years (Finn *et al.*, 2013; Nyfeler *et al.*, 2009) and within the growing season (Husse *et al.*, 2016). By segregating the periods during which species acquire resources, the total biomass production of mixtures is enhanced by more complete resource use over time. Finally, combining species with differing rooting depth allows for increased yields through spatial complementarity in resource uptake (Husse *et al.*, 2017), although the evidence for yield gains by vertical niche differentiation is inconsistent (Hoekstra *et al.*, 2015; Mommer *et al.*, 2010; Oram *et al.*, 2018; Pirhofer-Walzl *et al.*, 2013).

Comparing the complementarity benefits of specific combinations of plant functional traits or functional types (the *identity* of the species present) with those achieved by species richness *per se* (the *number* of species present), effects of identity were generally at least as large or clearly larger than those of richness (Komainda *et al.*, 2020; Mokany *et al.*, 2008; Skinner *et al.*, 2004). This has led several authors to conclude that low to intermediate levels of species richness are sufficient to reach an optimal balance of multiple ecosystem services, but that these species should exhibit functional contrasts in growth habit and phenology (Küchenmeister *et al.*, 2012; Lüscher *et al.*, 2011; Storkey *et al.*, 2015; Tracy and Sanderson, 2004a). Establishing distinct combinations of many forage species with complementary traits to optimize mixture performance in terms of both forage yield and quality seems to be challenging, probably due to the increasing complexity in isolating the effect of a single trait in a mixture with an increasing number of species with multiple traits. Even less is known about how species functional complementarity can help to adapt mixtures to environmental stress caused by severe weather events. Functional complementarity should be relevant under moderate environmental stress and in productive environments, where resource partitioning allows species to reduce competitive interactions (Gross *et al.*, 2007). Conversely, under severe environmental disturbance or nutrient-poor environments, the importance of functional complementarity has been shown to decrease (Mason *et al.*, 2011) and facilitative processes among species should become more relevant (He *et al.*, 2013; Maestre *et al.*, 2009). For example, nurse plants can cast shade and lead to lower transpiration demands of neighbouring plants under heat and drought (Holmgren *et al.*, 2010). However, the evidence for such effects in grasslands is rare (Martorell *et al.*, 2015), and we are not aware of any study demonstrating facilitation under severe drought for forage plants of productive grasslands.

Valuing and applying inter- and intra-specific variability

Valuing intra-specific variability

To assess the relative importance of dehydration avoidance vs tolerance of each species, plants should be compared by combining tests in shallow soils (expression of dehydration tolerance) and deep soils

(drought avoidance through water uptake) under different drought intensities. For instance, the dehydration tolerance of cocksfoot is higher than that of tall fescue (*Festuca arundinacea* Schreb.), which primarily relies on dehydration avoidance through an efficient and deep rooting system (Poirier *et al.*, 2012). However, intra-specific variability was comparable or even higher than inter-specific variability for these two perennial grass species under field conditions. Moreover in both species, the dehydration tolerance was greater for the summer-dormant Mediterranean and semi-arid populations than for the non-dormant temperate populations (Volaire, 2008). The intra-specific variability of cocksfoot (Shihan *et al.*, 2022) and perennial ryegrass (Keep *et al.*, 2021) analysed along environmental gradients allowed mapping of the current and future areas for adaptation of Mediterranean populations under a climate scenario. Areas suitable for the expression of, and adaptation to, summer dormancy are predicted to extend northwards under climate change for cocksfoot and the Mediterranean types of perennial ryegrass (Keep *et al.*, 2021; Shihan *et al.*, 2022). Available cultivars of Mediterranean perennial forage species adapted to a dry climate are rare (<2%) (Lelièvre and Volaire, 2009). It is thus required to better identify and valorize the role of this genetic diversity by (i1) tapping into the Mediterranean and semi-arid genetic resources, (2) testing plant material for summer growth potential (summer dormancy levels) possibly associated to dehydration tolerance, and (3) measuring thresholds of dehydration tolerance in standardized conditions, i.e. soil water potential leading to 50% plant mortality (Norton *et al.*, 2016; Volaire *et al.*, 2014) or embolism resistance (Volaire *et al.*, 2018).

Valuing inter-specific variability

To assess how species diversity is used in today's European sown grasslands and to exploit adaptation of forage production in ley-farming systems to drier conditions, a survey was conducted. As an easy-to-derive proxy for plant species' suitability for growth under wet/dry conditions, we chose the Ellenberg indicator value for moisture (F: 'Feuchte' in German; Ellenberg and Leuschner, 2010), and values were derived from the TRY database (Kattge *et al.*, 2020). We are aware that, for more quantitative analyses, Ellenberg indicator values would be too coarse a proxy. Figure 2 compares the means and ranges of F indicator values for distinct sets of plant species. The potential range of F indicator values of grassland species available on the commercial market are shown with the two sets of species 'Central Europe wild types' (116 species) and 'EU common catalogue' (33 species). Both of these sets span a range of seven units ranging from an F value of two (very dry) to nine (wet, often water-saturated). However, if one compares the species' sets of 'recommended varieties' from six countries (where such lists were available), only a small fraction of the diversity potential is currently utilized in sown, production-oriented grasslands. This is indicated by both the small number of species on the recommended lists (often below 10) and the narrow range of their F indicator values (with the exception of CH, Suter *et al.*, 2021a). Surprisingly, the same picture is evident with the 'production mixtures' (multi-species mixtures that are recommended for productive grassland). Even though these mixtures were specifically designed to meet distinct growth conditions (wet or dry but mostly in temperate environments), the means of F indicator values for mixtures (1) differ only little (0.40 units at maximum) and (2) are at about the centre of the total scale (balanced conditions). In addition, (3) the ranges covered by the individual mixture's component species are small, covering at most two units (4 to 6). The only exception were the 'biodiversity mixtures' designed for improvement of biodiversity rather than forage production. They contain a high number of species, differ distinctly in the mixtures' mean F indicator value (1.40 units between wet and dry), and the species within each mixture cover a large range of F indicator values (up to 7 units).

The survey described above strongly suggests that currently only a small part of the inter-specific variability with respect to moisture conditions is utilized in sown grasslands. This may be due to several reasons such as (1) positive diversity effects saturating at low species numbers in the mixture (see above), (2) trade-offs between growth maintenance under moderate drought and plant survival under severe drought (discussed above), between drought resistance and forage quality (e.g. perennial ryegrass vs tall fescue),




Figure 2. Ellenberg indicator values for moisture (F: 'Feuchte') for different sets of plant species: as national recommended lists of forage species varieties (six countries available), traded forage mixtures for production (three selected countries), mixtures to sustain biodiversity in Switzerland, and the EU common catalogue of agricultural varieties as well as the traded wild type species in Central Europe. Mean (\bar{y}) and range displayed; number of species included on the right. 1 = extremely dry, soils that often dry out; 9 = wet, often water-saturated. The species lists, on which the figure is based, can be received from the authors on demand.

or drought resistance and suitability for specific management aims such as grazing (e.g. white clover (*Trifolium repens* L.) vs alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.)). An important, more general reason for the relatively small and highly specific set of plant species utilized in intensive forage production is that plant species have to be adapted to (very) high frequencies of defoliation (mowing or grazing), which is a prerequisite for high forage quality and high yields in digestible energy and protein (Huguenin-Elie *et al.*, 2018). Thus, we deem that only species with specific plant traits at the fast end of the 'fast-slow' plant economics spectrum (Reich *et al.*, 1997) seem suitable for production-oriented grasslands in temperate climates. This survey strongly suggests that the shortage of adapted plant material for areas with increasing severe droughts is still insufficiently addressed.

We see two possible strategies to exploit inter-specific diversity to increase drought resistance and resilience of productive grassland at the farm scale. A first strategy would be to increase 'within-field diversity' by designing a more complex mixture that can adapt to different drought conditions. This could be achieved by combining species with distinctly differing moisture requirements (i.e. a mixture with a large range in Figure 2). However, in practice, it is hard to envisage an adequate combination of many species that fulfils the multiple demands of productive grasslands regarding interspecific competition (persistence), complementarity (Suter *et al.*, 2021c) as well as management suitability (for grazing, cutting, silage) (Suter *et al.*, 2021b). A second strategy would be to increase 'among-field diversity' enabling an insurance effect by growing a variety of simpler mixtures (or monocultures), each adapted to different drought conditions (i.e. different mixture means in Figure 2). In this strategy, it might be easier to combine and maintain the persistence of a suitable set of species within each mixture regarding management requirements of the plants, but management of the different fields might be more complex. These strategies can be implemented in sown grassland with the targeted composition of the seed mixture(s) sown and in permanent productive grassland by managing species composition and richness through overseeding, self reseeding, and/or type and intensity of management. Both strategies can be applied not only at the farm scale but also at a regional scale.

Conclusions

Grasslands cover a wide range of global pedo-climatic conditions. They can thrive under harsh growth conditions where other agricultural systems are not economically viable. This demonstrates the considerable adaptation potential of grasslands. The literature reviewed here provides evidence that

both intra- and inter-specific diversity have great potential to contribute to the adaptation of permanent and sown productive grassland to drought stress and variability in weather conditions. The choice of a successful strategy to adapt to drought strongly depends on the type of stress. Under severe stress that occurs regularly (as in the Mediterranean summer), drought survival, accompanied by cessation of growth during the stress period, is key to enable fast recovery after the stress has ceased. Under less severe drought and unpredictable weather conditions (as in cool-temperate climates), complementarity is of primary importance in two ways: complementarity in resource use increases ecosystem functioning during the periods of moderate stress and complementarity in water requirements allows for robustness to fluctuating water availability. Nevertheless, both intra- and inter-specific variability seem not sufficiently valued today and undoubtedly are a pillar for adaptation of productive grassland to future conditions. In this context a crucial point is that even a small increase in diversity from monocultures to two- to six-species mixtures already delivers substantial benefits. Diversity of genotypes and/or species on a farm/in a region can be achieved in two ways: either 'within fields' by growing the same complex plant community on all sites, or 'among fields' by growing different (simpler) plant communities on different sites. Both these strategies are easily feasible in sown grassland leys through the targeted composition of the seed mixture. In the long run, they are also applicable in productive permanent grasslands. Future research on the value of diversity in productive grasslands needs to include the interactions of drought stress with other factors (other stresses, soil type, management), and improve measurement of the effect of diversity on multiple ecosystem services (multifunctionality) that include agronomic, environmental and socio-economic responses.

References

- Barry K.E., Mommer L., van Ruijven J., Wirth C., Wright A. J., Bai Y., ... and Weigelt A. (2018) The future of complementarity: disentangling causes from consequences. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 34, 167-180.
- Barkaoui K., Roumet C. and Volaire F. (2016) Mean root trait more than root trait diversity determines drought resilience in native and cultivated Mediterranean grass mixtures. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 231, 122-132.
- Bristiel P.M., Gillepsie L., Østrem L., Balachowski J.A., Violle C. and Volaire F. (2017) Experimental evaluation of the robustness of the growth–stress tolerance trade–off within the perennial grass *Dactylis glomerata*. *Functional Ecology* 32, 1944-1958.
- Cong W., Dupont Y.L., Søggaard K. and Eriksen J. (2020) Optimizing yield and flower resources for pollinators in intensively managed multi-species grasslands. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 302, 107062.
- Cong W.-F., Suter M., Lüscher A. and Eriksen J. (2018) Species interactions between forbs and grass-clover contribute to yield gains and weed suppression in forage grassland mixtures. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 268, 154-161.
- Connolly C., Sebastià M.-T., Kirwan L., Finn J.A., Llurba R., Suter M. ... and Lüscher A. (2018) Weed suppression greatly increased by plant diversity in intensively managed grasslands: A continental-scale experiment. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 55, 852-862.
- Craven D., Isbell F., Manning P., Connolly J., Bruelheide H., Ebeling A. ... and Eisenhauer N. (2016) Plant diversity effects on grassland productivity are robust to both nutrient enrichment and drought. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B – Biological Sciences* 371, 20150277.
- Cummins S., Finn J.A., Richards K.G., Lanigan G.J., Grange G., Brophy C., ... and Krol D.J. (2021) Beneficial effects of multi-species mixtures on N₂O emissions from intensively managed grassland swards. *Science of the Total Environment* 792, 148163.
- Ellenberg H. and Leuschner C. (2010) *Vegetation Mitteleuropas mit den Alpen*, 6th edn. Ulmer, Stuttgart, Deutschland.
- Ergon A., Seddaiu G., Korhonen P., Virkajarvi P., Bellocchi G., ... and Volaire F. (2018) How can forage production in Nordic and Mediterranean Europe adapt to the challenges and opportunities arising from climate change? *European Journal of Agronomy* 92, 97-106.
- Finn J.A., Kirwan L., Connolly J., Sebastià M.T., Helgadottir A., Baadshaug O.H., ... and Lüscher A. (2013) Ecosystem function enhanced by combining four functional types of plant species in intensively managed grassland mixtures: a 3-year continental-scale field experiment. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 50, 365-375.
- Finn J.A., Suter M., Haughey E., Hofer D. and Lüscher A. (2018) Greater gains in annual yields from increased plant diversity than losses from experimental drought in two temperate grasslands. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 258, 149-153.

- Frankow-Lindberg B.E. (2012) Grassland plant species diversity decreases invasion by increasing resource use. *Oecologia* 169, 793-802.
- Frankow-Lindberg B.E. and Dahlin A.S. (2013) N₂ fixation, N transfer, and yield in grassland communities including a deep-rooted legume or non-legume species. *Plant and Soil* 370, 567-581.
- Gillespie L. and Voltaire F. (2017) Are winter and summer dormancy symmetrical seasonal adaptive strategies? The case of temperate herbaceous perennials. *Annals of Botany* 119, 311-323.
- Grace C., Boland T.M., Sheridan H., Lott S., Brennan E., Fritch R. and Lynch M.B. (2018) The effect of increasing pasture species on herbage production, chemical composition and utilization under intensive sheep grazing. *Grass and Forage Science* 73, 852-864.
- Grange G., Brophy C. and Finn J.A. (2022) Drought and plant diversity effects on the agronomic multifunctionality of intensively managed grassland. In: *Grassland at the heart of circular and sustainable food systems* (eds. L. Delaby, R. Baumont, V. Brocard, S. Lemauiel-Lavenant, S. Plantureux, F. Vertes and J.L. Peyraud). *Grassland Science in Europe* 27, 403-405.
- Grange G., Finn J.A. and Brophy C. (2021) Plant diversity enhanced yield and mitigated drought impacts in intensively managed grassland communities. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 58, 1864-1875.
- Gross N., Suding K.N., Lavorel S. and Roumer C. (2007) Complementarity as a mechanism of coexistence between functional groups of grasses. *Journal of Ecology* 95, 1296-1305.
- Hahn C., Lüscher A., Ernst-Hasler S., Suter M. and Kahmen A. (2021) Timing of drought in the growing season and strong legacy effects determine the annual productivity of temperate grasses in a changing climate. *Biogeosciences* 18, 585-604.
- Haughey E., Suter M., Hofer D., Hoekstra N.J., McElwain J.C. and Lüscher A. (2018) Higher species richness enhances yield stability in intensively managed grasslands with experimental disturbance. *Scientific Reports* 8, 15047.
- He Q., Bertness M.D. and Altieri A.H. (2013) Global shifts towards positive species interactions with increasing environmental stress. *Ecology Letters* 16, 695-706.
- Hector A., Schmid B., Beierkuhnlein C., Caldeira M.C., Diemer M., Dimitrakopoulos P.G., ... and Lawton J.H. (1999) Plant diversity and productivity experiments in European grasslands. *Science* 286, 1123-1127.
- Hoekstra N.J., Suter M., Finn J.A., Husse S. and Lüscher A. (2015) Do belowground vertical niche differences between deep- and shallow-rooted species enhance resource uptake and drought resistance in grassland mixtures? *Plant and Soil* 394, 21-34.
- Hofer D., Suter M., Buchmann N. and Lüscher A. (2017) Nitrogen status of functionally different forage species explains resistance to severe drought and post-drought overcompensation. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 236, 312-322.
- Hofer D., Suter M., Haughey E., Finn J.A., Hoekstra N.J., Buchmann N. and Lüscher A. (2016) Yield of temperate forage grassland species is either largely resistant or resilient to experimental summer drought. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 53, 1023-1034.
- Holmgren M. and Scheffer M. (2010) Strong facilitation in mild environments: the stress gradient hypothesis revisited. *Journal of Ecology* 98, 1269-1275.
- Huguenin-Elie O., Delaby L., Klumpp K., Lemauiel-Lavenant S., Ryschawy J. and Sabatier R. (2018) The role of grasslands in biogeochemical cycles and biodiversity conservation. In: *Improving Grassland and Pasture Management in Temperate Agriculture* (eds. A. Marshall and R. Collins). 1-29. Burleigh Dodds Science Publishing, Cambridge, UK.
- Husse S., Huguenin-Elie O., Buchmann N. and Lüscher A. (2016) Larger yields of mixtures than monocultures of cultivated grassland species match with asynchrony in shoot growth among species but not with increased light interception. *Field Crops Research* 194, 1-11.
- Husse S., Lüscher A., Buchmann N., Hoekstra N.J. and Huguenin-Elie O. (2017) Effects of mixing forage species contrasting in vertical and temporal nutrient capture on nutrient yields and fertilizer recovery in productive grasslands. *Plant and Soil* 420, 505-521.
- Isbell F., Adler P.R., Eisenhauer N., Fornara D., Kimmel K., Kremen C., ... and Scherer-Lorenzen M. (2017) Benefits of increasing plant diversity in sustainable agroecosystems. *Journal of Ecology* 105, 871-879.
- Isbell F., Calcagno V., Hector A., Connolly J., Harpole W.S., Reich P.B., ... and Loreau M. (2011) High plant diversity is needed to maintain ecosystem services. *Nature* 477, 199-202.
- Isbell F., Craven D., Connolly J., Loreau M., Schmid B., Beierkuhnlein C., ... and Eisenhauer N. (2015) Biodiversity increases the resistance of ecosystem productivity to climate extremes. *Nature* 526, 574-577.
- Jaramillo D.M., Sheridan H., Soder K. and Dubeux J.C.B. (2021) Enhancing the sustainability of temperate pasture systems through more diverse swards. *Agronomy* 11, 1912.

- Jing J., Soegaard K., Cong W.-F. and Eriksen J. (2017) Species diversity effects on productivity, persistence and quality of multispecies swards in a four-year experiment. *PLoS ONE* 12, e0169208.
- Kattge J., Bönsch G., Díaz S., Lavorel S., Prentice I.C., Leadley P., ... The Nutrient Network (2020) TRY plant trait database – enhanced coverage and open access. *Global Change Biology* 26, 119-188.
- Keep T., Sampoux J.P., Barre P., Blanco-Pastor J.L., Dehmer K.J., Durand J.L., ... and Volaire F. (2021) To grow or survive: Which are the strategies of a perennial grass to face severe seasonal stress? *Functional Ecology* 35, 1145-1158.
- Komainsa M., Küchenmeister F., Küchenmeister K., Kayser M., Wrage-Mönnig N. and Isselstein J. (2020) Drought tolerance is determined by species identity and functional group diversity rather than by species diversity within multi-species swards. *European Journal of Agronomy* 119, 126116.
- Kreyling J., Dengler J., Walter J., Velev N., Ugurlu E., Sopotlieva D., ... and Jentsch A. (2017) Species richness effects on grassland recovery from drought depend on community productivity in a multisite experiment. *Ecology Letters* 20, 1405-1413.
- Küchenmeister F., Küchenmeister K., Wrage N., Kayser M. and Isselstein J. (2012) Yield and yield stability in mixtures of productive grassland species: Does species number or functional group composition matter? *Grassland Science* 58, 94-100.
- Lefcheck J.S., Byrnes J.E.K., Isbell F., Gamfeldt L., Griffin J.N., Eisenhauer N., ... and Duffy J.E. (2015) Biodiversity enhances ecosystem multifunctionality across trophic levels and habitats. *Nature Communications* 6, 6936.
- Lelièvre F. and Volaire F. (2009). Current and potential development of perennial grasses in rainfed Mediterranean farming systems. *Crop Science* 49, 2371-2378.
- Loreau M., Barbier M., Filotas E., Gravel D., Isbell F., Miller S.J., ... and Thompson P.L. (2021). Biodiversity as insurance: from concept to measurement and application. *Biological Reviews* 96, 2333-2354.
- Lorenz H., Reinsch T., Kluß C., Taube F. and Loges R. (2020) Does the admixture of forage herbs affect the yield performance, yield stability and forage quality of a grass clover ley? *Sustainability* 12, 5842.
- Lüscher A., Soussana J.F. and Huguenin-Elie O. (2011) Role and impacts of legumes in grasslands for high productivity and N gain from symbiotic N₂ fixation. In: Lemaire G., Hodgson J. and Chabbi A. (eds.). *Grassland Productivity and Ecosystem Services*. CABI, Wallingford, UK, pp. 101-107.
- Lüscher, A., Mueller-Harvey, I., Soussana, J.F., Rees, R.M. and Peyraud, J.L. (2014) Potential of legume-based grassland-livestock systems in Europe: A review. *Grass and Forage Science* 69, 206-228.
- Maestre F.T., Callaway R.M., Valladares F. and Lortie C.J. (2009) Refining the stress-gradient hypothesis for competition and facilitation in plant communities. *Journal of Ecology* 97, 199-205.
- Martorell C., Almanza-Celis C.A.I., Pérez-García E.A. and Sánchez-Ken J.G. (2015) Co-existence in a species-rich grassland: Competition, facilitation and niche structure over a soil depth gradient. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 26, 674-685.
- Mason N.W., de Bello F., Dolezal J. and Leps J. (2011) Niche overlap reveals the effects of competition, disturbance and contrasting assembly processes in experimental grassland communities. *Journal of Ecology* 99, 788-796.
- Mason N.W.H., Orwin K.H., Lambie S., Waugh D., Pronger J., Carmona C.P. and Mudge P. (2020) Resource-use efficiency drives overyielding via enhanced complementarity. *Oecologia* 193, 995-1010.
- Michalet R. and Pugnaire F.I. (2016) Facilitation in communities: underlying mechanisms, community and ecosystem implications. *Functional Ecology* 30, 3-9.
- Mokany K., Ash J. and Roxburgh S. (2008) Functional identity is more important than diversity in influencing ecosystem processes in a temperate native grassland. *Journal of Ecology* 96, 884-893.
- Moloney T., Sheridan H., Grant J., O'Riordan E.G. and O'Kiely P. (2020a) Yield of binary- and multi-species swards relative to single-species swards in intensive silage systems. *Irish Journal of Agricultural and Food Research* 59, 12-26.
- Moloney T., Sheridan H., Grant J., O'Riordan E.G. and O'Kiely P. (2020b) Conservation efficiency and nutritive value of silages made from grass-red clover and multi-species swards compared with grass monocultures. *Irish Journal of Agricultural and Food Research* 59, 150-166.
- Mommer L., van Ruijven J., de Caluwe H., Smit-Tiekstra A.E., Wagemaker C.A.M., Ouborg N.J., ... and de Kroon H. (2010) Unveiling below-ground species abundance in a biodiversity experiment: a test of vertical niche differentiation among grassland species. *Journal of Ecology* 98, 1117-1127.
- Naem S., Thompson L.J., Lawler S.P., Lawton J.H. and Woodfin R.M. (1994) Declining biodiversity can alter the performance of ecosystems. *Nature* 368, 734-737.

- Norton M., Malinowski D. and Volaire F. (2016) Plant drought survival under climate change and strategies to improve perennial grasses. A review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development* 36, 29.
- Norton M.R., Lelievre F. and Volaire F. (2006a) Summer dormancy in *Dactylis glomerata* L., the influence of season of sowing and a simulated mid-summer storm on two contrasting cultivars. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research* 57, 565-575.
- Norton M.R., Volaire F. and Lelievre F. (2006b) Summer dormancy in *Festuca arundinacea* Schreb., the influence of season of sowing and a simulated mid-summer storm on two contrasting cultivars. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research* 57, 1267-1277.
- Nyfelner D., Huguenin-Elie O., Suter M., Frossard E. and Lüscher A. (2011) Grass-legume mixtures can yield more nitrogen than legume pure stands due to mutual stimulation of nitrogen uptake from symbiotic and non-symbiotic sources. *Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment* 140, 155-163.
- Nyfelner D., Huguenin-Elie O., Suter M., Frossard E., Connolly J. and Lüscher A. (2009) Strong mixture effects among four species in fertilized agricultural grassland led to persistent and consistent transgressive overyielding. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 46, 683-691.
- Oram N.J., Ravenek J.M., Barry K.E., Weigelt A., Chen H., Gessler A., ... and Mommer L. (2018) Below-ground complementarity effects in a grassland biodiversity experiment are related to deep-rooting species. *Journal of Ecology* 106, 265-277.
- Orlowsky B. and Seneviratne S.I. (2012) Global changes in extreme events: regional and seasonal dimension. *Climatic Change* 110, 669-696.
- Pirhofer-Walzl K., Eriksen J., Rasmussen J., Høgh-Jensen H., Søgaard K. and Rasmussen J. (2013) Effect of four plant species on soil ¹⁵N access and herbage yield in temporary agricultural grasslands. *Plant and Soil* 371, 313-325.
- Poirier M., Durand J.L. and Volaire F. (2012) Persistence and production of perennial grasses under water deficits and extreme temperatures: importance of intraspecific vs. interspecific variability. *Global Change Biology* 18, 3632-3646.
- Reich P.B., Walters M.B. and Ellsworth D.S. (1997) From tropics to tundra: Global convergence in plant functioning. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* 94, 13730-13734.
- Sanderson M. (2010) Nutritive value and herbage accumulation rates of pastures sown to grass, legume, and chicory mixtures. *Agronomy Journal* 102, 728-733.
- Sanderson M.A., Skinner R.H., Barker D.J., Edwards G.R., Tracy B.F. and Wedin D.A. (2004) Plant species diversity and management of temperate forage and grazing land ecosystems. *Crop Science* 44, 1132-1144.
- Savage J., Woodcock B.A., Bullock J.M., Nowakowski M., Tallwin J.R.B. and Pywell R.F. (2021) Management to support multiple ecosystem services from productive grasslands. *Sustainability* 13, 6263.
- Schaub S., Buchmann N., Lüscher A. and Finger R. (2020) Economic benefits from plant species diversity in intensively managed grasslands. *Ecological Economics* 168, 106488.
- Scherber C., Eisenhauer N., Weisser W.W., Schmid B., Voigt W., Fischer M., ... and Tscharrnke T. (2010) Bottom-up effects of plant diversity on multitrophic interactions in a biodiversity experiment. *Nature* 468, 553-556.
- Shihan A., Barre P., Copani V., Kallida R., Østrem L., Testa G., ... and Volaire F. (2022) Induction and potential role of summer dormancy to enhance persistence of perennial grasses under warmer climates. *Journal of Ecology* (in press).
- Skinner R.H., Gustine D.L. and Sanderson M.A. (2004) Growth, water relations, and nutritive value of pasture species mixtures under moisture stress. *Crop Science* 44, 1361-1369.
- Storkey J., Doering T., Baddeley J., Collins R., Roderick S., Jones H. and Watson C. (2015) Engineering a plant community to deliver multiple ecosystem services. *Ecological Applications* 25, 1034-1043.
- Suter D., Frick R. and Hirschi H.U. (2021a) Liste der empfohlenen Sorten von Futterpflanzen 2021-2022. *Agroscope Transfer* 360, 16 pp.
- Suter D., Rosenberg E. and Frick R. (2021b) *Standardmischungen für den Futterbau. Revision 2021-2024*. AGFF, Zürich, Switzerland, 16 pp.
- Suter M., Connolly J., Finn J.A., Loges R., Kirwan L., Sebastià M.-T. and Lüscher A. (2015) Nitrogen yield advantage from grass-legume mixtures is robust over a wide range of legume proportions and environmental conditions. *Global Change Biology* 21, 2424-2438.
- Suter M., Hofer D. and Lüscher A. (2017) Weed suppression enhanced by increasing functional trait dispersion and resource capture in forage ley mixtures. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 240, 329-339.
- Suter M., Huguenin-Elie O. and Lüscher A. (2021c) Multispecies for multifunctions: combining four complementary species enhances multifunctionality of sown grassland. *Scientific Reports* 11, 3835.

- Tilman D., Isbell F. and Cowles J.M. (2014) Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 45, 471-493.
- Tilman D., Lehman C.L. and Thomson K.T. (1997) Plant diversity and ecosystem productivity: Theoretical considerations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* 94, 1857-1861.
- Tilman D., Reich P.B., Knops J., Wedin D., Mielke T. and Lehman C. (2001) Diversity and productivity in a long-term grassland experiment. *Science* 294, 843-845.
- Tracy B.F. and Sanderson M.A. (2004a) Productivity and stability relationships in mowed pasture communities of varying species composition. *Crop Science* 44, 2180-2186.
- Tracy B.F. and Sanderson M.A. (2004b) Forage productivity, species evenness and weed invasion in pasture communities. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 102, 175-183.
- Volaire F. (2008) Plant traits and functional types to characterise drought survival of pluri-specific perennial herbaceous swards in Mediterranean areas. *European Journal of Agronomy* 29, 116-124.
- Volaire F. (2018) A unified framework for plant drought adaptive strategies: across scales and disciplines. *Global Change Biology* 24, 2929-2938.
- Volaire F. and Norton M. (2006) Summer dormancy in perennial temperate grasses. *Annals of Botany* 98, 927-933.
- Volaire F., Barkaoui K. and Norton M. (2014) Designing resilient and sustainable grasslands for a drier future: Adaptive strategies, functional traits and biotic interactions. *European Journal of Agronomy* 52, 81-89.
- Volaire F., Lens F., Cochard H., Xu H., Chacon Doria L., Bristiel P., ... and Picon-Cochard C. (2018) Embolism and mechanical resistances play a key-role in dehydration tolerance of a perennial grass *Dactylis glomerata* L.. *Annals of Botany* 122, 325-336.
- Weisser W.W., Roscher C., Meyer S.T., Ebeling A., Guangjun A., Allan E., ... and Tschardt T. (2017) Biodiversity effects on ecosystem functioning in a 15-year grassland experiment: Patterns, mechanisms, and open questions. *Basic and Applied Ecology* 23, 1-73.
- White R.P., Murray S. and Rohweder M. (2000) *Pilot Analysis of Global Ecosystems: Grassland Ecosystems*. World Resources Institute, Washington, DC, USA.
- Wright A.J., Wardle D.A., Callaway R. and Gaxiola A. (2017) The overlooked role of facilitation in biodiversity experiments. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 32, 383-390.
- Yates S., Jaskune K., Liebisch F., Nagelmüller S., Kirchgessner N., Kölliker R., ... and Studer B. (2019) Phenotyping a dynamic trait: leaf growth of perennial ryegrass under water limiting conditions. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 10, 9.
- Zhao Y., Gao J.H., Kim J.I., Chen K., Bressan R.A. and Zhu J.K. (2017) Control of plant water use by ABA induction of senescence and dormancy: an overlooked lesson from evolution. *Plant and Cell Physiology* 58, 1319-1327.