International Conference on Research on Food Security, Natural Resource Management and Rural Development



# Tropentag

2022

Can agroecological farming feed the world?



Farmers' and Academia's view





hybrid conference Prague, Czech Republic

www.tropentag.de









Organised by:





### **Tropentag 2022**

**International Research on Food Security, Natural Resource Management and Rural Development** 

## Can agroecological farming feed the world? Farmers' and academia's views

Book of abstracts

Editor: Eric Tielkes

Reviewers/scientific committee: Jan Banout, Gennady Bracho-Mujica, Francisco Ceacero, Pierre Ellssel, Falko Feldmann, Christoph Gornot, Jiri Hejkrlik, Ellen Hoffmann, Jakub Houška, Brigitte Kaufmann, Radim Kotrba, Bohdan Lojka, Tersia Needham, Hynek Roubik, Ralf Schlauderer, Marianna Siegmund-Schultze, Vladimir Verner, Florian Wichern

Editorial assistance: Keerthana Sri K S

#### **Impressum**

#### Bibliografische Information der Deutschen Nationalbibliothek

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detailierte bibliografische Daten sind im Internet über

http://dnb.ddb.de abrufbar.

Tropentag 2022: Can agroecological farming feed the world? Farmers' and academia's views Tielkes, E. (ed.) - Witzenhausen, DITSL

© CUVILLIER VERLAG Göttingen Nonnenstieg 8, 37075 Göttingen

Telefon: 0551-54724-0 Telefax: 0551-54724-21 http://www.cuvillier.de

Alle Rechte vorbehalten. Ohne ausdrückliche Genehmigung des Verlages ist es nicht gestattet, das Buch oder Teile daraus auf fotomechanischem Weg (Fotokopie, Mikrokopie) zu vervielfältigen.

The authors of the articles are solely responsible for the content of their contribution.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means without prior permission of the copyright owners.

ISBN: 978-3-7369-7671-9 eISBN: 978-3-7369-6671-0

Online-Version: https://www.tropentag.de/

### Specific leaflet mineral concentrations of high-yielding oil palm progenies and their implications for K/Mg management

Olivier Senankpon Dassou<sup>1,2</sup>, Adolphe Adjanohoun<sup>1</sup>, Wouter Vanhove<sup>2</sup>, Reinout Impens<sup>3</sup>, Hervé Aholoukpè<sup>1</sup>, Xavier Bonneau<sup>4</sup>, Albert Flori<sup>5</sup>, Bénoît Cochard<sup>6</sup>, Sinsin Brice<sup>7</sup>, Patrick Van Damme<sup>8,2</sup>, Jean Ollivier<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CRA-PP / INRAB, Agricultural Research Centre on Perennial Plants of the National Agricultural Research Institute of Benin, Benin

<sup>2</sup>Ghent University, Dept. of Plant and Crops - Lab. for Tropical Agronomy, Belgium <sup>3</sup>SIAT Group / Presco Plc, R&D, Nigeria

<sup>4</sup>Agricultural Research Centre for International Development (CIRAD), France

<sup>5</sup>CIRAD, UMR ABSys, France

In oil palm, a similar fertilisation regime can result in leaflet potassium and magnesium concentrations that vary significantly from one progeny to another. This hinders the development of standardised fertiliser recommendations for this crop, as they are usually calculated to reach optimum leaflet nutrient concentrations. We tested the hypothesis that optimum leaflet K and Mg concentrations significantly differ between different oil palm progenies. Four high-yielding oil palm progenies with contrasting leaflet K and Mg concentrations (C1, C2, and C3 of Deli  $\times$  La Mé origin and C4 of Deli  $\times$  Yangambi origin) were treated with combinations of three levels of KCl and MgSO $_4$ , in a completely randomised split-plot factorial design with six replicates, where progenies were a sub-factor.

For a given level of KCl or MgSO<sub>4</sub>, different leaflet K and Mg concentrations were found between progenies (p < 0.0001). Leaflet K concentration and yield response to KCl applications revealed that the four oil palm progenies have different optimum leaflet K concentrations. In our study period (5–8 YAP), progenies C1 and C3 had highest fresh fruit bunch (FFB) yields (13.62 and 16.54 t ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) at K2, whereas progenies C2 and C4 showed the highest yields (14.62 and 12.39 t ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) at K1.

For the first time in oil palm mineral nutrition research, our study highlighted specific optimum leaflet K and Mg concentrations for different oil palm progenies in a given environment. It paves the way for adopting K and Mg fertiliser application rates adapted to specific requirements of each type of oil palm planting material.

 $\textbf{Keywords:} \ \ Leaflet \ magnesium \ (Mg) \ concentration, \ leaflet \ potassium \ (K) \ concentration, \ nutrient \ diagnosis, \ nutrient \ management, \ oil \ palm, \ optimum \ leaflet \ mineral \ concentrations$ 

62 ID 325

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>PalmElit SAS, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>University of Abomey-Calavi, Laboratory of Applied Ecology, Benin

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Czech University of Life Sciences Prague, Fac. of Tropical AgriSciences, Czech Republic

Contact Address: Olivier Senankpon Dassou, CRA-PP / INRAB, Agricultural Research Centre on Perennial Plants of the National Agricultural Research Institute of Benin, BP 01, Pobè, Benin, e-mail: mandas.oliver@gmail.com